UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC Petitioner V. APLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION Patent Owner Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7,667,692 Patent No. 7,463,245 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH DATE: December 17, 2015 TIME: COMMENCE: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUBED: 11:16 a.m. TAKEN BY: Patent Owner PLACE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Airport Orlando, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR II N D E X TESTIMONY OF GREGORY WELCH CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. KEAN REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE CRETIFICATE OF OATH REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS Exhibit 1063 - Article S T I P U L A T I O N S It is hereby stipulated and agreed by between coursel present are the respective part the deposition are hereby RESERVED. REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR TO NOT THE COMMENCE STATEMENT AMERICA LLC REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR TO NOT THE COMMENCE STATEMENT OF THE COMMENCE STATEME	Page: 1
SOMY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC SOMY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC POSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. RIBERTSON APLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION Patent Owner Case No. IPROJICS CORPORATION Patent Owner Common Type Computer Com	Page 3
SONY COMPUTER ENTENTAINMENT AMERICA LLC Petitioner Petitioner APLIX IP HOLINGS CORPORATION ESTERIC TRANSMINION BY MR. GILBERTSON	
Petitioner V. APLIX IP MOLDINGS CORPORATION Fatent Owner Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7,667,692 Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7,663,245 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH COMMENTE: December 17, 2015 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY W	
APLIX IF NOLLINGS CORPORATION Fatent Owner Case No. IDR2015-00229 Fatent No. 7.667.6822 Case No. IDR2015-00229 Fatent No. 7.667.6822 Case No. IPR2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.667.682 Case No. IPR2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.667.682 Case No. IPR2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.663.245 Deposition of: GREGORY WELCH CONCLUDES: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDES: 11:16 a.m.	4
SAPLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION Patent Now. 7,667,692 Case No. IPE2015-00229 Patent No. 7,667,692 Case No. IPE2015-00230 Patent No. 7,463,245 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. THE: THE NOTION S THE COURSE SITE OF OATH THE NOTION S THE COURSE PROPERTY OF OATH THE COURSE REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE THE COURSE PROPERTY SERVED THE COURSE REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE THE COURSE PROPERTY SERVED THE COURSE REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE THE COURSE REPORTER'S DEPOSITION CERTIFICATE THE X I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	37
Fatent Owner Case No. IFF2015-00229 Fatent No. 7.667.692 Patent No. 7.667.692 Gase No. IFF2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.667.692 Patent No. 7.667.692 Gase No. IFF2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.667.692 Patent No. 7.667.692 Gase No. IFF2015-00230 Fatent No. 7.667.692 Patent No. 7.667.692 Patent No. 7.667.692 Sample Specific No. 1962.245 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH DATE: December 17, 2015 In Imm: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m.	41
Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7.667.692 Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7.667.692 Case No. IPR2015-00230 Patent No. 7.463.245 Deposition of: GREGORY WELCH DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. COMMENCED: 11:16 a.m. THE: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. COMMENCED: 11:16 a.m. TAKEN BY: Patent Owner TAKEN BY: Patent Owner Airport Fugupa Blvd Orlando, Florida 13227 REPORTED BY: Was Fisher, RMR, CRR Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A STIP U L A TIONS It is hereby sipulated and agreed by the deposition are hereby RESERVED. Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A STIP U L A TIONS It is hereby sipulated and agreed by the deposition are hereby RESERVED. Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: A AP P E A R A N C E S: A STIP U L A TIONS It is hereby sipulated and agreed by the deposition are hereby RESERVED. By R C C E S D I N G S THE COURT REFORMER: Do you solemnly swe affirm that the testimony you are about to git this cause will be the truth, the whole truth nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. GREGORY MELCH. BY R C C E S D I N G S THE COURT REFORMER: Do you as enabout to git this cause will be the truth, the whole truth nothing but the truth? THE WITNESS: I do. GREGORY MELCH. COURSEL For the PATENT OWNER A Cod morning. COURSEL For the PATENT OWNER A COMMENTAL PRINCED. COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER A COMMENTAL PRINCED. A COMMENTAL PRINCED. COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER A COMMENTAL PRINCED. COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER COURSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER COURSEL FOR THE COURT REFORMERS. COURSEL FOR THE COUR	42
Gase No. 1FR2015-00229 Satern No. 7.667,6929 Case No. 1FR2015-00230 Patern No. 7.463,745 11 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH 12 DATE: December 17, 2015 117 117 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH 12 DATE: December 17, 2015 117 118: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. 12 COMMENCED: 10:16 a.m. 14 STIPULATIONS 15 TAKEN BY: Fatent Owner 16 FLACE: Hyatt Resency Orlando International Alignor 17 39300 Jeff Fuqua Blwd Orlando, Florida 32827 18 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 19 20 21 22 23 24 24 25	
Came No. IDP2015-00230 Patent No. 7,463,245 December 17, 2015 TIME: COMMENCE: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. List STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by the deponent, that the reading and signing of the deposition are hereby RESERVED. REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: A P P E A R A N C E S: CONCRIDED: 11:10 a.m. A P P E A R A N C E S: A P P E A R A N C E S: A P P E A R A N C E S: CONCRIDED: 11:10 a.m. A P P E A R A N C E S: CONCRIDED: 11:10 a.m. A P P E A R A N C E S: A P P E A R A N C E S: CONCRIDED: 11:10 a.m. A P P E A R A N C E S: A P P R C C E D I N S THE COURT REPORTER: Do you solemnly we affirm t	
Patent No. 7,463,245 10 11 12 DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH 12 DATE: December 17, 2015 13 14 TIME: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. 15 TAKEN BY: Patent Owner 16 PALCE: Hyatr Resency Orlando International Alroort 17 18 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 ARRAN_J, KEAN, ESQUIRE 26 27 ARRAN_J, KEAN, ESQUIRE 27 38 ARRAN_J, KEAN, ESQUIRE 38 39 40 41 Creens Especially Abran. keanmeriselp.com Counsel for the PETITIONER 80 Creens Especially 19 ALSO PRESENT: ALSO	
DEPOSITION OF: GREGORY WELCH DATE: December 17, 2015 TIME: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. 15 TAKEN BY: Patent Owner 16 PLACE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International 1300 Jeff Fugua Blvd Orlando, Florida 32827 18 REFORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 21 22 23 22 34 24 25 1 APP F ARAN C E S: 1 ABRAN J, KEAN, ESQUIRE 0 COUNSel for the PETITIONER 18 STIPULATIONS It is hereby stipulated and agreed by between counsel present for the respective part deposition are hereby RESERVED. 18 PROCEEDINGS THE COURT REPORTER: Do you solemnly award affirm that the testimony you are about to git this cause will be the truth, the whole truth nothing but the truth? Abran Keanweriselp.com Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J CILBERTSON, ESQUIRE COUNSEL FOR the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: A. GODING: A. GODING: A. GODING: A. Hat's correct.	
DEFORMENTION OF: GREGORY WELCH DATE: December 17, 2015 TIME: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. TAKEN BY: Patent Owner Hyatt Regency Orlando International Application of Collado, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR PAGE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Application of Collado, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR PAGE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Application of Collado, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR PAGE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Application of Collado, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR PAGE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Application of Collado, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR PAGE: PA	
13 TIME: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. 14 TAKEN BY: Patent Owner 15 TAKEN BY: Patent Owner 16 PLACE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Airport or June 17 Orlando, Florida 32827 18 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 22 2	37
TIME: COMMENCED: 10:08 a.m. CONCLUDED: 11:16 a.m. 15 TAKEN BY: Patent Owner 16 PLACE: Hyatr Regency Orlando International 17 930D Jeff Fuqua Blvd Orlando, Florida 32827 18 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
TAKEN BY: Patent Owner Hyatt Regency Orlando International Alroort 3300 Jeff Fuqua Blyd Orlando, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR Page 2 A P P E A R A N C E S: ABRAN J KEAN, ESQUIRE OF Existed P. P. A. B. A. B. C. B. S. Selection of Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Abran. kean@eriseIP.com Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Consel Fepel P. P. A. B. C. B. S. Selection of Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Suite 200 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Greenw	
PLACE: Hyatt Regency Orlando International Action of the Provided State of the State of State	
Airport 9300 Jeff Fuqua Blvd Orlando, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 23 24 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	
Orlando, Florida 32827 REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	
REPORTED BY: Mae Fisher, RMR, CRR 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 20 21 29 29 20 20 21 21 20 20 21 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 28 20 21 29 29 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 20 20 21 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 25 21 24 25 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 21 24 25 24 25 25 24 26 27 26 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28	
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 21 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 20 21 21 22 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 28 29 28 29 29 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 21 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 21 26 26 27 27 28 28 28 28 29 28 29 29 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 21 26 26 27 28 28 28 29 28 29 28 29 29 28 29 29 29 20 20 21 20 20 20 21 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	
22 23 24 25 Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 1 PROCEEDINGS ABRAN J. KEAN, ESQUIRE Of: Erise iP, P.A. 3 3 4 4 5500 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard Suite 200 Village, CO 80111 Abran. Kean@eriseIP.com Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel. th Street Ville 200 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com DGILBERTSON, ESQUIRE OF: Greene Espel. th Street Ville 200 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: By MR. GILBERTSON: Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: ALSO PRESENT: ALSO PRESENT: By MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. By MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. By MR. Gilbertson: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. By MR. Gilbertson: Counsel for the patent of the paten	
23 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 22 25 25 26 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 20 21 21 21 22 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 22 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 20 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 26 27 28 28 28 29 29 20 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	
24 25 26 27 28 29 29 20 20 20 21 20 21 20 21 21 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 22 23 24 25 25 24 25 26 27 28 28 29 20 21 20 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21 21	
Page 2 1	
Page 2 1 A P P E A R A N C E S: 1 2 ABRAN J. KEAN, ESQUIRE 2 3 SQUITE 200 4 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 5 ADBRET J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE 3 6 Counsel for the PETITIONER 6 7 ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE 3 9 Minneapolis MN 55402 10 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com 10 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 11 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 SQUITE 300 deg Boulevard 15 SQUITE 301 deg Boulevard 16 (VST) 377-5602	
A P P E A R A N C E S: 2 ABRAN J. KEAN, ESQUIRE Of: Erise IP, P.A. 5600 Greenwood Plaza Boulevard 4 Greenwood Village, CO 80111 5 ADRAN. kean@eriseIP.com 6 Counsel for the PETITIONER 8 Creene Espel pllage 9 Minneapolis MN 55402 10 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com 11 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 11 ALSO PRESENT: 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise IP, P.A. 6 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 15 Suite 300 00 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 20 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 22 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 23 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 24 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 25 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 27 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 28 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 29 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 20 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 20 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 20 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 21 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 22 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 24 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 25 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 26 CALLIE DENDERGRASS 27 CAL	
2 ABRAN J. KEAN, ESQUIRE Of: Grise IP P.A Souline Of: Grise IP P.A Soul	Page 4
Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel PLLP 222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor E715 Suite 300 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor COURT Advisor CROSS-EXAMINATION COURT Advisor CROSS-EXAMINATION	
Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel PLLP 222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor E715 Suite 300 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor COURT Advisor CROSS-EXAMINATION COURT Advisor CROSS-EXAMINATION	swear or
Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel PLLP 222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor ETHE WITNESS: I do. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. A. Good morning. Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015- To the '245 patent. That's your understanding, 1 A. That's correct.	give in
Counsel for the PETITIONER ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel PLLP 222 South Ninth Street Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor ETHE WITNESS: I do. CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. A. Good morning. Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015- To the '245 patent. That's your understanding, 1 A. That's correct.	uth, and
ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE Of: Greene Espel, PLP Suite 220 Minneapolis MN 55402 (612) 373-0830 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 11 BY MR. GILBERTSON: 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise TP P.A. 15 Suite 300 Overland Park, KS 66211 16 (913) 777-5602 17 (18) ROBERT J. GILBERTSON, ESQUIRE 7 GREGORY WELCH, 8 a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, 9 examined, and testified as follows: 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION 11 CROSS-EXAMINATION 12 Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. 13 A. Good morning. 14 Geclarations that you have submitted in IPR2015- 15 Suite 300 Overland Park, KS 66211 16 Telating to the '692 patent and IPR2015-00230 re 17 to the '245 patent. That's your understanding, 18 18 A. That's correct.	
Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 10 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com 11 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise, TP PA 15 COUTE Boulevard 16 (913) 777-5602 17 A. That's correct.	
Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 (612) 373-0830 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor Fersiee TP P. A. Good morning. 20. We are here for deposition on supplementa Suite 300 (913) 777-5602 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. A. Good morning. Q. We are here for deposition on supplementa declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015- 16 (913) 777-5602 18 A. That's correct.	
Minneapolis, MN 55402 10 Bgilbertson@greenespel.com 11 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise, IP, P.A. 15 6201 College Boulevard 16 (913) 777-5602 17 Counsel for the PATENT OWNER 18 A. Good morning, Dr. Welch. 19 WR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. A. Good morning. 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. 11 Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015-00230 reconstruction of the '692 patent and IPR2015-00230 reconstruction of the '245 patent. That's your understanding, in the '245 patent.	n, was
Bgilbertson@greenespel.com Counsel for the PATENT OWNER By MR. GILBERTSON: Q. Good morning, Dr. Welch. ALSO PRESENT: CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor Erise, IP, P.A. 6201 College Boulevard Overland Park, KS 66211 (913) 777-5602 RS Geod morning. Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015-00 overland (913) 777-5602 To the '245 patent. That's your understanding, in the '245 patent.	
12 ALSO PRESENT: 13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise, IP, P.A. 6201 College Boulevard Overland Park, KS 66211 16 (913) 777-5602 18 A. Good morning, Dr. Welch. 19 Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015-00230 results to the '245 patent. That's your understanding, in the '245 patent. That's your understanding, in the '245 patent. That's correct.	
13 CALLIE PENDERGRASS Senior Technical Advisor 14 Erise, IP, P.A. 15 Overland Park, KS 66211 16 (913) 777-5602 17 18 A. Good morning. 18 A. Good morning. 19 Q. We are here for deposition on supplemental declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015-00verland Park, KS 66211 19 To the '245 patent. That's your understanding, 19 A. That's correct.	
Senior Technical Advisor 14	
15 6201 Collège Boulevard 15 declarations that you have submitted in IPR2015- Overland Park KS 66211 16 (913) 777-5602 17 18 18 A. That's correct.	
16	ntal
16	15-00229
18 A. That's correct.	relating
	g, right?
10 0 I have some questions for you about those	
15 2. I have some questions for you about those	ose
20 supplemental declarations, and I want to show the	them to
21 you. Let me first ask, is there anything going	ng on for
22 you today, such that it would be hard for you to	to give
23 accurate testimony?	
24 A. No.	
25 MR. GILBERTSON: Okay. Let's go off the	+b 7



	12/17	Page: 2	
	Page 5		Page 7
1	for a moment.	1	delineated active areas is not supported by the '245
2	(A discussion off the record was held.)	2	patent specification and would also be contrary to the
3	BY MR. GILBERTSON:	3	basic understanding of a skilled artisan at the time of
4	Q. Let me show you what has been marked as	4	the '245 patent.
5	Exhibit 1042 in the '692 matter. Is this your	5	Q. Thank you. Is it your understanding that Dr.
6	supplemental declaration in the '692 matter?	6	MacLean's opinions include a requirement that each
7	A. I think you said did you say 1042? 1042 is	7	application must redefine spatial boundaries of the
8	for the '245, I think.	8	delineated active areas?
9	Q. I did misspeak. Sorry. Thank you.	9	A. I don't recall her, you know, overall opinions,
10	A. That's okay.	10	but that was in response to the statements she made in
11	Q. So let's start with the '692. In the '692	11	her declaration that I cited at the beginning of
12	matter, is Exhibit 1041 your supplemental declaration?	12	paragraph 2, so it's really just in response to those
13	A. Yes. That's correct.	13	specific comments.
14	Q. And on the last page of that declaration, is that	14	Q. Part of your understanding of her view is that in
15	your signature?	15	her way of looking at it, there's a requirement that
16	A. Yes, it is.	16	each application must redefine spatial boundaries of the
17	Q. And in the '245 matter, is Exhibit 1042 your	17	delineated active areas?
18	supplemental declaration?	18	MR. KEAN: Objection. Form.
19	A. Yes, it is.	19	THE WITNESS: So I'm it's in response to,
20	Q. And is that your signature on the last page of	20	for example, the statement at the top of page 3, which
21	Exhibit 1042?	21	is in the middle of paragraph 2, where Dr. MacLean had
22	A. It is, yes.	22	said, quote, Disclosure of '245's Claim 1 clearly
23	Q. And I understand that you may have a	23	requires these delineations to be determined by the
24	clarification that we can get to in a bit relating to an	24	application and its specific requirements, not by the
25	exhibit that you referred to in your '245 declaration;	25	hardware or the operating system, close quote.
	Page 6		Page 8
1	is that right?	1	BY MR. GILBERTSON:
2	A. That's correct.	2	Q. In the sentence at the end of paragraph 0007 of
3	Q. Is that Exhibit 2023?	3	your '245 declaration, when you use the term or the
4	A. That's correct.	4	
5	Q. Okay. We will get to that one. Are there any	5	of?
6	other errors or clarifications in either your '692	6	A. So, again, I'm referring back to just the
7	supplemental declaration or your '245 supplemental	7	statements that she made, for example, as I outlined in
8	declaration that you would like to tell me about?	8	paragraph 2 of my declaration prior to that.
9	A. Not that I'm aware of now, no, thank you.	9	Q. And part of your understanding of her statements
10	Q. If you could turn, please, in your '245	10	is that it would require that each application must
11	declaration to paragraph 7.	11	redefine spatial boundaries of the delineated active
12	A. Okay.	12	areas?
13	Q. This relates generally to delineated active	13	MR. KEAN: Objection. Form.
14	areas, how they're configured or designated. Do you	14	THE WITNESS: I don't know about redefined. I
15	have paragraph 7 in front of you?	15	mean, I'm referring back to the statements that I
16	A. I do, yes.	16	cited exactly as in paragraph 2, for example, so just
17	Q. If you could turn it's a long paragraph if	17	to those statements and those words.
18	you could turn to the second page of it toward the end.	18	BY MR. GILBERTSON:
19	A. Okay.	19	Q. So what did you mean when you used the word
20	Q. Just for the benefit of the record, could you	20	redefine in your last sentence of paragraph 0007 of your
21	read in live, or out loud, the last sentence of that	21	'245 declaration?
	paragraph.	21	A. I don't recall exactly what I was thinking, but
22	A. The last sentence of paragraph 7 of 1042,	23	
23	Exhibit 1042, is, Thus, Dr. MacLean's requirement that	24	collectively referring to where Dr. MacLean says that
24	each application must redefine spatial boundaries of the	25	the application is required, quote, to specify the
25	Of the	45	



Page 11

Page 9

spatial demarcations of the delineations according to the application's specific needs. And then she also says -- and that's from paragraph 87 of Exhibit 2003. And then in the same exhibit, paragraph 90, where she says, Claim 1 requires these delineations to be determined by the application.

So sitting here right now, what I'm reading, and again, I don't remember what I was thinking, but she says the application has to determine it, the demarcations, and has to specify them. And so I think that's probably what I was referring to when I say redefine.

Q. Part of what you're doing in the '245 declaration is responding to opinions that Dr. MacLean has expressed; is that right?

MR. KEAN: Objection to the form.

THE WITNESS: Basically, the declaration is a response to only what the other experts had said, so

MacLean and -- Dr. MacLean and Mr. Lim, also, as I recall.

21 BY MR. GILBERTSON:

2.5

Q. And as part of responding to opinions that they expressed, did you feel it was important to try to understand what their opinions were?

A. I think it was important to understand what the

Page 10

statements were implying or saying, literally or implying that I refer to, for example, in paragraph 2 of my declaration.

Q. Let me ask you about paragraph 8 of your '245 declaration. Can you turn to that, please.

A. Yes

Q. The second sentence of that paragraph reads, quote, However, the '245 patent does not include any requirement that the spatial boundaries of the delineated active areas themselves must change from application to application, close quote. And the word must is in italics and underlined. Did I read that correctly?

A. I believe so, yes.

Q. And what significance does that point have, in your view?

A. Well, again, it's, I think, referring back to the quotes, the specific statements made by Dr. MacLean that, for example, in the ones that I quote -- or cite in paragraph 2. And as I recall, and I believe this is simply -- what I'm saying here is that applications may; and I believe just from memory, the language of the patent for specifications is always might or may or allowing for it, but not stating that that has to happen, that that must happen.

Q. Would you turn, please, to paragraph 10 of your '245 declaration.

A. Okav.

Q. This paragraph, you address how the '245 patent specification discusses configuring delineated active areas among other things; is that right?

A. Roughly, yes, I'd say that's correct.

Q. And if you could turn to your '692 declaration, paragraph 10. And could you just confirm for me that that's substantively the same paragraph as paragraph 10 in your '245 supplemental declaration?

A. From memory and from just looking at it briefly here, I believe they are the -- substantively the same.

Q. In paragraph 10 of these supplemental
declarations in the '245 and '692 matter, you note that
the patent specification refers several times to
software and other times to application software; is
that right?

A. That's correct.

Q. Are you able to point to any reference in the specification explicitly saying that active areas are defined by system-level software?

A. I don't recall whether I say that in my declaration or not; so just sitting here right now from memory, I don't recall. My recollection of the

Page 12

specification is that it just uses the general term
software and enumerates some things in software that -or some computational aspects that generally could not
be written by the application, among other things, as I
describe in paragraph 10. So my sense is that a person
of ordinary skill reading this would understand that
that's a very reasonable place for those delineations to
be specified or managed, either -- by anything running
on the system. So I think a person of ordinary skill
would walk away realizing there's just great flexibility
described there.

Q. And I do understand your view of what a person of ordinary skill would have understood. My question for the moment is whether you are able to point to anything in the specification that explicitly says that the active areas are defined by system-level software.

A. I'm sorry. As I was looking, I lost track of the exact question.

THE WITNESS: Could you read the question back to me.

(The record was read back as requested by the court reporter.)

THE WITNESS: I'm not aware of a place that says that they are. Again, as I stated in my declaration, they could be, and I don't think I cite



Page 15

Page 16

Page 13

this passage in my declaration, but I'll -- I'm looking at column 14 around -- starting at maybe around 48 down through 54, where it says, Where appropriate, aspects of these systems and techniques can be implemented in a computer program product tangibly embodied in a machine readable storage device for execution by a programmable processor, and method steps can be performed by programmable processor executing a program of instructions to perform functions by operating on input data and generating

So that and the preceding statements that I cite in 1445 through 48 to me leave open very generally where any of the computational aspects that are described in the specifications, because these statements are at the very end, could; so I'm not aware of a place that says, either way, must be done by the application or must be done by the system. As I say in my declaration, I think it could be either.

BY MR. GILBERTSON:

- Q. You have your declaration -- your supplemental declarations and the '245 and '692 patents in front of you; is that right?
- A. That's correct.

 ${\tt Q.}\,$ And in answering my questions about this, you've

Page 14

had an opportunity to refer to all of those?

- A. I have not referred to the entirety of the '245 or the '692 patent. I don't have them memorized. So what I'm saying here is, based on my -- what I said in my declaration, and then I went and looked at that same area, so that's really all I've looked at here. So sitting here right now, just from memory, I couldn't tell you beyond that.
- Q. And you don't see anything in your declaration identifying some part of the '245 or '692 patents specification that says that the active areas are defined by system-level software, specifically?
- A. I do not recall, and I do not see any place where I say that the -- those operations must be done by the system software. Again, I think, as I stated in here, they could be done by the system or by an application or by the system on behalf of the application, any variety of those. There's no specification that I recall either way, and I don't see anything in my declaration here in front of me that makes that sort of a statement.
- Q. And the material you quoted a little earlier from column 14, starting at around line 48, you're not suggesting that that passage is specific to system-level software, as opposed to application-level, are you?

 MR. KEAN: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: I haven't given that passage great consideration, so, you know, I don't -- I'm not sure. But I think my intent, when I was reciting that a moment ago, was simply to say -- or to provide some other evidence that the patent is very nonspecific about who or what exactly does anything described in the patent. It's just very general. It says, you know, on a -- in a computer program product tangibly embodied and so forth and so on, execution by a programmable processor. So that's very general. That describes any software running on such a device.

- Q. And would you agree that the '245 and '692 specification does, on at least one occasion, specifically refer to areas being definable by an application developer?
- A. I don't recall that offhand, sitting here. If I said that and you want to point me to it in my declaration, I'd be happy to refresh my memory, but I just don't remember.
- Q. Could you take a look at the '245 patent in front of you and turn to column 12, starting at line 26. Let me know when you're there.
 - A. Okay. I'm there.

BY MR. GILBERTSON:

Q. And that last sentence, starting at line 26

1 reads, quote, For example, a game developer could set up
2 control configurations for novice users differently than
3 for advanced users such as mapping different numbers or
4 sizes of delineated active areas in order to reduce the
5 learning time to be proficient and make game control

Did I read that correctly?

easier for first-time players, close quote.

- A. I believe you did, yes.
- Q. And that's a specific reference to application-level configuration; would you agree?

 MR. KEAN: Objection. Form.

THE WITNESS: It clearly is describing how a game developer could set up control. So it doesn't say, you know, the game developer must; but I think that comports with everything I've said in my declaration, which is that certainly applications running could, if they desire -- so desired set up control configurations for anything, for example, novice users, it says here. So I think -- you read it correctly; I read this as could, not must.

- 21 BY MR. GILBERTSON:
- Q. And that passage at column 12, lines 26 through 30, you'd agree is specific to application-level configuration, as opposed to system level configuration; is that right?



12/17/2015 Page $\overline{17}$ Page 19 MR. KEAN: Objection. Form. Q. Do you have those in front of you? 1 THE WITNESS: I think I would agree a game A. I do. 2 2 developer would be developing a game, which would be Q. Back to your supplemental declaration, let's use 3 considered an application. And again, it's -- the the '245 one, paragraph 11, on the -- paragraph 11 has 4 5 word here is could set up; could, not must, but could. 5 three lines and then spills over to another page. And BY MR. GILBERTSON: seven lines down from that, your supplemental 6 6 Q. If you could turn, please, in your '245 7 declaration says, quote, Indeed, the section titled A 7 supplemental declaration to paragraph 11. Taxonomy of Tablets in Exhibit 2023 cited by Mr. Lim R 8 states, unquote. And then you go on and quote A. Okay. 9 9 Q. And in this paragraph in general, you address 10 something, right? 10 Mr. Lim's opinions or some of them relating to tablet A. Correct. 11 11 12 devices; is that right? 12 The material that you're quoting is not in A. That's generally -- generally correct, yes. Exhibit 2023; is that correct? 13 13 14 Q. I'm not going to do this too often, but I would 14 A. That's correct. like to show you one of your supplemental declarations Q. And it's not in Exhibit 2036 from the 00533 15 15 from the '313 patent. 16 16 matter? MR. GILBERTSON: Off the record for a second. A. Right. Correct. That's one of the 17 17 (A discussion off the record was held.) clarifications we meant -- that is the clarification 18 BY MR GILBERTSON: that I wanted to make, as we discussed early on. 19 19 20 O. I'm handing you what has been marked as 20 Q. The material you're quoting relating to A Exhibit 1042 in IPR2015-00533. Is this your Taxonomy of Tablets is from an article online that 21 21 supplemental declaration in the 00533 matter? linked to the exhibit Mr. Lim referred to; is that 22 22 A. It is, I believe, one of three for the '313, and right? 23 23 yes, it would be the 00533 matter. A. It's from the article that -- from which the 2023 24 24 comes from. It's the article that that's associated O. And is that your signature on the last page of 25 2.5 Page 18 Page 20 Exhibit 1042 of the 00533 matter? with, and it's the article that Dr. Lim refers to. In 1 A. Yes, it is. fact, I believe, from memory, he refers to it as 2023 in 2 Q. If you could turn in that declaration to his declaration in two different places. I made notes 3 paragraph 35. here, paragraph 37 and 43 in Mr. Lim's declaration on 4 A. Okay. the '245, I believe he states the name, the full name of 5 Q. Thank you. And just for the benefit of those the article, and then in parentheses after that says 6 reading the transcript, the point here is to -- well, Exhibit 2023. So I inadvertently conflated the article let's start with, am I right that your paragraph 11 in with the exhibit number. So, for example, here, in the your supplemental declaration in the '245 matter is paragraph where you're pointing me to, it would more substantively the same as your paragraph 35 in your correctly read, or I would like to change it to -supplemental declaration in the 00533 matter; do you Q. When you say the paragraph I'm pointing you to, 11 11 agree with that? are you talking about paragraph 11 of your '245 12 12 A. I believe that is correct, both from memory and supplemental declaration? 13 13 from looking at the two documents right now in front of A. My apologies. Yes, that's correct. 14 14 Q. Sorry to interrupt; I just wanted it to be clear. 15 me. 15 Q. And those paragraphs refer in part to an exhibit A. That's okay. So, yes, in my declaration for 16 16 discussed by Mr. Lim, which is Exhibit 1023 -- excuse the -- supplemental declaration for the '245, which is 17 17 me, 2023 in the '245 matter and Exhibit 2036 in the Exhibit 1042, paragraph 11, midway on page 11, which I 18 18 00533 matter; is that right? guess is exhibit page 12, I refer to Exhibit 23. 19 19 A. I believe that is correct, yes. would more clearly say in the article that associated 20 20 with Exhibit 23, or something like that. That's --And I'm handing you those two exhibits, 2023 from 21 21 the '245 matter and 2036 from the 00533 matter. Exhibit 23 is a figure that is included in that article, 22 22 MR. GILBERTSON: Off the record. and it's -- what I intended was the same article that 23 23



BY MR. GILBERTSON:

24

(A discussion off the record was held.)

24

and 43.

Mr. Lim refers to in his declaration in paragraphs 37

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

