

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC. and ZTE (USA), INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

SPH AMERICA, LLC and ELECTRONICS AND  
TELECOMMUNICATIONS  
RESEARCH INSTITUTE,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-00203  
Patent 8,532,231 B2

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and  
BETH Z. SHAW, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

MEDLEY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
37 C.F.R. § 42.108

## I. INTRODUCTION

Huawei Device USA, Inc. and ZTE (USA), Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”), filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 16, 20, 35, 40, 47–51, and 54–57 of U.S. Patent No. 8,532,231 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’231 patent”). Paper 4 (“Pet.”). In response, Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute and SPH America, LLC (collectively, “Patent Owner”), filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 10 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 314, which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted “unless . . . the information presented in the petition . . . shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

For the reasons set forth below, we deny institution of an *inter partes* review of the ’231 patent.

### A. Related Matter

The ’231 patent is involved in at least the following lawsuits: *SPH v. Huawei Technologies, Co., LTD et al.*, No. 3:13-cv-02323-CAB (S.D. Cal.); and *SPH v. ZTE (USA), Inc.*, No. 3:13-cv-02326-CAB (S.D. Cal.). Pet. 1–2.

### B. The ’231 Patent

The ’231 patent relates generally to an apparatus compatible with a conventional wireless local area network communication system, for transmitting and receiving data in high-speed. Ex. 1001, 1:24–29. Specifically, the described apparatus is used for transmitting and receiving

data using multiple antennas while being compatible with conventional IEEE 802.11a orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM). *Id.* at 1:35–47.

*C. Illustrative Claim*

Of the challenged claims, claims 16, 35, 47, and 54 are the only independent claims. Claim 20 depends directly from claim 16; claim 40 depends directly from claim 35; claims 48–51 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 47; and claims 55–57 depend either directly or indirectly from claim 54.

Claim 16, reproduced below, is illustrative.

16. A receiving apparatus in a wireless communication system, the apparatus comprising:

a receiving unit configured to receive a frame comprising sequentially a short preamble, a first long preamble, a signal symbol, a second long preamble, and a data symbol, wherein the short preamble comprises a symbol for synchronization; and

a determination unit configured to determine, based on information in the signal symbol, whether the frame is transmitted using space time block coding.

Ex. 1001, 15:61–16:3.

*D. Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner asserts the following grounds of unpatentability:

Challenged Claims	Basis	References
16 and 47	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan <sup>1</sup> and Alamouti <sup>2</sup>
35, 48–50, 54, 55, and 56	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, and IEEE 802.11a Standard <sup>3</sup>
20, 40, 51, and 57	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, IEEE 802.11a Standard, and Aoki <sup>4</sup>
20, 40, 51, and 57	§ 103(a)	Narasimhan, Alamouti, IEEE 802.11a Standard, and Gummadi <sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> U.S. Patent No. 7,577,085 B1, issued Aug. 18, 2009, filed July 5, 2002 (Ex. 1009) (“Narasimhan”). The parties refer to this reference as “N’085.”

<sup>2</sup> S. M. ALAMOUTI, “A Simple Transmit Diversity Technique for Wireless Communications,” IEEE J. ON SELECT AREAS IN COMMUNICATIONS, Vol. 16, No. 8, October 1998 (Ex. 1003) (“Alamouti”).

<sup>3</sup> IEEE Standard 802.11a (1999) (Ex. 1010).

<sup>4</sup> Aoki, et al., “New preamble structure for AGC in a MIMO-OFDM-system,” IEEE 802.11-04/046r1, Jan. 2004 (Ex. 1008) (“Aoki”). Petitioner asserts this reference is “a presentation given by employees of [a particular corporation] . . . to the IEEE in January 2004.” Pet. 8.

<sup>5</sup> U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2005/0054313 A1, published Mar. 10, 2005, filed Mar. 29, 2004 (Ex. 1011) (“Gummadi”).

Challenged Claims	Basis	References
16 and 47	§ 103(a)	Liu <sup>6</sup> and Jeon <sup>7</sup>
35, 48–50, 54, 55, and 56	§ 103(a)	Liu, Jeon, and IEEE 802.11a Standard
20, 40, 51, and 57	§ 103(a)	Liu, Jeon, IEEE 802.11a Standard, and Aoki

## II. ANALYSIS

### A. *Real Party-In-Interest*

Section 312(a) of Title 35 of the United States Code provides that a petition for *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 311 may be considered only if, among other things, the petition identifies all real parties-in-interest. 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2). Whether a non-identified party is a real party-in-interest to a proceeding is a highly fact-dependent question. *Office Patent Trial Practice Guide*, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,759 (Aug. 14, 2012) (“*Trial Practice Guide*”) (citing *Taylor v. Sturgell*, 553 U.S. 880 (2008)). “Courts invoke the terms ‘real party-in-interest’ and ‘privy’ to describe relationships and considerations sufficient to justify applying conventional principles of

---

<sup>6</sup> Liu & Li, “A MIMO System with Backwards Compatibility for OFDM based WLANs,” 4th IEEE Workshop on Signal Processing Advances in Wireless Communications, 2003 (Ex. 1012) (“Liu”).

<sup>7</sup> Jeon, et al., “Optimal Combining of STBC and Spatial Multiplexing for MIMO-OFDM,” IEEE 802.11-03/0513r0, July 2003 (Ex. 1006) (“Jeon”). Petitioner asserts these slides were “submitted to IEEE on July 2003.” Pet. 7.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.