| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE                           |
|---|
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD                            |
| AGILA SPECIALTIES INC. AND MYLAN PHARMACEUTICALS INC., Petitioners, |
| V.  |
| CUBIST PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. Patent Owner                           |
| Patent No. 8,058,238  |
| Case IPR2015-00142  |

[CORRECTED] PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,058,238



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

| I.   | INT | RODUCTION  | 1  |
|------|-----|--|----|
|      | A.  | Overview of the '238 Patent                                    | 1  |
|      | B.  | Brief Overview of the Prosecution History                      | 2  |
| II.  | GRO | OUNDS FOR STANDING - § 42.104(a)                               | 3  |
| III. | MA  | NDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8                         | 3  |
|      | A.  | Real Party in Interest   | 3  |
|      | B.  | Related Matters  | 4  |
|      | C.  | Lead and Backup Counsel and Service Information                | 4  |
| IV.  | STA | TEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED FOR                     |    |
|      | EAC | CH CLAIM CHALLENGED  | 5  |
|      | A.  | Identification of the Challenge - § 42.104(b)                  | 5  |
| V.   | LEV | EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART                                | 6  |
| VI.  | CLA | AIM CONSTRUCTION   | 6  |
| VII. | SCC | OPE AND CONTENT OF THE PRIOR ART                               | 8  |
|      | A.  | U.S. Patent No. 4,874,843 ("843 Patent") [Ex. 1007]            | 8  |
|      | B.  | U.S. Patent No. 5,912,226 ("the '226 Patent") [Ex. 1010]       | 9  |
|      | C.  | Mulligan and Gibbs, Recovery of Biosurfactants by              |    |
|      |     | Ultrafiltration, JOURNAL OF CHEMICAL TECHNOLOGY &              |    |
|      |     | <b>BIOTECHNOLOGY</b> , 47:23-9 (1990). ("Mulligan") [Ex. 1013] | 11 |

[CODDECTED] DETITION FOR INTER DARTEC DEVIEW OF HIC DATENT NO 9 059 729

|       | D.   | Lin and Jiang, Recovery and Purification of the Lipopeptide      |    |
|-------|------|--|----|
|       |      | Biosurfactant Bacillus subtilis by Ultrafiltration,              |    |
|       |      | <b>BIOTECHNOLOGY TECHNIQUES</b> , 11:413-16 (1997). ("Lin I")    |    |
|       |      | [Ex. 1014]   | 12 |
|       | E.   | Lin et al., General Approach for the Development of High-        |    |
|       |      | Performance Liquid Chromatography Methods for                    |    |
|       |      | Biosurfactant Analysis and Purification, JOURNAL OF              |    |
|       |      | <b>СНКОМАТОGRAPHY</b> , 825:145-49 (1998). ("Lin II") [Ex. 1015] | 13 |
|       | F.   | U.S. Patent No. 4,331,594 ("the '594 Patent") [Ex. 1009]         | 14 |
|       | G.   | Osman et al., Tuning micelles of a bioactive heptapeptide        |    |
|       |      | biosurfactant via extrinsically induced conformational           |    |
|       |      | transition of surfactin assembly, J. Peptide Sci., 4:449-58      |    |
|       |      | (1998). ("Osman") [Ex. 1017]                                     | 14 |
| VIII. | EXPI | LANATION OF GROUNDS FOR UNPATENTABILITY                          | 15 |
|       | A.   | Biosurfactant Background   | 15 |
|       | B.   | State of the Art in January 2000.                                | 17 |
| IX.   | EACI | H GROUND OF UNPATENTABILITY DEMONSTRATES A                       |    |
|       | REAS | SONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF PREVAILING AGAINST THE                     |    |
|       | CHA  | LLENGED CLAIMS OF THE '238 PATENT                                | 20 |

|    | A.  | Ground 1: Claims 8-9, 49-51, 85-92, 105-107, 113-124, 144-     |      |
|----|-----|--|------|
|    |     | 146, 151-162, 164, 165-175 of the '238 Patent are Anticipated  |      |
|    |     | and/or Obvious Over the '226 Patent                            | . 20 |
|    | B.  | Ground 2: Claims 8-9 of the '238 Patent are Invalid as Obvious |      |
|    |     | Over the '843 Patent in View of Mulligan, Lin I, Lin II and/or |      |
|    |     | the '226 Patent  | . 31 |
|    | C.  | Ground 3: Claims 49 and 50 and Dependent Claims 51, 85-92,     |      |
|    |     | 105-107, 113-124, 144-146, 151-162, 164, 165, and 175 of the   |      |
|    |     | '238 Patent Are Invalid as Obvious Over the '843 Patent in     |      |
|    |     | View of Mulligan, Lin II and/or the '226 Patent                | . 39 |
|    | D.  | Ground 4: Claims 166-167, 171, 173-174 are Invalid as          |      |
|    |     | Obvious over the '843 Patent or '594 patent in view of         |      |
|    |     | Mulligan, Lin I, Lin II and/or the '226 patent                 | . 47 |
|    | E.  | Ground 5: Claims 168-170, and 172 of the '238 Patent are       |      |
|    |     | Obvious Over the '843 Patent or '594 Patent In View of         |      |
|    |     | Mulligan, Lin II and/or the '226 Patent and Further In View of |      |
|    |     | Osman  | . 50 |
| X. | THE | OFFICE'S REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE OF THE PATENT                   |      |
|    | WAS | S INCORRECT AND NOT SUPPORTED BY THE PRIOR                     |      |
|    | ART | 'S TEACHINGS   | . 53 |



|      | A.  | The '226 Patent is Prior Art Under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a)      | . 54 |
|------|-----|--|------|
|      | B.  | The Office Should Have Brought a Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. |      |
|      |     | § 103(a) Over the '226 Patent                              | . 54 |
| XI.  | CON | CLUSION  | . 56 |
| XII. | PAY | MENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.15(a) AND 42.103        | . 57 |

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

