

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00283
Patent 6,038,295

Before TRENTON A. WARD, BART A. GERSTENBLITH, and
JO-ANNE M. KOKOSKI, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WARD, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On August 28, 2015, the Board issued a decision instituting *inter partes* review against the same patent at issue in this proceeding, U.S. Patent No. 6,038,295 (“the ’295 patent”). *See Facebook Inc. and Instagram LLC*, Case IPR2015-00778 (Paper 17) (“’778 Institution Decision”). In the ’778 Institution Decision, the Board determined that “it is uncertain what the step of ‘storing the compressed recognized speech’ in claim 18 refers.” *Id.* at slip op. 23. Accordingly, the Board denied institution of the proposed challenge against claim 18 of the ’295 patent. *Id.* at slip op. 24.

On June 1, 2015, we instituted review in this proceeding on claim 18 of the ’295 patent, among other claims. Paper 21. The ambiguity in claim 18, however, has not been addressed in this proceeding. Accordingly, we instruct Patent Owner to file a Supplemental Patent Owner Response on or before September 11, 2015, not to exceed 5 pages, to address issues regarding the ambiguity in claim 18 of the ’295 patent identified in the ’778 Institution Decision. Similarly, we enlarge the number of pages permitted for Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response by 5 pages to a total of 30 pages. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.24(c)(1).

ORDER

It is therefore

ORDERED that Patent Owner is to file a Supplemental Patent Owner Response on or before September 11, 2015, not to exceed 5 pages, to address issues regarding the ambiguity in claim 18 of the ’295 patent identified in the ’778 Institution Decision; and

IPR2015-00283
Patent 6,038,295

FURTHER ORDERED that the number of pages permitted for
Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response is enlarged by 5 pages to a
total of 30 pages.

PETITIONER:

Andrew V. Trask
David M. Krinsky
WILLIAMS & CONNOLLY LLP
atrask@wc.com
dkrinsky@wc.com

PATENT OWNER:

Tarek N. Fahmi
ASCENDA LAW GROUP, PC
tarek.fahmi@ascendalaw.com