

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., *et al.*,
Petitioners

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.
(FORMERLY KNOWN AS INNOVATIVE
COMMUNICATIONS
TECHNOLOGIES, INC.)
Patent Owner

INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,108,704
Case IPR No.: *To Be Assigned*

DECLARATION OF BRUCE M. MAGGS, PH.D.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND	1
II. MATERIALS REVIEWED AND CONSIDERED	4
III. THE BASICS OF NETWORK COMMUNICATION	6
A. Computer Network Hardware Configurations	6
B. Network Protocols	8
C. Assigning Network Addresses to Devices	9
D. Mapping Names to IP Addresses	13
E. Looking Up the IP Address of a Network Device, Including Those with Dynamically Assigned Addresses	13
F. Point-to-Point Communications	16
G. User Interfaces	17
IV. SUMMARY OF THE '704 PATENT	18
A. Summary of the Alleged Invention	18
1. Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses	19
2. Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with a Connection Server	20
3. Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to Connection Server, Which Returns IP Address of Second Processing Unit	21
4. Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit	21
5. Using a "User Interface" to Control the Process	22
B. Original Prosecution of the '704 Patent	23
C. Prior <i>Ex Parte</i> Reexamination of the '704 Patent	23
D. The Sipnet <i>Inter Partes</i> Review for the '704 Patent (Ex. 1008)	24
V. Overview of the Primary Prior Art References	25
A. WINS (Ex. 1003)	25

1.	Step 1: Processing Units Obtain Dynamically Assigned IP Addresses from DHCP Servers.....	26
2.	Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the WINS Server	28
3.	Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to WINS Server and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit	32
4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communication with Second Processing Unit	33
B.	NetBIOS (Ex. 1004)	34
1.	Step 1: Processing Units Have Assigned IP Addresses.....	35
2.	Step 2: Processing Units Register Their IP Addresses and Identifiers with the NBNS	36
3.	Steps 3 & 4: First Processing Unit Sends Query to the NBNS and Receives the IP Address of the Second Processing Unit	37
4.	Step 5: First Processing Unit Uses Received IP Address to Establish Point-to-Point Communications with Second Processing Unit	38
C.	Pinard (Ex. 1013)	39
VI.	LEGAL STANDARD	42
VII.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	45
VIII.	Specific Grounds for Petition	46
A.	Ground 1: Claim 1 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS and NetBIOS.	46
1.	A Person Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS and NetBIOS.....	46
2.	Claim 1 (Independent) is Obvious.....	47
B.	Ground 2: Claims 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31 Would Have Been Obvious Over WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard	55
1.	One Skilled in the Art Would Have Been Motivated to Combine WINS, NetBIOS, and Pinard.	55
2.	Claim 11 (Independent) is Obvious.....	57

3.	Claim 12 (Depends from Claim 11) is Obvious.	61
4.	Claim 14 (Depends from Claim 11) is Obvious.	62
5.	Claim 16 (Depends from Claim 11) is Obvious.	64
6.	Claim 19 (Depends from Claim 11) is Obvious.	65
7.	Claim 22 (Independent) is Obvious.	66
8.	Claim 23 (Depends from Claim 22) is Obvious.	68
9.	Claim 27 (Depends from Claim 22) is Obvious.	68
10.	Claim 30 (Depends from Claim 22) is Obvious.	69
11.	Claim 31 (Depends from Claim 30) is Obvious.	70
IX.	CONCLUSION.....	71

I, Bruce M. Maggs, Ph.D., declare:

1. I have been retained by counsel for the Petitioners to submit this declaration in connection with Petitioners' Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of Claims 1, 11-12, 14, 16, 19, 22-23, 27, and 30-31 of U.S. Patent No. 6,108,704 ("the '704 patent") (Ex. 1001). I am being compensated for my time at a rate of \$700 per hour, plus actual expenses. My compensation is not dependent in any way upon the outcome of this Petition.

I. PERSONAL AND PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND

1. I am an expert in the field of computer systems and networking, including network communication protocols and database design. I have studied, taught, practiced, and researched in the field of Computer Science for approximately twenty-five years.

2. I received a Ph.D. in Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1989, a Master of Science degree in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1986, and a Bachelor of Science degree in Computer Science from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1985.

3. I have been a Professor of Computer Science at Duke University since July 2009, where I first served as a Visiting Professor, and then became a tenured full Professor in January 2010. On July 1, 2011, I became the Pelham Wilder

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.