

Ex. GOOG 1002

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC
Patent Owner

Case No. _____
U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295

**DECLARATION OF KENNETH A. PARULSKI
FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,038,295 (CLAIMS 17-24)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	1
III.	PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	6
IV.	MATERIALS RELIED ON	7
V.	BACKGROUND ON THE STATE OF THE ART IN 1996.....	7
VI.	OVERVIEW OF THE '295 PATENT	18
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
VIII.	PRIOR ART IN THE PROSECUTION HISTORY	22
	A. <i>Parulski '159</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,666,159	22
	B. <i>Parulski '678</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,633,678.....	23
	C. <i>Tatsumi</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,594,736.....	24
IX.	INVALIDITY ANALYSIS	24
	A. Prior Art Relied Upon	26
	1. <i>Satoh</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,717,496	26
	2. <i>Wolverton</i> , Running MS-DOS Version 6.2	36
	3. <i>Bernardi</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,546,145	40
	4. <i>Wilska</i> , U.S. Patent No. 6,427,078.....	43
	5. <i>Morikawa</i> , U.S. Patent No. 5,613,108.....	45
	6. <i>Burstein et al.</i> , IEEE	47
	7. <i>Partridge</i> , U.S. Statutory Invention Registration No. H1714.....	49
	B. Application of the Prior Art to Claims 17-24 of the '295 Patent.....	51
	1. Obviousness over Prior Art Including <i>Satoh</i>	51
	a. Claim 17— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	51
	b. Claim 18— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i> and <i>Bernardi</i>	61
	c. Claim 19— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	63
	d. Claim 20— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	65
	e. Claim 21— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	66

f.	Claim 22— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	67
g.	Claim 23— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	70
h.	Claim 24— <i>Satoh</i> in View of <i>Wolverton</i>	71
2.	Obviousness Over Prior Art Including <i>Wilska</i>	73
a.	Claim 17— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	73
b.	Claim 18— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i> and <i>Burstein</i>	79
c.	Claim 19— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	81
d.	Claim 20— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	83
e.	Claim 21— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	84
f.	Claim 22— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i> and <i>Partridge</i>	85
g.	Claim 23— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	88
h.	Claim 24— <i>Wilska</i> in View of <i>Morikawa</i>	89
X.	CONCLUSION.....	90

I, Kenneth A. Parulski, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained as an expert by Google Inc. (“Google”) and have been asked to provide my expert opinions regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295 (“the ’295 Patent”).

2. I am being compensated for my time at my standard consulting rate of \$525 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for expenses that I incur during the course of this work. My compensation is not contingent upon the results of my study or the substance of my opinions.

II. BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS

3. A detailed description of my professional qualifications, including a list of publications, awards, and professional activities, is contained in my curriculum vitae, a copy of which is attached as Appendix A.

4. I received a Master of Science degree and a Bachelor of Science degree in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1980. I completed my master’s thesis research while working at Motorola Corporate Research Labs from 1978 through 1980, where I developed a system for transmitting a series of digital images from a moving vehicle over an FM radio communications link to a base station. The laboratory where I worked was

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.