

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of: Morton *et al.*
U.S. Patent No.: 7,296,121 IPR Control No.: IPR2015-00159
Issue Date: Nov. 13, 2007 Atty Docket No.: 39521-0007IP1
Appl. Serial No.: 10/966,161
Filing Date: Oct. 15, 2004
Title: REDUCING PROBE TRAFFIC IN MULTIPROCESSOR
SYSTEMS

Mail Stop Patent Board
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF UNITED STATES PATENT
NO. 7,296,121 PURSUANT TO 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319, 37 C.F.R. § 42**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	1
A.	Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	1
B.	Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)	1
C.	Lead And Back-Up Counsel and Service Information	2
II.	PAYMENT OF FEES – 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	2
III.	REQUIREMENTS FOR IPR UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104	2
A.	Grounds for Standing Under § 42.104(a).....	2
B.	Challenge Under § 42.104(b) and Relief Requested.....	3
C.	Claim Construction under 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.104(b)(3)	4
1.	“processing node” (claims 1, 2, 8, 11, 14-16, 25)	5
2.	“interconnected by a first point-to-point architecture” (claims 1, 16, 25)	6
3.	“probe” (claims 1-3, 6, 8-17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 25).....	7
4.	“probe filtering information” (claims 1, 3, 6, 16, 25).....	8
5.	“states associated with selected ones of the cache memories” (claims 1, 16, 25)	9
6.	“transmit the probes only to selected ones of the processing nodes” (claims 1 and 16)	10
7.	“cache coherence controller” (claim 3)	12
8.	“cache coherence directory” (claim 3)	13
9.	“the probes” (claim 8).....	13
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE ‘121 PATENT	15
A.	Brief Technology Overview.....	15
B.	Brief Description of the ‘121 Patent	17
C.	Summary of the Prosecution History of the ‘121 Patent	19
V.	MANNER OF APPLYING CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH IPR IS REQUESTED, THUS ESTABLISHING A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT AT LEAST ONE CLAIM OF THE ‘121 PATENT IS UNPATENTABLE	20
A.	Pong Anticipates Claims 1-3, 8, 11, 12, 15, 16, 25.....	20
1.	Pong Anticipates Claim 1	23
2.	Pong Anticipates Claim 2	27
3.	Pong Anticipates Claim 3	28
4.	Pong Anticipates Claim 8	29
5.	Pong anticipates Claim 11	30
6.	Pong Anticipates Claim 12	32

7.	Pong Anticipates Claim 15	34
8.	Pong Anticipates Claim 16	35
9.	Pong Anticipates Claim 25	37
B.	Pong in view of Gaither Renders Claim 13 Obvious.....	44
C.	Pong in view of Duato Renders Claim 14 Obvious.....	51
D.	Pong in view of Smith Renders Claims 17-24 Obvious	55
VI.	REDUNDANCY	59
VII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

EXHIBITS

- APPL-1001 U.S. Patent Number 7,296,121 to Morton et al. (“the ‘121 Patent”)
- APPL-1002 Excerpts from the Prosecution History of the ‘121 Patent (“the Prosecution History”)
- APPL-1003 U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 2002/0053004 to Pong (“Pong”)
- APPL-1004 David Chaiken *et al.*, “Directory-Based Cache Coherence in Large-Scale Multiprocessors,” *Computer* vol. 24, issue 9 (Jun 1990) (“Chaiken”)
- APPL-1005 Daniel Lenoski *et al.*, “The Directory-Based Cache Coherence Protocol for the DASH Multiprocessor,” *ISCA ‘90 Proceedings of the 17th annual international symposium on Computer Architecture*, pp. 148-159 (May 1990) (“Stanford DASH”)
- APPL-1006 U.S. Patent Number 6,490,661 to Keller *et al* (“Keller”)
- APPL-1007 Excerpts from Jose Duato *et al.*, INTERCONNECTION NETWORKS – AN ENGINEERING APPROACH (1997) (“Duato”)
- APPL-1008 Michael John Sebastian Smith, APPLICATION-SPECIFIC INTEGRATED CIRCUITS (1997) (“Smith”)
- APPL-1009 U.S. Patent No. 7,698,509 to Koster *et al.* (“Koster”)
- APPL-1010 U.S. Patent No. 7,315,919 to O’Krafka *et al.* (“O’Krafka”)
- APPL-1011 U.S. Patent No. 6,338,122 to Baumgartner *et al.* (“Baumgartner”)

- APPL-1012 Anant Agarwal *et al.*, “An Evaluation of Directory Schemes for Cache Coherence,” *Conference Proceedings of 15th Annual International Symposium on Computer Architecture* (1988)
- APPL-1013 Louis G. Johnson, “Multiprocessors,” ECEN 6253 Lecture Notes (April 28, 2003)
- APPL-1014 Declaration of Dr. Robert Horst
- APPL-1015 Excerpts from Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary - 10th Ed. (2001)
- APPL-1016 Redacted Letter of March 28, 2014 from Memory Integrity's Counsel to Samsung's Counsel in *Memory Integrity LLC v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. et al.*, Case No. 1:13-cv-01808-GMS, including “Response to Samsung's Allegation of a Rule 11 Violation”
- APPL-1017 Luca Benini and Giovanni De Micheli, “Networks on chips: a new SoC paradigm,” *Computer* vol. 35, issue 1 (Jan. 2002) (“Benini”)
- APPL-1018 “HyperTransport™ Technology I/O Link - A High-Bandwidth I/O Architecture” (Jul. 20, 2001) (“HyperTransport”)
- APPL-1019 U.S. Publication No. 2005/0228952 to Mayhew *et al.* (“Mayhew”)
- APPL-1020 U.S. Patent No. 6,662,277 to Gaither (“Gaither”)
- APPL-1021 RESERVED
- APPL-1022 RESERVED
- APPL-1023 RESERVED

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.