



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
11/739,180	04/24/2007	Thomas Kelleher	C062-02/03 US	8837
34103	7590	11/17/2008	EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Inc. 65 Hayden Avenue Lexington, MA 02421			KAM, CHIH MIN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1656	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 11/739,180	Applicant(s) KELLEHER ET AL.	
Examiner CHIH-MIN KAM	Art Unit 1656	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 August 2008.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**.
- 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-29,31-36,38-44 and 46-52 is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5,8-29,31-34,38-42 and 46-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 6,7,35,36,43,44,51 and 52 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 April 2007 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Art Unit: 1656

DETAILED ACTION

Status of the Claims

1. Claims 1-29, 31-36, 38-44 and 46-52 are pending.

Applicants' amendment filed August 18, 2008 is acknowledged. Claim 1 has been amended, and claims 30, 37, 45 and 53 have been cancelled. Therefore, claims 1-29, 31-36, 38-44 and 46-52 are examined.

Withdrawn Claim Objections

2. The previous objection to claims 2-7, 10, 31-34, 39-42 and 47-50 is withdrawn in view of a new ground of rejection made on these claims.

Withdrawn Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The previous rejection of claims 1, 8, 9, 11-30, 37, 38, 45-46 and 53 under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baker *et al.* (US RE39,071 E, reissue of U.S. Patent 5,912,226), is withdrawn in view of applicants' amendment to the claim, and applicant's response at pages 8-9 in the amendment filed August 18, 2008.

New Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1656

4. Claims 1-5, 8-29, 31-34, 38-42 and 46-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baker *et al.* (US RE39,071 E, reissue of U.S. Patent 5,912,226, filed December 16, 1991).

Baker *et al.* teach an antibacterial composition comprising daptomycin (LY146032) in substantially pure form, which refers to daptomycin that contains less than 2.5% of a combined total of anhydro-daptomycin and beta-isomer of daptomycin (column 8, lines 50-60; Example 4), where daptomycin is purified by a procedure using Diaion HP-20 resin column and HPLC (Examples 1-3, claim 8). Baker *et al.* also teach a pharmaceutical formulation comprising the purified daptomycin (LY146032) with pharmaceutical carriers or excipients can also be prepared (column 9, lines 47-59; claims 9, 38, 46). Although Baker *et al.* do not specifically disclose the daptomycin (LY146032) that is essentially pure (i.e., at least 98% of a sample being daptomycin as defined at page 11, lines 23-26 of the instant specification); that is substantially free of anhydro-daptomycin (no more than 1%; page 11, lines 27-29) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%); that is essentially free of anhydro-daptomycin (no more than 0.5%; page 12, lines 1-3) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%); that is free of anhydro-daptomycin (no more than 0.1%; page 12, lines 4-6) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%), the reference does indicate the daptomycin (LY146032) contains less than 2.5% of a combined total of anhydro-daptomycin and beta-isomer of daptomycin, thus it is obvious that LY146032 is at least 97.5% pure, which encompass the embodiments at least 98% pure (claims 1(a), 2, 31, 39, 47), the embodiments of substantially free of anhydro-daptomycin (no more than 1%) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%; claims 1(b), 3, 32, 40, 48), the embodiments of essentially free of anhydro-

Art Unit: 1656

daptomycin (no more than 0.5%) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%; claims 1(c), 4, 33, 41, 49), and the embodiments of free of anhydro-daptomycin (no more than 0.1%) and substantially free of β -isomer of daptomycin (no more than 1%; claims 1(d), 5, 34, 42, 50). It is also obvious that claims 11-29 are not patentable because the product by process claims are limited by and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself, and the patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production (see MPEP 2113). In the instant case, the composition comprising daptomycin that is substantially free of anhydro-daptomycin and beta-isomer of daptomycin (less than 2.5% impurity, or at least 97.5% pure) as indicated in the patent is the similar to the claimed composition comprising essentially pure daptomycin (>98% daptomycin), even though the daptomycin of reference is purified by a different process. Baker *et al.* also disclose an antibiotic composition comprised of a combination of a compound of formula 1 (i.e., anhydro-A21978C; column 1, lines 14-21), a compound of formula 2 (isomer of A21978C) and a compound of formula 3 (the parent cyclic peptide of A21978C; LY146032) or pharmaceutically acceptable salts (Reissue:claim 18; claim 10 of instant application).

Claim Objections

5. Claims 6-7, 35-36, 43-44 and 51-52 are objected to because the claims are dependent from a rejected claim.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.