

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC.
Petitioner

v.

TLI COMMUNICATIONS LLC
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00283

Patent 6,038,295

**DECLARATION OF SAMUEL BRYANT DAVIDOFF IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)**

1. I, Samuel Bryant Davidoff, am more than twenty-one years of age, am competent to present this declaration, and have personal knowledge of the facts set forth herein. I have been practicing law since 2004, and for approximately the last eight years I have practiced patent litigation among other types of complex civil litigation.

2. This declaration is given in support of Petitioner Google Inc.'s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission.

3. I am a member in good standing of the bars of the State of New York and the District of Columbia.

4. I have never been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

5. No court or administrative body has ever denied my application for admission to practice before it.

6. No court or administrative body has ever imposed sanctions or contempt citations on me.

7. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.


8. I understand that I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and will be subject to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

9. I have not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any proceedings before this Office in the last three (3) years.

10. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in the present proceeding. This familiarity comes from my role as counsel to Google in *TLI*

Case IPR2015-00283
Patent 6,038,295

Communications LLC v. Google Inc., 1:13-cv-01924-GMS (D. Del.); *TLI Communications LLC v. AV Automotive, L.L.C.*, 1:14-cv-00139 (E.D. Va.); *In re TLI Communications LLC*, No. 1:14md2534 (E.D. Va.); and *TLI Communications LLC v. AV Automotive, L.L.C.*, No. 15-1372 (Fed. Cir.). Each of those cases involves or involved identical claims of the '295 patent.



Samuel Bryant Davidoff

Feb. 27, 2015