DOCKE

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SONY COMPUTER ENTERTAINMENT AMERICA LLC Petitioner

v.

APLIX IP HOLDINGS CORPORATION Patent Owner

> Case No. IPR2015-00229 Patent No. 7,667,692

PATENT OWNER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Patent Owner, Aplix IP Holdings Corporation, requests oral argument

pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order entered May 29,

2015 (Paper 16), Patent Owner respectfully requests two and a half hours of oral

argument, in total, for the proceedings currently scheduled for hearing on January

19, 2016.¹ Patent Owner specifies the following issues, without waiving other issues, to be argued:

- Petitioner cites no evidence of obviousness of claims 1-3, 5, 7-10, 12, 13, 15-18, and 20;
- 2. Person of ordinary skill in the art;
- Hedberg does not qualify as prior art for an obviousness determination since it is not analogous to the '692 patent;
- Liebenow and Armstrong do not render claims 3 and 5 unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious;
- 5. Liebenow and Hedberg do not render claims 11, 13, and 19 unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious;
- ¹ The Board has scheduled hearings for January 19, 2016, in five proceedings: IPR2015-00229 ('692 patent)
 IPR2015-00230 ('245 patent)
 IPR2015-00396 ('313 patent)
 IPR2015-00476 ('313 patent)
 IPR2015-00533 ('313 patent)

Patent Owner requests 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours for its presentation regarding all of these proceedings, which would be the equivalent of affording each proceeding approximately 30 minutes. Patent Owner anticipates that some proceedings may require more or less time within the 2 $\frac{1}{2}$ hours.

- Secondary considerations show that the '692 patent's claims are not obvious;
- Petitioner's Expert Declarations of Gregory F. Welch (Exhibits 1008 and 1041);
- 8. Response to arguments raised in Petitioner's Reply;
- Response to any issues specified by Petitioner in its request for oral argument; and
- 10. Any other issues briefed or presented by the parties throughout this trial.

Finally, Aplix requests authorization to use audio-visual equipment-a

projector and screen-at the hearing for displaying demonstrative exhibits.

Dated: December 23, 2015.

DOCKE

By: <u>/Sybil L. Dunlop/</u> Robert J. Gilbertson (pro hac vice) Sybil L. Dunlop (pro hac vice) X. Kevin Zhao (pro hac vice) GREENE ESPEL PLLP 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Telephone: (612) 373-0830 Facsimile: (612) 373-0830 E-mail: BGilbertson@GreeneEspel.com SDunlop@GreeneEspel.com

> Michael Mauriel, USPTO Reg. No. 44,226 Sherman W. Kahn (pro hac vice) MAURIEL KAPOUYTIAN WOODS LLP 15 West 26th Street, Floor 7 New York, NY 10010 Telephone: (212) 529-5131 Facsimile: (212) 529-5132 E-mail: mmauriel@mkwllp.com skahn@mkwllp.com

Attorneys for Patent Owner Aplix IP Holdings Corporation

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

Δ

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6, I hereby certify that on this 23rd day of December 2015, the foregoing Patent Owner Aplix IP Holdings Corporation's Request for Oral Argument is being served via email on the following counsel of record for petitioner.

Lead Counsel	Back-Up Counsel
Eric A. Buresh (Reg. No. 50,394)	Abran J. Kean (Reg. No. 58,540)
Eric.buresh@eriseip.com	<u>Abran.kean@eriseip.com</u>
Postal and Hand-Delivery Address:	Postal and Hand-Delivery Address:
ERISE IP, P.A.	ERISE IP, P.A.
6201 College Blvd., Suite 300	5600 Greenwood Plaza Blvd., Suite
Overland Park, Kansas 66211	200
Telephone: (913) 777-5600	Greenwood Village, Colorado 80111
Fax: (913) 777-5601	Telephone: (913) 777-5600
	Fax: (913) 777-5601

Dated: December 23, 2015.	/Sybil L. Dunlop/
	Robert J. Gilbertson (pro hac vice)
	Sybil L. Dunlop (pro hac vice)
	X. Kevin Zhao (pro hac vice)
	GREENE ESPEL PLLP
	222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2200
	Minneapolis, MN 55402
	Telephone: (612) 373-0830
	Facsimile: (612) 373-0929

Counsel for Patent Owner Aplix IP Holdings Corporation