

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., TOSHIBA CORP., VIZIO, INC., and
HULU, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Cases

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

As authorized by the Notice of Filing Date Accorded to the Petition (Paper 3¹), Straight Path IP Group (“Patent Owner”) filed a “Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission” (Paper 28, “Mot.”) of Michael C. Newman.² Lead counsel for Patent Owner, William A. Meunier, will continue to serve as lead counsel. Mot. 2. LG Electronics, Inc., Toshiba Corp., Vizio, Inc., and Hulu, LLC (collectively, “Petitioner”) does not oppose Patent Owner’s Motion. Patent Owner’s Motion is *granted*. See 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); see also *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639, Order Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission, Paper 7 (October 15, 2003) (setting forth requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).³

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s Motion for *pro hac vice* admission is *granted*, and Mr. Newman is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in IPR2015-00196, IPR2015-00198, and IPR2015-00209;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these *inter partes* review proceedings; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Newman is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board’s Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations,

¹ All citations are to IPR2015-00196 unless otherwise noted. IPR2015-00198 and IPR2015-00209 include the same cited papers and exhibits.

² Patent Owner also filed an affidavit of Mr. Newman in support of the Motion (Ex. 2023).

³ Available at <http://www.uspto.gov/patents-application-process/appealing-patent-decisions/decisions-and-opinions/representative-orders>.

IPR2015-00196 (Patent 6,131,121 C1)
IPR2015-00198 (Patent 6,009,469 C1)
IPR2015-00209 (Patent 6,108,704 C1)

and that Mr. Newman is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

For PETITIONER:

Darren M. Jiron
Rajeev Gupta
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
darren.jiron@finnegan.com
Raj.Gupta@finnegan.com

Clint Conner
DORSEY & WHITNEY
clint@dorsey.com

Leo Lam
Stacy Chen
KEKER & VAN NEST LLP
llam@kvn.com
schen@kvn.com

Kevin O'Brien
BAKER & MCKENZIE LLP
Kevin.O'Brien@bakermckenzie.com

For PATENT OWNER:

William A. Meunier
Matthew D. Durrell
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY AND POPEO, P.C.
WAMeunier@mintz.com
MDurrell@mintz.com