

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AGILA SPECIALTIES INC.

AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00144

Patent 8,058,238

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CORRECT ACCORDED FILING DATE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1

II. The Petitions Did Not Meet the Statutory Requirements.....1

III. Granting Agila’s Motion Requires Waiver of Board Rules3

IV. Agila’s Conduct Does Not Justify Waiver of Board Rules.....6

V. Conclusion9

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>Baxter Int’l, Inc. v. McGaw, Inc.</i> , 149 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	1
Federal Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)	2, 3
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(4).....	2
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(5).....	2, 3, 4
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	1
Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(1).....	4, 5
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2)(i).....	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2)(i)(A)	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2)(ii).....	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(d)	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e)(2).....	4
37 C.F.R. § 42.20(b)	5
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	2
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(c).....	8
Other Authorities	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012).....	7

USPTO.gov, *Patent Review Processing System (PRPS)*, available at
<http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/prps.jsp>.....5

USPTO.gov, *Patent Review Processing System (PRPS)*, archived version dated
Aug. 17, 2014, available at
<https://web.archive.org/web/20140817025907/http://www.uspto.gov/ip/boards/bpai/prps.jsp>.....5

I. Introduction

Patent Owner (“Cubist”) respectfully opposes Petitioner’s Motion to Correct Accorded Filing Date (Paper No. 11) (“Motion to Correct”). Both submitted petitions—the electronic petition and the paper petition—violate statutory requirements that the Board *cannot* waive. The petitions also violate regulations that the Board *should* not waive. The Motion to Correct must therefore be denied.

II. The Petitions Did Not Meet the Statutory Requirements.

Petitioners (“Agila”) filed two petitions with the Board: an electronic petition and a paper petition. Both petitions violate statutory provisions that cannot be waived. Indeed, it is well-recognized that government agencies such as the USPTO may not waive or modify statutory requirements, by regulation or otherwise. *See Baxter Int’l, Inc. v. McGaw, Inc.*, 149 F.3d 1321, 1334 (Fed. Cir. 1998) (finding that statutory requirements “may not be waived by the PTO”). The Board is thus precluded from instituting an *inter partes* review based on either petition and must deny the Motion to Correct.

First, the filing of Agila’s electronic petition was completed on October 24, 2014, one day after the statutory deadline under 35 U.S.C. § 315(b). *See* Exh. 1041; Petition for *Inter Partes* Review (Paper No. 1) at p. 4 (acknowledging Cubist’s service of complaint on Agila on October 23, 2013); Exh. 1035, Proof of Service of Agila (attesting to service of Agila on October 23, 2013). The Board

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.