

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., TOSHIBA CORP., VIZIO, INC., and  
HULU, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)  
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)  
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

---

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judges.*

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)  
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)  
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 3<sup>1</sup>), Hulu, LLC (“Hulu”) filed a “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” (Paper 12, “Mot.”) of Matthias A. Kamber.<sup>2</sup> LG Electronics, Inc., Toshiba Corp., VIZIO, Inc., and Hulu (collectively, “Petitioner”) are expected to continue to have registered practitioner as lead counsel. Straight Path IP Group, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) does not oppose to Hulu’s Motion. Mot. 2. Hulu’s Motion is *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); see also *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).<sup>3</sup>

It is

ORDERED that Hulu’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission is *granted*, and Mr. Kamber is authorized to represent Hulu as back-up counsel in IPR2015-00196, IPR2015-00198, and IPR2015-00209;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these *inter partes* review proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Kamber is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and that

---

<sup>1</sup> All citations are to IPR2015-00196 unless otherwise noted. IPR2015-00198 and IPR2015-00209 include the same cited papers and exhibits.

<sup>2</sup> Patent Owner also filed an affidavit of Mr. Kamber in support of the Motion (Ex. 1031).

<sup>3</sup> Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)  
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)  
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

Mr. Kamber is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

For PETITIONER:

Darren Jiron  
[darren.jiron@finnegan.com](mailto:darren.jiron@finnegan.com)

Rajeev Gupta  
[Raj.Gupta@finnegan.com](mailto:Raj.Gupta@finnegan.com)

Clint Conner  
[clint@dorsey.com](mailto:clint@dorsey.com)

Kevin O'Brien  
[Kevin.O'Brien@bakermckenzie.com](mailto:Kevin.O'Brien@bakermckenzie.com)

Leo Lam  
[llam@kvn.com](mailto:llam@kvn.com)

For PATENT OWNER:  
William Meunier  
[WAMeunier@mintz.com](mailto:WAMeunier@mintz.com)

Matthew Durrell  
[MDurrell@mintz.com](mailto:MDurrell@mintz.com)