

No. 08-453

IN THE
Supreme Court of the United States

ANDREW M. CUOMO, IN HIS OFFICIAL CAPACITY AS
ATTORNEY GENERAL FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK,
Petitioner,

v.

THE CLEARING HOUSE ASSOCIATION L.L.C., AND
OFFICE OF THE COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY,
Respondents.

ON WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES
COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

**BRIEF FOR RESPONDENT
THE CLEARING HOUSE ASSOCIATION L.L.C.**

H. RODGIN COHEN
ROBINSON B. LACY
MICHAEL M. WISEMAN
ADAM R. BREBNER
SULLIVAN & CROMWELL LLP
125 Broad Street
New York, N.Y. 10004

SETH P. WAXMAN
Counsel of Record
EDWARD C. DUMONT
CATHERINE M.A. CARROLL
CHRISTOPHER E. BABBITT
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20006
(202) 663-6000

CHRISTOPHER R. LIPSETT
NOAH A. LEVINE
ANNE K. SMALL
LAUREN E. BAER
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
HALE AND DORR LLP
399 Park Ave.
New York, N.Y. 10022

QUESTION PRESENTED

Was the New York State Attorney General properly enjoined from demanding records of national banks relating to their mortgage lending, and from commencing proceedings to enforce state laws against national banks based on their mortgage lending, because such demands and enforcement proceedings would constitute an exercise of “visitorial powers” prohibited by 12 U.S.C. § 484 and 12 C.F.R. § 7.4000, a regulation promulgated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency?

(i)

CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Respondent The Clearing House Association L.L.C. is an association of leading commercial banks, some of which are national banks. The Clearing House has no parent corporation and no publicly held company owns 10% or more of its stock.

In this action the Clearing House asserted associational standing on behalf of its members, and the decree entered by the District Court specifically applies to national banks that were members of the Clearing House when the decree was entered: Bank of America, National Association; Citibank, N.A.; HSBC Bank USA, National Association; JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association; LaSalle Bank National Association; U.S. Bank National Association; Wachovia Bank, National Association; and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association. All these banks are still members of the Clearing House except LaSalle Bank National Association and Wachovia Bank, National Association.

(ii)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTION PRESENTED.....	i
CORPORATE DISCLOSURE STATEMENT.....	ii
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	v
STATEMENT	1
A. The Attorney General’s Authority Un- der New York Law	2
B. The Mortgage-Lending Investigation	3
SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....	7
ARGUMENT.....	10
I. THE NATIONAL BANK ACT BROADLY PRE- CLUDES STATE INVESTIGATIONS OR EN- FORCEMENT ACTIONS THAT RELATE TO A NATIONAL BANK’S EXERCISE OF ITS AU- THORIZED BANKING POWERS	10
A. The Text And History Of § 484.....	11
1. The National Bank Act	11
2. The historical understanding of “visitorial powers”	13
3. This Court’s construction of “visito- rial powers” in <i>Guthrie v. Harkness</i>	16
4. Later congressional consideration and amendment of § 484 and re- lated provisions	19
5. This Court’s decision in <i>Watters</i>	26

TABLE OF CONTENTS—Continued

	Page
B. Concurrent State Enforcement Is Incompatible With The Federal Regulatory System.....	28
C. This Court’s Cases Do Not Recognize State Power To Enforce State Laws Affecting National Banks’ Exercise Of Their Authorized Banking Powers.....	33
I. OCC’S REGULATION IS ENTITLED TO DEFERENCE	37
A. OCC’s Regulation Reasonably Implements The Terms Of A Statute That Congress Has Entrusted To The Agency’s Administration	38
B. No Clearer Statement Of Congressional Intent Is Required.....	41
C. The Preemptive Reach Of § 484 And OCC’s Implementing Regulation Do Not Limit <i>Chevron</i> Deference	46
CONCLUSION	53

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.