A MIMO SYSTEM WITH BACKWARD COMPATIBILITY FOR OFDM BASED WLANS

Jianhua Liu Jian Li

Dept. of Electrical and Computer Engineering University of Florida, P.O. **Box** 116130 Gainesville, FL 32611, USA

ABSTRACT

Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) has been selected as the basis for the new IEEE 802.1 la standard for highspeed wireless local area networks (WLANs). We consider doubling the data rate of the IEEE 802.1 la system by using a multiinput multi-output (MIMO) system with two transmit and two receive antennas. We propose a preamble design for this MIMO system that is backward compatible with its single-input single-output **(SISO)** counterpart as specified by the IEEE 802.11a standard. Based on this preamble design, we devise a sequential method for the estimation of the carrier frequency offset (CFO), symbol timing, and channel response. We also provide a simple soft-detector to obtain the soft-information for the Viterbi decoder. Both the sequential parameter estimation method and the soft-detector are ideally suited for real-time implementations. The effectiveness of our methods is demonstrated via numerical examples.

1. INTRODUCTION

Orthogonal frequency-division multiplexing (OFDM) has been selected as the basis for the IEEE 802.11a 5 GHz band high-speed wireless local area network (WLAN) standard **[I].** This standard supports **B** data rate up to **54** Mbps **by** using **a** single-input singleoutput **(SISO)** system.

Transmission data rates higher than **54** Mbps are of particular importance for future WLANs. Deploying multiple antennas at both the transmitter and the receiver is a promising way to achieve a high transmission data rate for multipath-rich wireless channels without increasing the total transmission power or bandwidth 121. The corresponding system is referred to as a multi-input multioutput (MIMO) wireless communication system.

Among the various popular MIMO wireless communication schemes, the BLAST (Bell-labs' LAyered Space-Time) approaches are particularly attractive (see, e.g., [3] and the references therein). BLAST attempts to achieve the potentially large channel capacity offered by the MIMO system [2]. In BLAST systems, the data stream **is** demultiplexed into independent sub-streams that are referred to as layers. These layers are transmitted simultaneously, i.e., one layer per transmit antenna. At the receiver, the multiple layers can be detected, for example, through successive detection via an interference cancellation and nulling algorithm (ICNA) **[31.**

Our focus herein is on doubling the data rate of the **SISO** system as specified by the IEEE 802.1 la standard by using two transmit and two receive antennas (referred to as the MlMO system in *Petre Sroica*

Department of Systems and Control Uppsala University, P.O. **Box** 337 SE-75105 Uppsala, Sweden

the sequel) based on the BLAST scheme. We propose a preamble design for this MIMO system that is backward compatible with its **SISO** counterpart as specified by the IEEE 802.1 la standard. That is, a SISO receiver can perform CFO, symbol timing, and channel response estimation based on the proposed preamble design and can detect up to the SIGNAL field. The **SlSO** receiver is then informed, by using, e.g., the reserved hit in the SIGNAL field, that a transmission is a **SISO** or not. Ow preamble design can be used with two transmit and any number of receive antennas. However, we mainly focus on the two receive antenna case herein.

ideally suited for real-time implementations, to estimate the CFO, symbol timing, and MIMO channel response. The convolutional code specified in the IEEE 802.11a standard will also be used in our MlMO system for channel coding. As a result, soft-information from the MIMO detector is needed by the Viterbi Algorithm (VA) to improve the decoding performance. A List Spere Decoder (LSD) algorithm 141 was recently proposed to deliver soft-information. However, LSD is too complicated to be implemented in real-time. We present herein a simple MIMO soft-detector, ideally suited for real-time implementations, based on the unstructured least-square (LS) fitting approach. This soft-detector is computationally much more efficient than LSD; yet the efficiency is achieved at a cost of a **small** performance degradation. Based on our preamble design, we propose a sequential method,

2. SYSTEM DESIGN

Our MIMO system closely resembles its SISO counterpart as specified by the IEEE 802.1 la standard, We first give a brief overview of the EEE 802.1 la based **SlSO** system before we proceed to describe our MIMO system.

2.1. IEEE 8OZ.lla Standard

The OFDM based WLAN system, as specified by the IEEE 802.1 la standard, uses packet-based transmission. [Fig.](#page-1-0) **1** shows the packet structure specified by the standard. The nominal bandwidth of the OFDM signal is 20 **MHz** and the **I/Q** sampling interval *ts* is 50 ns. The OFDM packet preamble consists of 10 identical short OFDM training symbols t_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, 10$, each of which contains $N_C = 16$ samples, and 2 identical long OFDM training symbols T_i , $i = 1, 2$, each of which contains $N_S = 64$ samples. Between the short and long OFDM training symbols there is a long guard interval (GI2) consisting of $2N_C = 32$ data samples. GI2 is the cyclic prefix (CP) for the long OFDM training symbol T_1 , i.e., it is the exact replica of the last $2N_c$ samples of T_1 .

The infomation carrying data are encoded in the OFDM DATA field. The binary source data sequence is first scrambled and then

0-7803-7858-X/03/\$17.00 @2003 IEEE 130 130

M

DOCKE

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at **docketalarm.com**.

This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation Grant **CCR-0097114,** the Intersil Corporation Contract **2001056,** and **the** Swedish Foundation for Strategic Research (SSF).

OFDM packet preamble	SIGNAL field		OFDM DATA field	
$10 \times 0.8 = 8 \text{ }\mu\text{s}$	$1.6 + 2 \times 3.2 = 8 \,\mu s$	$0.8 + 3.2 = 4 \mu s \cdot 0.8 + 3.2 = 4 \mu s$		
lt1 t2 t3 t4 t5 t6 t7 t8 t9 t10 GI2		IGI SIGNAL IGI	OFDM symbol	OFDM symbol

Fig. **1.** Packet structure of the IEEE 802.1 la standard.

IEEE 802.11a compatible packet preamble Long training symbol block 1 Short training symbols			SIGNAL field	Long training symbol block 2			OFDM DATA field	
$10 \times 0.8 = 8 \,\mu s$	$1.6 + 2 \times 3.2 = 8$ US		$0.8 + 3.2 = 4$ usl		$1.6 + 2 \times 3.2 = 8$ µs		$0.8 + 3.2 = 4 \,\text{u}$ s	
\mathfrak{t}_1 i \mathfrak{t}_2 i \mathfrak{t}_3 i \mathfrak{t}_4 i \mathfrak{t}_5 i \mathfrak{t}_6 i \mathfrak{t}_7 i \mathfrak{t}_8 i \mathfrak{t}_9 it \mathfrak{t}_1 α is α if α is a set of α is			SIGNAL.	GI2 $A-GI2$	-11	-т,	VGI OFDM symbol AGPOFDM symbol	GLOFDM symbol GPOFDM symbol

Fig. **2.** Proposed MIMO preamble (and SIGNAL field) structure

Convolutionally enCoded (CC). The CC encoded output is then punctured according to the data rate requirement and is segmented into blocks of length *NCSPS* (number of coded hits per OFDM symbol), each of which corresponds to an data OFDM symbol. The binary data in each block is first interleaved among the subcarriers (referred to as the frequency-domain **(FD)** interleaving in the sequel) and then mapped (in groups of $log_2 A$ bits) into A -QAM symbols, which are used to modulate the different data carrying subcarriers. Each data OFDM symbol in the OFDM DATA field employs $N_S = 64$ subcarriers, 48 of which are used for data symbols and 4 for pilot symbols. There are also 12 null subcarriers. The data OFDM symbols, each of which consists of $N_S = 64$ samples, are obtained via taking the IFFT of the data symbols, pilot symbols, and nulls on these N_S subcarriers. To eliminate the inter-symbol interference **(ISI),** each data OFDM symbol is preceded by a **CP** or guard interval (GI), which contains the last *Nc* samples of the data OFDM symbol.

The SIGNAL field contains the information including the transmission data rate and data length of the packet. The information is encoded in 16 binary bits. There is also a reserved bit (which can be used to distinguish the MIMO from SISO transmissions) and a parity check bit. These **18** bits, padded with 6 zeros, are then CC encoded and mapped via BPSK onto the data carrying subcarriers.

2.2. SISO Data Model

DOCKE

To establish the data model, consider first the generation of an data OFDM symbol in the OFDM DATA field. Let $\mathbf{x}_{\text{SISO}} =$ $[x_1^1 \ x_2^1 \ \cdots \ x_{N_S}^1]^T$ be a vector of N_S data symbols, where $(\cdot)^T$ denotes the transpose, and x_{ns}^1 , $n_s = 1, 2, \cdots, N_s$, is the symbol modulating the n_S th subcarrier, which is equal to 0 for null subcarriers, 1 or -1 for pilot subcarriers, and in C for data carrying subcarriers. Here *C* is a finite constellation, such as BPSK, QPSK,
16-QAM, or 64-QAM. Let **W**_{Ns} $\in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times N_S}$ be the FFT matrix. Then the data OFDM symbol **s** corresponding to \mathbf{x}_{SISO} is obtained by taking the IFFT of \mathbf{x}_{SISO} . That is, $\mathbf{s} = \mathbf{W}_{N_{\text{S}}}^{H} \mathbf{x}_{\text{SISO}}/N_{S}$, where $(\cdot)^{H}$ denotes the conjugate transpose.

Let $h^{(t)} = [h_0^{(t)} \ h_1^{(t)} \ \cdots \ h_{L,t-1}^{(t)}]^T$ be the FIR response of the channel. Here $L_F = \left[10t_r/t_s\right] + 1$ with t_r being the root mean square (rms) delay spreading time and $\lceil x \rceil$ denoting the smallest integer not less than *x*. We assume, for $l_F = 0, \dots, L_F - 1$, $h_{l_F}^{(t)} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (1 - e^{-t_S/t_F})e^{-l_F t_S/t_F})$. This channel model is referred to as the exponential model.

By discarding the first *Nc* samples at the receiver (assuming a correct symbol timing), the noise-free and CFO free received signal vector $\mathbf{z}_{\text{SISO}}^{\text{ne}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times 1}$, due to sampling the received signal, is the circular convolution of $h^{(t)}$ and s . Hence the FFT output of the received data vector $z_{\text{SISO}} = z_{\text{SISO}}^{\text{ne}} + e_{\text{SISO}}$, where e_{SISO} is the additive zero-mean white circularly symmetric complex Gaussian noise, can be writren as *[5]*

$$
\mathbf{y}_{\text{SISO}} = \text{diag}\{\mathbf{h}\} \mathbf{x}_{\text{SISO}} + \mathbf{W}_{N_S} \mathbf{e}_{\text{SISO}} \in \mathbb{C}^{N_S \times 1}.\tag{1}
$$

2.3. MIMO Preamble Design

For the IEEE 802.1 la based **SlSO** system, the short training symbols can be used to detect the arrival of the packet, set up AGC, compute a coarse CFO estimate, and obtain a coarse symbol timing, whereas the long training symbols can be used to calculate a fine CFO estimate, refine the coarse symbol timing, and estimate the **SlSO** channel.

The MIMO system considered herein has two transmit and two receive antennas. Two packets are transmitted simultaneously from the two transmit antennas. We design two preambles, one for each transmit antenna. We assume that the receiver antenna outputs suffer from the same CFO and has the same symbol timing. To be backward compatible with the SlSO system, we use the same short training symbols as in the **SlSO** preamble for both of the MIMO transmit antennas, as shown in Fig. **2.**

Channel estimation for MIMO systems has attracted much research interest lately. Orthogonal training sequences tend to give the best performance (see, e.g., $[6]$ and the references therein). We **also** adopt this idea of orthogonal training sequences in our preamble design. In the interest of backward compatibility, we use the same T_1 and T_2 as for the SISO system for both of the two transmit antenna before the SIGNAL field, as shown in Fig. **2.** After the SIGNAL field, we use T_1 and T_2 for one transmit antenna and $-T_1$ and $-T_2$ for the other. This way, when the simultaneously transmitted packets **are** received by a single **SISO** receiver, the SISO receiver can successfully detect up to the SIGNAL field, which is designed to be the same for both transmit antennas. The reserved bit in the SIGNAL field can tell the SlSO receiver to stop **its** operation whenever a MIMO transmission follows or otherwise to continue its operation. The long training symbols before and *af*ter the SIGNAL field are used in the MIMO receivers for channel estimation.

2.4. MIMO Data Model

To stay as close to the IEEE 802.11a standard as possible, we use in our MlMO system the same scrambler, convolutional encoder, puncturer, **FD** interleaver, symbol mapper, pilot sequence, and CP as specified in the standard. To improve diversity, we add a simple spatial interleaver to scatter every two consecutive bits across the two transmit antennas.

Consider the n_S th subcarrier (for notational convenience, we drop the notational dependence on n_S below). Consider the case of *N* receive antennas. Let $\mathbf{H} = [h_{n,m}] \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 2}$ denote the MIMO channel matrix for the n_S th subcarrier, where $h_{n,m}$ is the channel gain from the mth transmit antenna to the nth receive antenna for the nsth subcarrier. Let **y** denote a received data vector for the n_s th subcarrier. Then it can be written as

$$
\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e} \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times 1},\tag{2}
$$

where $\mathbf{x} = [x_1 \ x_2]^T$ is the 2 × 1 QAM symbol vector sent on the nsth subcarrier and $\mathbf{e} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_N)$ is the additive white circularly symmetric complex Gaussian noise with variance σ^2 . In Section 4, we will provide a soft-detector based on this model.

3. SEQUENTIAL CHANNEL ESTIMATION

In this section, we present our sequential CFO, symbol timing, and MIMO channel estimation approach based on our preamble design. The estimates are obtained in the order presented below.

3.1. Coarse CFO and Symbol Timing Estimation

Let $z_n(l) = z_n^{\text{ne}}(l) + e_n(l)$, $n = 1, \dots, N$, denote the *I*th time sample of the signal received from the n th receive antenna, starting from the moment that the receiver AGC has become stationary (the receiver AGC is assumed to become stationary at least before receiving the last two short OFDM training symbols and remain stationary while receiving the remainder of the packet). In the presence of CFO, ϵ , we have [7]:

$$
z_n^{\text{ne}}(l+N_C) = z_n^{\text{ne}}(l)e^{j2N_C\pi\epsilon}, \quad n = 1, \cdots, N. \tag{3}
$$

For each receive antenna output, consider the correlation between two consecutive noise-free received data blocks, each of which is of length *Nc.* Then the sum of the correlations for all receive antennas can be written as

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=k}^{k+N_C-1} z_n^{\text{ne}}(l) (z_n^{\text{ne}}(l+N_C))^* = P e^{-j2N_C \pi \epsilon}, \quad (4)
$$

where $P \triangleq \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N_C-1} |z_n^{\text{ne}}(l)|^2$, $(\cdot)^*$ denotes the complex conjugate, and *k* is any non-negative integer such that $z_n^{\text{ne}}(k + 2N_C - 1)$ is a sample of the *n*th receive antenna output due to the input (transmit antenna output) being **a** sample of the short OFDM training symbols. Let **A**

$$
P_S = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N_C-1} z_n(l) z_n^*(l+N_C) = P e^{-j2N_C \pi \epsilon} + e_P, \quad (5)
$$

where *ep* is due to the presence of the noise. We calculate the coarse CFO as [8]

DOCKE

$$
\hat{\epsilon}_C = -\frac{1}{2N_C \pi} \angle P_S,\tag{6}
$$

where $\angle x$ denotes taking the argument of x .

We next correct the CFO using $\hat{\epsilon}_C$ to get the data samples $z_n^{(C)}(l)$ as $z_n^{(C)}(l) = z_n(l)e^{-j2l\pi\epsilon}c$, $n = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. In the sequel, we only consider the CFO corrected data given above. For notational convenience, we drop the superscript of $z_n^{(C)}(l)$.

Now we can use a correlation method similar to the one proposed in 171 to estimate the coarse symbol timing. Here the symbol timing is referred to as the starting time sample due to the input being the long OFDM training symbol T_1 (before the SIGNAL field). Once the starting time sample due to T_1 is determined, we can determine the starting time sample for every OFDM symbol thereafter. (According to the specification of the EEE **802.11a** standard and the sampling rate of **20** MHz, the true symbol timing *TO* is 193.)

From (4), we note that the correlation (after the CFO correction) is approximately the real-valued scalar *P* (plus a complexvalued noise). Hence we propose to use the following real-valued correlation sequence for coarse symbol timing determination. We calculate the correlation sequence in an iterative form similar to the complex-valued approach in $[7]$ as follows:

$$
P_R(k+1) = P_R(k) +
$$

Re $\sum_{n=1}^{N} [z_n(k+N_C)z_n^*(k+2N_C) - z_n(k)z_n^*(k+N_C)], (7)$

where $\text{Re}(\cdot)$ denotes the real part of a complex entity. We start the iteration by using $P_R(0) = \text{Re}(P_S)$.

When some of the data samples of the sliding data blocks are taken from the received data due to the input being GI2 or the long training symbols following the short OFDM training symbol, $P_R(k)$ will drop since (3) no longer holds. This property is used to obtain the coarse symbol timing. Let *Tp* denote the first time sample when $P_R(k)$ drops to less than half of its peak value. Then the coarse symbol timing can be written as

$$
T_C = T_P + \frac{3}{2} N_C + N_C.
$$
 (8)

Note that the second term at the right hand side of (8) is due to the fact that $P_R(k)$ will drop to approximately one half of its maximum value when the data samples of the second half of the second of the two sliding blocks are due to GI2 in the preamble; the third term is due to one half of the length of **G12,** since our goal of coarse timing determination is to place the coarse timing estimate between the true timing $T_0 = 193$ and $T_0 - N_C = 177$ to make accurate fine CFO estimation possible.

3.2. Fine **CFO** and Symbol Timing Estimation

The fine CFO estimate can be computed as

$$
\hat{\epsilon}_F = -\frac{1}{2N_S\pi} \angle \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=0}^{N_S-1} z_n (l + T_C) z_n^*(l + T_C + N_S). \quad (9)
$$

We can use $\hat{\epsilon}_F$ in the same way as $\hat{\epsilon}_C$ to correct the CFO. We assume that for the data we use below $\hat{\epsilon}_F$ has been already corrected. (The fine CFO estimation is accurate enough for the following fine symbol timing and MlMO channel response estimation. However, it *can* never he perfect due to the noise. Hence before data bits detection, we need to use the pilot symbols to track the CFO residual phase for each data OFDM symbol. A maximum-likelihood (ML) CFO residual tracking scheme is given in Appendix A,)

132

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at **docketalarm.com**.

Let y_n denote the N_S -point FFT of the data block from the *nth* receive antenna, starting from T_C , and let $\mathbf{h}_{n,m}^{(t)}$ be the FIR channel in the time-domain between the mth transmit antenna and the *n*th receive antenna, $m = 1, 2, n = 1, 2, \cdots, N$. Then, by neglecting the existence of the residual CFO, y_n can be written as $\mathbf{y}_n = \mathbf{X}_B \mathbf{W}_{N_S} \sum_{m=1}^2 \mathbf{h}_{n,m}^{(t)} + \mathbf{W}_{N_S} \mathbf{e}_n$, where \mathbf{X}_B is a diagonal matrix with the *52* known BPSK symbols and **12** zeros, which form the T_1 in Fig. 2, on the diagonal. We get an estimate of form the T_1 in Fig. 2, on the diagonal. We get an estimate of $h_n^{(t)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h_n^{(t)}$ as $\hat{h}_n^{(t)} = \mathbf{W}_N^H \mathbf{X}_B \mathbf{y}_n / N_S$. Let T_I denote the index of the first element of $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\hat{h}_n^{(t)}|$ that is above 1/3 of the maximum value of the elements of $\sum_{n=1}^{N} |\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n}^{(t)}|$. Then the fine symbol timing *TF* is obtained **as**

$$
T_F = T_C + T_I - 3. \tag{10}
$$

The last term above is chosen to be 3 to ensure that $T_F > T_0$ with negligible probability.

3.3. MIMO Channel Estimation

After we obtained T_F , we can now estimate the MIMO channel response. Let $y_{n,1}$ denote the N_S-point FFT of the average of the two consecutive blocks, each of which is of length N_S , associated with the two long training symbols before the SIGNAL field, from the nth receive antenna. Let $y_{n,2}$ denote the counterpart of $y_{n,1}$ after the SIGNAL field. Then, for the n_S th subcarrier, we have

$$
y_{n,1} \approx x_B (h_{n,1} + h_{n,2}), \quad y_{n,2} \approx x_B (h_{n,1} - h_{n,2}), \quad (11)
$$

where x_B denotes the n_S th diagonal element of \mathbf{X}_B and $y_{n,i}$ denotes the n_S th element of $y_{n,i}$, $i = 1, 2$. Solving (11) yields

$$
\hat{h}_{n,1} = x_B(y_{n,1} + y_{n,2})/2, \tag{12}
$$

$$
\hat{h}_{n,2} = x_B(y_{n,1} - y_{n,2})/2.
$$
\n(13)

4. **A** SIMPLE MIMO SOFT-DETECTOR

With the CFO, symbol timing, and MIMO channel determined and accounted for. we can proceed to detect the data bits contained in each BLAST layer and subcarrier of the data OFDM symbols in the OFDM DATA field. In the sequel we present a very simple soft-detector for the MlMO system. Note that this soft-detector can be used in a general setting of the BLAST system and hence we present it in a general framework based on the data model of (2), where **H** is assumed to be $N \times M$ and **x** to be $M \times 1$. (We use \hat{H} to replace H in some of our simulations.)

Consider first the ML hard detector of the BLAST system. For the data model of *(2),* the ML hard detector is given by:

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \arg \min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{C}^{M \times 1}} ||\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{H}\mathbf{x}||^2,
$$
 (14)

$$
\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{us}} = \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{H}^{\dagger} \mathbf{e} \stackrel{\Delta}{=} \mathbf{x} + \mathbf{c}.
$$
 (15)

Note that $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{us}$ is the soft-decision statistic that we are interested in. We refer to this simple scheme **of** obtaining a soft-decision statistic **ac** the MIMO soft-detection scheme. We remark that **a** necessary

DOCKE

condition for $\mathbf{H}^H\mathbf{H}$ to be nonsingular is $N \geq M$ and **c** is still Gaussian with zero-mean and covariance matrix

$$
\mathbf{E}\left[\mathbf{c}\mathbf{c}^H\right] = \sigma^2 \mathbf{H}^\dagger (\mathbf{H}^\dagger)^H = \sigma^2 (\mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{H})^{-1}.
$$
 (16)

Due to the use of the interleaver and deinterleaver, the data bits contained in **x** are independent of each other. By ignoring the dependence among the elements of *c,* we can consider only the marginal probability density function (pdf) for the elements the marginal probability density function (pdf) for the elements
 $\hat{x}_{\text{u},\text{s}}(m)$, $m=1,2,\cdots,M$, of $\hat{x}_{\text{u},\text{s}}$. Let $\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} = [\check{\mathbf{h}}_1 \cdots \check{\mathbf{h}}_M]^T \in$ $C^{M \times N}$. Then the *mth* element of **c**, $m = 1, 2, \cdots, M$, can be written as $c_m = \mathbf{h}_m^T \mathbf{e}$. Obviously, c_m is still Gaussian with zeromean and variance

$$
\sigma_m^2 = \mathbf{E}\left[|c_m|^2\right] = \|\mathbf{\check{h}}_m\|^2 \sigma^2. \tag{17}
$$

(The estimate of the above noise variance σ^2 can be easily obtained via the difference of the two consecutive blocks of the nth receive antenna, from which we got $y_{n,1}$ [cf. (11)].) σ_m^2 and $\hat{x}_{us}^{(m)}$ provide the soft-information for the mth, $m = 1, 2, \dots, M$, symbol in \hat{x}_{us} , needed by the VA. Note that the noises corresponding to different layers have different variances which means that the symbols corresponding to different layers have different quality. This unbalanced layer quality is the reason why we want to use a spatial interleaver.

5. NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

In this section, we provide numerical examples to demonstrate the effectiveness and performance **of** our sequential parameter estimation method as well as the simple MlMO soft-detector.

We consider doubling the maximum **54** Mbps data rate by using two transmit and two receive antennas, i.e., $M = N = 2$. In our simulations, each of the $MN = 4$ time-domain MIMO channels is generated according to the exponential model; the **4** channels are independent of each other.

Due to the fact that *52* out of *64* subcaniers are used in the OFDM WLAN system, the *SNR* for the SlSO system used herein is defined as $52/(64\sigma^2)$ for the constellations whose average energies **are** normalized to 1. Whereas for the MIMO system, the **SNR** is defined as $52/(128\sigma^2)$ (i.e., we use the same total transmission power for the MlMO system as for its **SISO** counterpart).

We first provide a simulation example for symbol timing estimation. Two curves in [Fig. 3](#page-4-0) show the **lo4** Monte-Carlo simulation results of the coarse symbol timing estimates for the exponential channels with $t_r = 25$ and 50 ns, respectively, when SNR = 10 dB. The other two curves in [Fig. 3](#page-4-0) show the **lo4** Monte-Carlo simulation results of the fine symbol timing estimates based on their corresponding coarse timing estimates. Note that our simple fine symbol timing approach gives highly accurate timing estimates.

We then provide a simulation example to show the effectiveness of the MIMO channel estimator and the PER (packet error rate) performance of the MIMO soft-detector. (One packet conwhere $||\cdot||^2$ denotes the Euclidean norm. Let $\mathbf{H}^{\dagger} = (\mathbf{H}^H \mathbf{H})^{-1} \mathbf{H}^H$.

sists of 1000 bytes.) In Fig. 4, we show the 10⁴ Monte-Carlo sim-

Then we can obtain an unstructured LS estimate $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{us}$ of as a function of the **SNR** for the MlMO system, with *t,* being **50** ns for the exponential channels, when the data rate is 108 Mbps. We consider two cases: the case of perfect knowledge for CFO, symbol timing, and MIMO channel and the case of estimated channel parameters. (For the second case, besides the parameters obtained with our sequential approach, we correct the CFO residual phase

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at **docketalarm.com**.

Fig. **3.** Coarse and fine symbol timing estimates,

Fig. **4.** PER versus SNR at the 108 Mhps data rate for the exponential channels with $t_r = 50$ ns.

as well.) **As** a reference, we also give the PER curves of the softdetector for the SISO system (with the data rate being **54** Mhps). We see, from the PER curves, that both the preamble design and the sequential channel parameter estimation algorithm are effective in that the gap between the PER curves corresponding to the perfect channel knowledge case and the estimated channel parameter case for the MIMO system is no more than that of the SlSO system. We also see that the MIMO soft-detector is effective in that the MIMO system needs only 2 to 3 dB extra total transmission power to keep the same PER (we are mostly interested in PERs being 0.1, according to the IEEE 802.11a standard) as its **SlSO** counterpart, but with the data rate doubled.

Note that the MIMO soft-detector is outperformed by LSD in terms of PER. However, the MIMO soft-detector **is** orders of magnitude more efficient than LSD, and is ideally suited for realtime implementations.

6. CONCLUDING **REMARKS**

We have proposed a preamble design for the MIMO system with two transmit and two receive antennas, which is backward com-

patible with its **SlSO** counterpart as specified by the IEEE 802.1 la standard. Based on this preamble design, we have devised a sequential method for the estimation of CFO, symbol timing, and MIMO channel response. We have also provided a simple MIMO $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ soft-detector. Both the sequential parameter estimation method and the soft-detector are ideally suited for real-time implementa tions.

Appendix A. Phase Correction using **Pilot Symbols**

As we mentioned earlier, each data OFDM symbol contains four known pilot symbols. We denote these pilot symbols by a **4 x 1** vector **p.** The pilot symbols **can** be used to correct the CFO residual phase foreach data OFDM symbol after CFO correction using $\hat{\epsilon}_F$. Let $\mathbf{y}_n^{(p)}$ be the vector containing the corresponding four elements of the FFT output of an data OFDM symbol in the OFDM DATA field received from the *n*th antenna, $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$. Let $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,m}^{(p)}$ be the 4×1 estimated channel vector from transmit antenna m to receive antenna n for the four corresponding subcarriers. Let $P = diag\{p\}$. We have $y_n^{(p)} = e^{j\phi} P \sum_{m=1}^{2} \tilde{h}_{n,m}^{(p)} + e_n^{(p)}, n =$ **1, 2,...** *N*, where ϕ is the CFO residual phase and $\{e_n^{(p)}\}_{n=1}^N$ are zero-mean white circularly symmetric complex Gaussian noise vectors. Then the ML criterion leads to

$$
\hat{\phi}_{\mathrm{ML}} = \arg \min_{\phi} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\| \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(p)} - e^{j\phi} \mathbf{P} \sum_{m=1}^{2} \hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,m}^{(p)} \right\|^{2}
$$

$$
= \angle \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{m=1}^{2} \left(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_{n,m}^{(p)} \right)^{H} \right] \mathbf{P}^{H} \mathbf{y}_{n}^{(p)} \right\}. \tag{18}
$$

7. REFERENCES

- [I] IEEE Standard 802.1 la-1999, "Wireless LAN medium access control (MAC) and physical layer (PHY) specifications: High speed physical layer (PHY) in the *5* GHz band," 1999.
- **[2]** *G.* **1.** Foschini and M. **J.** Cans, "On limits of wireless communications in a fading environment when using multiple antennas:' *Wireless Personal Comniunications.* vol. *6,* pp. **31** 1-335, March 1998.
- 131 *G.* Golden, C. Foschini, R. Valenzuela, and P. Wolniansky, "Detection algorithm and initial laboratory results using V-BLAST space-time communication architecture," *Electronic Letters, vol.* 35, pp. 14-15, January 1999.
- 141 B. M. Hochwald and **S.** ten **Brink,** "Achieving near-capacity on a multiple-antenna channel," *IEEE Transactions on Communications,* submitted in 2001.
- (51 Z. Wang and *G.* Giannakis, "Wireless multicarrier communications," *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine,* **vol.** 17, pp. 29- **48,** May 2000.
- [61 E. G. Larsson and **J.** Li, "Preamble design for multipleantenna OFDM-based WLANs with null subcaniers," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters,* vol. 8, pp. 285-288, Nov. 2001.
- [7] T. M. Schmidl and D. C. Cox, "Robust frequency and timing synchronization for OFDM," *IEEE Transactions on Communications,* vol. **45,** pp. 1613-1621, December 1997.
- [81 **J.** Li, *G.* Liu, and *G.* B. Giannakis, "Carrier frequency offset estimation for OFDM based WLANs," *IEEE Signal Processing Letters,* vol. 8, pp. 80-82, March 2001.

134