
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG
ELECTRONICS CO. LTD, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC AND
AMAZON.COM, INC.,
Petitioners

v.

MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 7,296,121

Inter Partes Review Case No. 2015-00172

**MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC'S PATENT OWNER
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 42.107(a)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. TECHNOLOGY BACKGROUND.....1

III. SUMMARY OF PETITIONERS’ PROPOSED GROUND FOR REVIEW2

IV. THE PENDING PETITIONS FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF THE ’121 PATENT PRESENT REDUNDANT GROUNDS3

V. MEMORY INTEGRITY, LLC’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS10

 A. “probe filtering unit” (claims 1, 16, 25)11

 B. “accumulate responses to each probe” and “accumulating probe responses” (claims 15 and 25).....13

VI. THERE IS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PETITIONERS PREVAILING AS TO A CHALLENGED CLAIM OF THE ’121 PATENT14

 A. Petitioners Failed to Demonstrate That Stanford-DASH Anticipates Claims 1-3, 8, 11, 12, 16, 19, 20 and 2214

 1. Petitioners Fail to Demonstrate that Stanford-DASH Anticipates Any Independent Claim Because Stanford-DASH Does Not Disclose a “probe filtering unit” “operable to filter probes within a single cluster of processing nodes”14

 2. Petitioners Fail to Demonstrate That the “directory board” of a “home cluster” in Stanford-DASH “transmit[s] the” received “probes” “only to selected ones of the processing nodes” “with reference to probe filtering information”21

3.	Petitioners Also Fail to Demonstrate that Stanford-DASH Anticipates Claims 2 or 3 Because Stanford-DASH’s “directory board” is not “an additional node interconnected with the plurality of processing nodes via the first point-to-point architecture”	25
4.	Petitioners Also Fail to Demonstrate that Stanford-DASH Anticipates Claim 8 Because the “directory board” for a “local cluster” in Stanford-DASH Always “transmits” a “request” to the “local cluster” Before It “transmits” the “request” to the “home cluster”	27
5.	Petitioners Fail to Demonstrate that Stanford-DASH Anticipates Claims 11 or 12 Because Stanford-DASH Contains No Disclosure Regarding the Programming of its Processors.....	29
B.	Petitioners Failed To Demonstrate That Claims 4-6 Are Obvious Over Stanford DASH In View of Keller	31
C.	Petitioners Failed To Demonstrate That Claim 7 Is Obvious Over Stanford-DASH In View of HyperTransport.....	34
D.	Petitioners Failed To Demonstrate That Claim 9 Is Obvious Over Stanford DASH In View of Duato	36
E.	Petitioners Failed To Demonstrate That Claims 17-24 Are Obvious Over Stanford-DASH In View of Smith	39
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	40

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
Memory Integrity-2001	Plaintiff Memory Integrity, LLC's Initial Identification of Asserted Claims And Accused Products, served on Petitioners in <i>Memory Integrity LLC v. Amazon.com Inc., et al.</i> , Nos. 1:13-cv-01795, -01796, -01802, -01808 (D. Del. served Oct. 13, 2014)
Memory Integrity-2002	Excerpts from D. E. Culler, J. P. Singh, and A. Gupta PARALLEL COMPUTER ARCHITECTURE, pp. 279-280 (1999)
Memory Integrity-2003	Sorin <i>et al.</i> , "Specifying and Verifying a Broadcast and a Multicast Snooping Cache Coherence Protocol," IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON PARALLEL AND DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS, Vol. 13, No. 6, pp. 1-23(June 2002)
Memory Integrity-2004	Excerpts from Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary (10 th ed. 1999)
Memory Integrity-2005	Excerpts from David A. Patterson, <i>et al.</i> , COMPUTER ORGANIZATION AND DESIGN (3d ed. 2005)

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Canon Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00535 (PTAB Sep. 24, 2014)	5
<i>Canon Inc. v. Intellectual Ventures I LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00536 (PTAB Nov. 5, 2014)	5
<i>Cisco Systems, Inc. v. C-Cation Technologies, LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2014-00454	28
<i>Crown Operations Int'l, LTD v. Solutia Inc.</i> , 289 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	30
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Technologies, LLC</i> , No. 2014-1301 (Fed. Cir. Feb. 4, 2015)	5, 11
<i>Gracernote, Inc. v. Iceberg Industries LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2013-00551 (PTAB Feb. 28, 2014)	12
<i>Illumina, Inc. v. Trustees of Columbia Univ.</i> , IPR2012-00006 (PTAB May 10, 2013).....	4, 8
<i>Leveen v. Edwards</i> , 57 U.S.P.Q.2d 1406 (B.P.A.I. 2000)	28
<i>Ex parte Levy</i> , 17 USPQ2d 1461 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1990)	30
<i>Microsoft Corporation v. Surfcast, Inc.</i> , Case No. IPR2013-00292 (PTAB Nov. 19, 2013)	5
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (C.C.P.A. 1981)	30
<i>Oracle Corporation v. Clouding IP, LLC</i> , IPR2013-0088 (PTAB June 13, 2013).....	5, 8

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.