

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

**BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD**

---

APPLE INC.

Petitioner,

v.

VIRNETX, INC. AND SCIENCE APPLICATION INTERNATIONAL  
CORPORATION,

Patent Owner

Patent No. 7,921,211

Issued: Apr. 5, 2011

Filed: Aug. 17, 2007

Inventor: Victor Larson, *et al.*

Title: AGILE NETWORK PROTOCOL FOR SECURE  
COMMUNICATIONS USING SECURE DOMAIN NAMES

---

*Inter Partes* Review No. IPR2015-00185

---

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,921,211  
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 AND 37 C.F.R. § 42.1-.80 & 42.100-.123**

---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1) .....1**

A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1) .....1

B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2) .....2

C. Lead And Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) .....3

D. Service Information .....3

**II. PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103 .....3**

**III. REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104 .....3**

A. Grounds for Standing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a) .....3

B. Challenge Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested .....4

C. Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3) .....5

1. Domain Name (Claims 1, 2, 6, 14-17, 19-23, 26-41, 43-47, and 50-60) .....5

2. Domain Name Service System (Claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 14-17, 19-23, 26-41, 43-47, and 50-60).....6

3. Indicate/Indicating (Claims 1, 2, 6, 14-17, 19-23, 26-41, 43-47, and 50-60) .....7

4. Secure Communication Link (Claims 1, 16-17, 20-23, 26-27, 31-32, 35-36, 47, 51, and 60).....8

5. Transparently (Claims 27 and 51).....9

6. Between [A] and [B] (Claims 16, 27, 33, 40, 51, and 57) .....9

**IV. SUMMARY OF THE ‘211 PATENT .....10**

**V. DETAILED DESCRIPTION WHY THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....10**

A. [GROUND 1] – Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 1, 2, 6, 14-17, 19-23, 26-31, 33-41, 43-47, 50-55, and 57-60 .....10

1. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 1 .....20

2. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 36.....25

3. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 60.....27

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211

|  |    |
|--|----|
| 4. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 2 and 37 .....  | 28 |
| 5. Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 6.....   | 29 |
| 6. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 14 and 38 .....   | 29 |
| 7. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 15 and 39 .....   | 30 |
| 8. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 16 and 40 .....   | 31 |
| 9. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 17 and 41 .....   | 36 |
| 10. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 19 and 43 .....  | 39 |
| 11. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 20 and 44 .....  | 39 |
| 12. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 21 and 45 .....  | 40 |
| 13. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 22 and 46 .....  | 41 |
| 14. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 23 and 47 .....  | 42 |
| 15. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 26 and 50 .....  | 42 |
| 16. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 27, 33, 51, and 57 .....   | 43 |
| 17. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 28 and 52 .....  | 44 |
| 18. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 29 and 53 .....  | 44 |
| 19. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 30 and 54 .....  | 45 |
| 20. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 31 and 55 .....  | 47 |
| 21. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 34 and 58 .....  | 48 |
| 22. Kiuchi Anticipates Claims 35 and 59 .....  | 49 |
| B. [GROUND 2] – Kiuchi In View of RFC 1034 Renders Obvious<br>Claims 20, 21, 35, 44, 45, and 59..... | 51 |
| C. [GROUND 3] – Kiuchi In View of Lindblad Renders Obvious<br>Claims 32 and 56.....                  | 52 |
| D. [GROUND 4] – Kiuchi In View of RFC 2660 Renders Obvious<br>Claims 16, 27, 33, 40, 51, and 57..... | 54 |
| E. [GROUND 5] – Kiuchi Anticipates Claim 5 .....   | 58 |

**Attachment A. Proof of Service of the Petition**

**Attachment B. List of Evidence and Exhibits Relied Upon in Petition**

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211

Apple Inc. (“Petitioner” or “Apple”) petitions for *Inter Partes* Review (“IPR”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319 and 37 C.F.R. § 42 of claims 1, 2, 5, 6, 14-17, 19-23, 26-41, 43-47, and 50-60 (“the Challenged Claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 7,921,211 (“the ‘211 patent”). By its accompanying Motion for Joinder, Petitioner seeks to join this petition to IPR2014-00615, a proceeding instituted on the same patent and the same prior art. This petition presents one additional ground relative to IPR2014-00615 establishing that dependent claim 5 is unpatentable. Claim 5 is highly similar to claims 23 and 47 involved in the -00615 proceeding – each claim specifies “*authentica[ing] the query*” with claim 5 further specifying “*using a cryptographic technique.*” Claim 5 is unpatentable over the same prior art that the Board has found to show the Challenged Claims unpatentable. *See* IPR2014-00615, Paper No. 9 at 18-21. It is submitted that consideration of this additional ground on a single claim will not impose a burden on the Panel given the common prior art and similarity to issues already being considered in the -00615 proceeding, as explained in the Motion for Joinder.

**I. MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R § 42.8(a)(1)**

**A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)**

The real party of interest of this petition pursuant to § 42.8(b)(1) is Apple Inc. (“Apple”) located at One Infinite Loop, Cupertino, CA 95014.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.