

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GOOGLE, INC.

Petitioner

v.

TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC

Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00283

Patent 6,038,295

---

**PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO  
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF  
U.S. PATENT NO. 6,038,295 (CLAIMS 17-24)**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES .....	iii
EXHIBIT LIST .....	iv
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT 6,038,295 .....	1
III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(B)(3) .....	3
A. “Classification Information is Prescribable by a User . . . Which Characterizes the Digital Images” (independent claim 17) .....	3
B. “Telephone Unit” (independent claim 17) .....	4
C. “Digital Pick Up Unit” (independent claim 17) .....	5
IV. ARGUMENT .....	6
A. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Satoh</i> and <i>Wolverton</i> Fails to Suggest Recording Images Using a Digital Pick Up Unit in a Telephone Unit, as Required by Claim 17 .....	7
B. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Satoh</i> and <i>Wolverton</i> Fails to Suggest Transmitting a Digital Image that was Initially Stored in a Telephone Unit, as Required by Claim 17 .....	10
C. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Satoh</i> and <i>Wolverton</i> Lacks Classification Information that is Prescribable by a User of the Telephone Unit for Allocation of Digital Images, as Required by Claim 17 .....	12
D. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Satoh</i> and <i>Wolverton</i> Lacks Extracting Classification Information which Characterizes the Digital Images from the Received Data, as Required by Claim 17 .....	14
E. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wolverton</i> and <i>Satoh</i> Fails to Teach or Fairly Suggest All of the Features Required by Claim 17 .....	17
F. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Satoh</i> , <i>Wolverton</i> and <i>Bernardi</i> Fails to Obviate Dependent Claim 18 .....	19

G. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wilska</i> and <i>Morikawa</i> Fails to Suggest Transmitting a Digital Image that was Initially Stored in the Claimed Digital Pick Up Unit, as Required by Claim 17 .....	20
H. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wilska</i> and <i>Morikawa</i> Lacks Classification Information that is Prescribable by a User of the Telephone Unit for Allocation to the Digital Images, as Required by Claim 17 .....	25
I. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wilska</i> and <i>Morikawa</i> Lacks a Server that Stores the Digital Image that was Initially Stored in the Claimed Telephone Unit, as Required by Claim 17.....	29
J. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wilska</i> , <i>Morikawa</i> , and <i>Burstein</i> Fails to Obviate Dependent Claim 18 .....	31
K. Petitioner’s Proposed Combination of <i>Wilska</i> , <i>Morikawa</i> and <i>Partridge</i> Fails to Obviate Dependent Claim 22 .....	32
V. CONCLUSION.....	33

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### CASES

<i>Alza Corp. v. Mylan Labs., Inc.</i> , 464 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2006).....	6
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Int’l. Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....	7, 18, 30
<i>In re Fine</i> , 837 F.2d 1071 (Fed. Cir. 1988) .....	18, 31, 33
<i>In re Wilson</i> , 424 F.2d 1382 (CCPA 1970) .....	7
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011) .....	6

### REGULATIONS

37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c).....	1
----------------------------	---

### OTHER AUTHORITIES

MPEP 2143.03.....	7
-------------------	---

## EXHIBIT LIST

Ex. 2001      Sharp J-SH04, Wikipedia (July 7, 2014, 11:15 AM), (retrieved from:  
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/J-SH04>).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.