

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

SPH AMERICA, LLC
Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,532,231

Inter Partes Review No. IPR2015-00203

**PETITIONERS' REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION
UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Introduction	4
II.	APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS	5
	A. Standards Applicable to a Request for Rehearing	5
	B. Standards Applicable to Instituting an <i>Inter Pares</i> Review	6
III.	BASIS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED	6
	A. The Decision Misapprehended or Overlooked Part of the Disclosure of Alamouti	6
IV.	CONCLUSION	15
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	16

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Arnold P’ship v. Dudas, 262 F.3d 1138, 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2005)5
Star Fruits S.N.C. v. United States, 393 F.3d 1277, 1281 (Fed. Cir. 2005)5

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 314(a)6

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.1084, 6
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c).....4, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)5

I. Introduction

On May 28, 2015, the Board issued a Decision under 37 C.F.R. § 42.108 (Decision) denying institution of *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent 8,532,231 (the ‘231 patent) on the seven grounds of invalidity raised by the Petitioner. This Request for Reconsideration seeks reconsideration of Grounds 1-4 which all rely on a combination of U.S. Patent No. 7,577,085 to Narasimhan (N’085 and Ex. 1009) with the paper S. M. Alamouti, “*A Simple Transmit Diversity Technique For Wireless Communications.*” (Alamouti and Ex. 1003). Grounds 1-4 as stated on page 4 of the Decision are (footnotes omitted):

Challenged Claims	Basis	References
16 and 47	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan and Alamouti
35, 48-50, 54, 55, and 56	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, and IEEE 802.11a Standard
20, 40-, 51, and 57	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, IEEE 802.11a Standard and Aoki
20, 40, 51, and 57	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, IEEE 802.11a Standard and Gummadi

The Petitioner urges that the Decision misapprehended or overlooked parts of the disclosure in Alamouti that describe a space-time block coding and where

Alamouti provides an express teaching that space-time block coding can be used in lieu of space-frequency coding. This Request is authorized under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c). This Request is being timely filed within 30 days of the entry of the Decision.

II. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS

A. Standards Applicable to a Request for Rehearing

37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d) provides in part with emphasis added:

A party dissatisfied with a decision may file a request for rehearing, without prior authorization from the Board. The burden of showing a decision should be modified lies with the party challenging the decision. The request must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board **misapprehended or overlooked**, and the place **where each matter was previously addressed** in a motion, an opposition, or a reply.

37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c) provides in part, “When rehearing a decision on petition, a panel will review the decision for an abuse of discretion.” In cases involving the United States Patent and Trademark Office, the Federal Circuit has stated “[a]n abuse of discretion occurs where the decision is based on an erroneous interpretation of the law, on factual findings that are not supported by the substantial evidence, or represents an unreasonable judgment in weighing relevant

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.