#### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

VOLKSWAGEN GROUP OF AMERICA, INC., Petitioner,

V.

VELOCITY PATENT, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00276 Patent 5,954,781

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPOSE TO PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 5,954,781

> Filed on behalf of Patent Owner Brian Kwok (Reg. No. 58828) Mavrakakis Law Group LLP 735 Emerson Street Palo Alto, CA 94301 (650) 804-7800

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '781 PATENT	4
	A. Driver Information	5
	B. Control of Driver Notification	8
III.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	10
	A. "fuel overinjection notification of circuit"	11
	B. "plurality of sensors" / "at least one sensor"	12
IV.	. INTER PARTES REVIEW SHOULD NOT BE INSTITUTED BECAUSE	Е
	THE PETITION IS PROCEDURALLY DEFICIENT	13
	A. The Petition Duplicates Petitioner's Prior Reexamination Request	
	1. Petitioner Presents References Substantially Similar To Those	
	Presented During Reexamination	15
	2. Petitioner Presents Substantially Similar Arguments As Presented	l In
	Reexamination	16
	B. Petitioner Fails To Properly Analyze Means-Plus-Function Claim	
	Elements.	18
	C. The Petitition Improperly Incorporates By Reference Evidence For	
	Facially Different Claims.	22
V.	PETITIONER FAILS TO ESTABLISH A REASONABLE LIKELIHOO	D
	THAT AT LEAST ONE OF THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS IS	
	UNPATENTABLE	25
	A. Petitioner Fails To Establish The Level Of Ordinary Skill In The Art	28
	B. Petitioner Does Not Address The Scope And Content Of The Prior A	rt. 29
	C. Petitioner Fails To Meet Its Burden To Establish Motivation To Con	ıbine
	The Cited References.	
	1. One Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Combine Jurgen, S	
	And Habu.	
	2. One Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Combine Jurgen, S	-
	Habu And Davidian	
	D. Petitioner Does Not Address Differences Between The Claims And	
	Art	45
	1. Jurgen, Smith, And Habu Do Not Disclose Elements Of Claims 1	
	4, 5, 7, 8, 10, 12, 13, 15 & 28-30	
	a. Claims 1, 7, & 13	
	b. Claims 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 12 & 15	
	c. Claims 28, 29, & 30	53



	2. Jurgen, Smith, Habu, And Davidian Do Not Disclose Elements Of	
	Claims 17-27	54
	a. Claims 17, 23 & 26	54
	b. Claim 18	55
	c. Claims 19, 20 & 21	56
	d. Claims 24 & 25	59
VI.	CHALLENGES TO CLAIMS 31 AND 32 ARE MOOT BECAUSE THEY	
	WERE STATUTORILY DISCLAIMED.	60
VII.	CONCLUSION	60



## **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

### Cases

ActiveVideo Networks, Inc. v. Verizon Commc'ns, Inc.,	
694 F.3d 1312 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	36, 43
Atoptech, Inc., v. Synopsys, Inc.,	
IPR2014-01160 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 21. 2014)	21
Bausch & Lomb, Inc. v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, Inc.,	
796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	30
Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. Philip Morris, Inc.,	
229 F.3d 1120 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	35
Butamax Advanced Biofuels LLC v. Gevo, Inc.,	
IPR2014-00581 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 14, 2014)	17
Cisco Sys., Inc. v. Constellation Techs. LLC,	
IPR2014-01179 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 4, 2015)	passim
Cologina Toola Ing a Wataus Com	-
Cohesive Techs., Inc. v. Waters Corp., 543 F.3d 1351 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	11
Conopco, Inc., v. Proctor & Gamble Co., IPR2014-00628 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 20, 2014)	14 16
Customplay, LLC v. Clearplay, Inc., IPR2014-00783 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 7, 2014)	1 Q
II K2014-00/65 (I.I.A.D. NOV. 7, 2014)	10
Ericsson Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC,	10 22 45
IPR2014-01170 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 17, 2015)	19, 22, 43
Fesenius USA, Inc. v. Baxter Int'l, Inc.,	21
582 F.3d 1288 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	21
Ford Motor Co. v. Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC,	•
IPR2014-01393 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 9, 2015)	28



Google Inc. v. EveryMD.com LLC, IPR2014-00347 (P.T.A.B. May 22, 2014)	27, 33
Gracenote, Inc. v. Iceberg Indus. LLC, IPR2013-00551 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 28, 2014)	passim
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	27
In re Davis, 1994 U.S. App. LEXIS 9186 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	29
<i>In re Donaldson Co.</i> , 16 F.3d 1189 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	21
In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	44
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	33
In re Lee, 277 F.3d 1338 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	34
In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	58
<i>In re Soni</i> , 54 F.3d 746 (Fed. Cir. 1995)	7
In re Translogic Tech, Inc., 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	10
Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs., 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	36
Intelligent bio-Systems, Inc., v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., IPR2013-00324 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 21, 2013),	13
Jiawei Tech. Ltd. v. Richmond, IPR2014-00937 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 16, 2014)	22. 45



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

