Trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

Paper 24 Entered: May 21, 2015

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., TOSHIBA CORP., VIZIO, INC., and HULU, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC., Patent Owner.

Cases

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1) IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1) IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, Administrative Patent Judges.

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission 37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1) IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1) IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 3<sup>1</sup>), Hulu, LLC ("Hulu") filed a "Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission" (Paper 13, "Mot.") of Sharif Jacob.<sup>2</sup> LG Electronics, Inc., Toshiba Corp., VIZIO, Inc., and Hulu (collectively, "Petitioner") are expected to continue to have registered practitioner as lead counsel. Straight Path IP Group, Inc. ("Patent Owner") does not oppose to Hulu's Motion. Mot. 2. Hulu's Motion is *granted*. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *see also Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).<sup>3</sup>

It is

RM

ORDERED that Hulu's Motion for *pro hac vice* admission is *granted*, and Mr. Jacob is authorized to represent Hulu as back-up counsel in IPR2015-00196, IPR2015-00198, and IPR2015-00209;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these *inter partes* review proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Jacob is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and that

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> All citations are to IPR2015-00196 unless otherwise noted. IPR2015-00198 and IPR2015-00209 include the same cited papers and exhibits.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Patent Owner also filed an affidavit of Mr. Jacob in support of the Motion (Ex. 1033).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Available at http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders.

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1) IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1) IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

Mr. Jacob is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 et seq.

For PETITIONER:

**Darren** Jiron darren.jiron@finnegan.com

Rajeev Gupta Raj.Gupta@finnegan.com

Clint Conner clint@dorsey.com

Kevin O'Brien Kevin.O'Brien@bakermckenzie.com

Leo Lam llam@kvn.com

For PATENT OWNER:

William Meunier WAMeunier@mintz.com

Matthew Durrell MDurell@mintz.com

Δ

3

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1) IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1) IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

DOCKET