

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC.; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC;
AND SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC;
Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP
Patent Owner

Case IPR2015-00118
Patent 8,023,580

DECLARATION OF DAVID GOODMAN

**IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,023,580**

Samsung Ex. 1319
(Samsung v. Rembrandt)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. EXPERT QUALIFICATIONS AND CREDENTIALS1

III. BASIS FOR OPINIONS AND MATERIALS REVIEWED1

IV. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS3

V. THE PRIOR ART3

A. Claims 23, 25, 30 And 41 Are Rendered Obvious By Boer3

1. The Prior Institution Decision In IPR2014-00519 Regarding
Claims 23, 25, 30, 413

1. Claims 23, 25, 29 And 30 Are Obvious.....10

a. Claim 2310

b. Claims 25 and 3011

2. Claim 41 Obvious18

B. Claim 29 is Rendered Obvious Under 35 U.S.C. § 103 By Admitted
Prior Art (“APA”)In View Of Boer25

1. Claim 29 Is Obvious25

I, David J. Goodman, declare:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I previously submitted a declaration in the inter partes review proceeding brought by Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC, and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, LLC (“Petitioners”) regarding U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580 that was assigned Case No. IPR2014-00519 (Exhibit 1318). My opinions stated in that declaration remain unchanged.

2. The present declaration provides additional opinions regarding the applicability of the prior art references I discussed in my previous declaration to certain claims for which the Patent Trial and Appeal Board declined to institute a trial. Therefore, I submit this declaration in support of Petitioner’s petition for *inter partes* review of the `580 Patent.

3. I am being compensated for my work in this matter. My compensation in no way depends upon the outcome of this proceeding.

II. EXPERT QUALIFICATIONS AND CREDENTIALS

4. My qualifications and credentials are provided in paragraphs 4-17 of my prior declaration. Ex. 1318.

III. BASIS FOR OPINIONS AND MATERIALS REVIEWED

5. The opinions set forth in my declaration are based on my personal knowledge gained from my education, professional experience, and on the review of the documents and information described in this declaration.

6. In preparation of this declaration, I have studied

- a. U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580 (Ex. 1301);
- b. File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,023,580 and the patents from which the `580 patent claims priority, as well as the child patent;
- c. U.S. Patent No. 5,706,428 to Boer et al. “Boer;”
- d. *P802.11 Draft Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specification*, 23 May 1996;
- e. *IEEE Std 802.11-1997(Wireless LAN Medium Access Control (MAC) and Physical Layer (PHY) Specifications)*, IEEE Standards Board, Approved Jun. 26, 1997;
- f. Upender, “*Communication Protocols for Embedded Systems*,” *Embedded Systems Programming*, Vol. 7, Issue 11, November 1994.
- g. Joint Claim Construction Statement, *Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al*, No. 2:13-cv-00213 (E.D. Tex. 2013);
- h. “*The IEEE Standard Dictionary of Electrical and Electronics Terms*,” 6th Ed., 1996, p. 662;
- i. “*Dictionary Of Communications Technology*,” 1995, pp. 259, 404.
- j. U.S. Patent No. 5,537,398 (“Siwiak”);
- k. Infringement Contentions, *Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al*, No. 2:13-cv-00213 (ED. Tex 2013) (July 25, 2013);

- l. Rembrandt’s Opening Claim Construction Brief, Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd, et al, No. 2:13-cv-00213 (ED. Tex 2013) (April 17, 2014);
- m. Tutorial presentation filed by Rembrandt, *Rembrandt Wireless Technologies, LP v. Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd*, et al, No. 2:13-cv-00213 (ED. Tex 2013) (April 17, 2014);
- n. Institution Decision for IPR2014-00518;
- o. Institution Decision for IPR2014-00519.

IV. SUMMARY OF MY OPINIONS

7. My opinions are in support of the *inter partes* review of the `580 patent claims 23, 25, 29, 30 and 41.

8. It is my opinion that claims 23, 25, 30 and 41 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Boer (Ex. 1304), and that claim 29 is rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by Admitted Prior Art (“APA”) in view of Boer..

V. THE PRIOR ART

A. Claims 23, 25, 30 And 41 Are Rendered Obvious By Boer

9. I discuss the scope and content of Boer in paragraphs 71-75 of my prior declaration.

1. The Prior Institution Decision In IPR2014-00519 Regarding Claims 23, 25, 30, 41

10. I have studied the prior `519 Institution Decision. In it, the Board found that Petitioner had not met its burden regarding claims 23 and 41 because Petitioner did not show that Boer teaches that the (i) “third data” of claim 23, or (ii)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.