

the Michigan Bar (2001), as well as the following Federal Courts:

- a. United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (2003);
 - b. District of Columbia Court of Appeals (2003);
 - c. United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas (2013);
and
 - d. United States District Court for the District of Columbia (2013).
2. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body;
 3. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied;
 4. I have never had sanctions or contempt citations imposed by any court or administrative body against me;
 5. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.;
 6. I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a);

8. I previously applied for *pro hac vice* status before the Board in connection with the following matters: IPR2014-01357, IPR2014-01359, and IPR2014-01362; *pro hac vice* motions for these matters are currently pending;
9. I am currently applying for *pro hac vice* status before the Board in connection with the following matters: IPR2015-00321, IPR2015-00322, IPR2015-00323, IPR2015-00324, IPR2015-00325, IPR2015-00326, IPR2015-00327, IPR2015-00328, IPR2015-00329, and IPR2015-00330;
10. I am an experienced litigation attorney and have been involved in numerous patent infringement cases in the U.S. International Trade Commission and in federal District Courts across the country;
11. I have significant experience in all aspects of patent infringement matters including depositions, claim construction hearings, and various stages of trial;
12. I have served as lead counsel for Petitioner LG Electronics, Inc. in numerous litigation matters at the U.S. International Trade Commission and in federal District Courts involving electrical technologies ranging from integrated circuit packaging, to bi-directional display communication interfaces, to liquid crystal display panel technology, to LTE standards;

- contentions made so far in the co-pending litigation,
14. I have reviewed and am familiar with the challenged patents (U.S. Patent Nos. 7,095,945, 6,784,879, 6,889,332, 6,895,520, 7,742,053, 6,897,871, 5,898,849, 6,266,715, and 7,327,369) in each of the IPR proceedings (IPR2015-00321, IPR2015-00322, IPR2015-00323, IPR2015-00324, IPR2015-00325, IPR2015-00326, IPR2015-00327, IPR2015-00328, IPR2015-00329, and IPR2015-00330), as well as the prosecution histories of the challenged patents; and
 15. I have reviewed and am familiar with each Petition for the challenged patents; and
 16. I have reviewed all accompanying exhibits for each of the Petitions, including the prior art references.
 17. Accordingly, I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in the *inter partes* review proceedings for the challenged patents.

Janie D. Deabel
Mayer Brown LLP
1999 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 263-3153