UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

ν.

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO., JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, CHASE BANK USA, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION, CHASE PAYMENTECH SOLUTIONS, LLC, PAYMENTECH LLC,

Defendants-Appellants.

Appeals from the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York in civil action No. 1:13-cv-03777, Judge Alvin K. Hellerstein

BRIEF FOR AMICUS CURIAE ASKELADDEN L.L.C. IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE'S MOTION TO DISMISS

RICHARD W. O'NEILL WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP 60 State Street Boston, MA 02109 (617) 526-6000 JAMES L. QUARLES III
GREGORY H. LANTIER
ROBERT A. ARCAMONA
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 663-6000

September 15, 2014



CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST

Counsel for Amicus Curiae Askeladden, L.L.C. certifies the following:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Askeladden, L.L.C.

2. The name of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

Askeladden, L.L.C.

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amicus curiae represented by me are:

Askeladden, L.L.C. is a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Clearing House Payments Company L.L.C. No corporation or publicly held company owns 10 percent or more of The Clearing House Payments Company L.L.C.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP: James L. Quarles III; Gregory Lantier; Richard W. O'Neill; and Robert A. Arcamona

Dated: September 15, 2014 /s/ James L. Quarles III

JAMES L. QUARLES III



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
CERTIFICATE OF INTEREST	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iii
STATEMENT OF IDENTITY AND INTEREST OF AMICI CURIAE	1
ARGUMENT	2
CONCLUSION	9
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	
CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

	Page(s)
Athenahealth, Inc. v. Carecloud Corp., No. 13-cv-10794, 2014 WL 3566068 (D. Mass. July 17, 2014)	5
AutoAlert Inc. v. DealerSocket, Inc., No. 13-cv-00657, ECF Dkt. No. 190 (C.D. Cal. July 18, 2014)	5
Bender v. Dudas, 490 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	4
Broadcast Innovation, L.L.C. v. Charter Communication Inc., No. 03-cv-2223, 2006 WL 1897165 (D. Colo. July 11, 2006)	7
buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc., No. 3:12-cv-781, 2014 WL 2714137 (E.D. Va. June 16, 2014)	5
Capital Dynamics AG v. Cambridge Associates, No. 13-cv-7766, 2014 WL 1694710 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 1, 2014)	5
Chevron, U.S.A., Inc. v. Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc., 467 U.S. 837 (1984)	4
Credit Acceptance Corp. v. Westlake Services, LLC, No. 13-cv-01523, ECF Dkt. No. 67 (C.D. Cal. Dec. 30, 2013)	5
GT Nexus, Inc. v. Inttra, Inc., No. 11-cv-02145, 2014 WL 3373088 (N.D. Cal. July 9, 2014)	5
Gustafson v. Alloyd Co., 513 U.S. 561 (1995)	4
In re Ameranth Patent Litigation Cases, No. 11-cv-1810, 2013 WL 7144380 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 26, 2013)	5
Intertainer, Inc. v. Hulu, LLC, No. 13-cv-5499, 2014 WL 466034 (C.D. Cal. Jan. 24, 2014)	5
Jay v. Boyd, 351 U.S. 345 (1956)	6
Landmark Technology, LLC v. iRobot Corp., No. 6:13-cv-411, 2014 WL 486836 (F.D. Tex. Jan. 24, 2014)	5



Market-Alerts Pty. v. Bloomberg Finance L.P., 922 F. Supp. 2d 486 (D. Del. 2013) 5
NLRB v. Catholic Bishop of Chicago, 440 U.S. 490 (1979)
Sightsound Technologies, LLC v. Apple, Inc., No. 11-cv-1292, 2013 WL 2457284 (W.D. Pa. June 6, 2013)
Van Wersch v. Department of Health & Human Services, 197 F.3d 1144 (Fed. Cir. 1999)6
Versata Software, Inc. v. Volusion, Inc., No. 12-cv-893, 2013 WL 6912688 (W.D. Tex. June 20, 2013)5
VirtualAgility, Inc. v. Salesforce.com, Inc., No. 2014-1232, 2014 WL 3360806 (Fed. Cir. 2014)
Xilidev, Inc. v. Boku, Inc., No. 13-cv-2793, 2014 WL 3353256 (S.D. Cal. July 1, 2014)5
Zillow, Inc. v. Trulia, Inc., 12-cv-1549, 2013 WL 5530573 (W.D. Wash. Oct. 7, 2013)6
STATUTES AND REGULATIONS
35 U.S.C. § 323
Leahy-Smith American Invents Act, Pub. L. No. 112-29, 125 Stat. 284 (2011)
37 C.F.R. § 42.2
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756 (2012)3
LEGISLATIVE MATERIALS
157 Cong. Rec. S1053 (daily ed. Mar. 1, 2011)



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

