

Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

IPR2015-00122 Paper 30; IPR2015-00123 Paper 30
IPR2015-00124 Paper 29; IPR2015-00125 Paper 28;
IPR2015-00133 Paper 26; IPR2015-00137 Paper 25
Entered: March 6, 2015

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ASKELADDEN LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

SEAN I. MCGHIE and BRIAN BUCHHEIT,
Patent Owner.

Cases IPR2015-00122 (Patent 8,523,063)
IPR2015-00123 (Patent 8,523,063)
IPR2015-00124 (Patent 8,540,152)
IPR2015-00125 (Patent 8,540,152)
IPR2015-00133 (Patent 8,297,502)
IPR2015-00137 (Patent 8,297,502)¹

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, JONI Y. CHANG, and
GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

Chang, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

¹ This Decision addresses issues that are the same in the identified cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading.

IPR2015-00122 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00123 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00124 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00125 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00133 (Patent 8,297,502)

IPR2015-00137 (Patent 8,297,502)

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Real Party-in-Interest and Vacating Filing Date

37 C.F.R. §§ 42.8(b)(1) and 42.106(b)

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner Askeladden LLC (“Askeladden”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review in each of the above-identified proceedings. Paper 2,² “Pet.” The Petitions identify Askeladden as the sole real party-in-interest to these proceedings. Pet. 1.

In response, Sean McGhie and Brian Buchheit (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response in each proceeding at issue. Paper 10, “Prelim. Resp.” Patent Owner asserts that The Clearing House Payments Company LLC (“PayCo”) also is a real party-in-interest. *Id.* at 54.

Subsequently, we authorized Askeladden to file a reply to Patent Owner’s Preliminary Response for the sole purpose of addressing the real party-in-interest issue. Paper 13. Pursuant to our authorization, Askeladden filed a Reply³ (“RPI Reply”⁴) and supporting evidence, including a

² Citations are to IPR2015-00133.

³ The Reply was time-stamped February 24, 2015, 12:02 a.m. ET, and, thus, was filed untimely. Patent Owner does not object to the entry of the late filing. Upon consideration, we determined, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 42.5(c)(3), to excuse the late filing.

⁴ Askeladden filed two versions of its Reply—a confidential version (Paper 14) and a public redacted version (Paper 15).

IPR2015-00122 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00123 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00124 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00125 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00133 (Patent 8,297,502)

IPR2015-00137 (Patent 8,297,502)

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

Declaration of Mr. Sean Reilly (Ex. 1531⁵). In addition, in response to our request for relevant portions of Askeladden’s Operating Guidelines, which are referenced in Mr. Reilly’s Declaration (Ex. 1531 ¶ 11), Askeladden filed a redacted confidential version of Askeladden’s Limited Liability Company Agreement (Ex. 1533, “LLC Agreement”), which contains Askeladden’s Operating Guidelines.

We have considered the parties’ contentions and evidence in the present record. For the reasons set forth below, we determine that Askeladden also should have identified PayCo as a real party-in-interest in the Petitions, as required by 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(2). Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.106(b), we, hereby, vacate the previously-accorded filing date of each Petition, and provide Askeladden an opportunity to correct the Petitions in accordance with this Order.

II. DISCUSSION

Factual Background

Askeladden is a wholly-owned subsidiary of PayCo. Ex. 1531 ¶ 6.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] Ex. 1533, 1. In short, PayCo is the parent company [REDACTED] of Askeladden.

⁵ Askeladden filed both the confidential version and public redacted versions of Mr. Reilly’s Declaration, as Exhibits 1531.

IPR2015-00122 (Patent 8,523,063)
IPR2015-00124 (Patent 8,540,152)
IPR2015-00133 (Patent 8,297,502)

IPR2015-00123 (Patent 8,523,063)
IPR2015-00125 (Patent 8,540,152)
IPR2015-00137 (Patent 8,297,502)

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED] the members of Askeladden’s Executive
Committee appear to be current executive officers of PayCo. Ex. 2029.

[REDACTED]
PayCo purportedly is a banking industry group representing more than
twenty financial institutions (“PayCo’s member banks”). Ex. 2027, 1.
PayCo formed Askeladden to implement the Patent Quality Initiative
(“PQI”), which is said to improve the quality of patents that affect the
financial services industry. Ex. 1531 ¶ 7; Ex. 1533, 8. PQI is the product of
thought leadership provided by PayCo. Ex. 2029, 1. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

IPR2015-00122 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00123 (Patent 8,523,063)

IPR2015-00124 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00125 (Patent 8,540,152)

IPR2015-00133 (Patent 8,297,502)

IPR2015-00137 (Patent 8,297,502)

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

The Parties' Contentions

Notwithstanding the identification of Askeladden as the sole real party-in-interest in each Petition (Pet. 1), Patent Owner asserts that PayCo also is a real party-in-interest. Prelim. Resp. 54. In its Preliminary Response, Patent Owner argues that “the boundary between Askeladden and the Clearing House has been a legal fiction based on the evidence available.” *Id.* at 55. As support, Patent Owner submitted two press releases (Exs. 2033, 2034), an article from iam-magazine (Ex. 2027), and several webpages⁶ from the websites of Askeladden and PayCo (Exs. 2028–2032).

In its Reply, Askeladden counters that it is the sole real party-in-interest because no other entity funds or controls the above-identified *inter partes* reviews. RPI Reply 1. In particular, Askeladden alleges that PayCo is not a real party-in-interest, as PayCo has not funded these proceedings. *Id.* at 2 (citing Ex. 1531 ¶¶ 16). Askeladden also contends that it, independently and, in its sole discretion, identifies and selects the involved patents, and directs all aspects of these proceedings. *Id.* at 1–5 (citing Ex. 1531 ¶¶ 11–12, 18). Askeladden further maintains that Patent Owner’s evidence is insufficient to overcome the presumption that distinct legal entities operate independently. *Id.* at 6–11. Askeladden argues that Patent Owner improperly seeks an advisory opinion, as Patent Owner has not sued

⁶ <http://www.patentqualityinitiative.com> and <https://www.theclearinghouse.org>.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.