

By: Thomas Engellenner
Pepper Hamilton LLP
125 High Street
19th Floor, High Street Tower
Boston, MA 02110
(617) 204-5100 (telephone)
(617) 204-5150 (facsimile)

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO. LTD.;
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.;
SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC; and
SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, LLC;

Petitioner

v.

REMBRANDT WIRELESS TECHNOLOGIES, LP
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-00114

Patent 8,023,580

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.107**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES iii

TABLE OF EXHIBITS vi

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. INSTITUTION OF REVIEW SHOULD BE DENIED UNDER 35
U.S.C. §325(d)..... 3

A. Procedural Background..... 3

B. Argument..... 4

1. 35 U.S.C. §325(d) And Congressional Intent Compel
Denial of Petition Because It Is Based On The Same
Prior Art And Substantially The Same Argument As That
Previously Presented To The Board In The ‘518 IPR. 4

2. The ‘114 Petition Is A Response To The Board’s
Decision Denying Institution of Challenged Claims in the
‘518 IPR And Should Be Denied On Procedural and
Policy Grounds..... 14

III. OVERVIEW OF THE ‘580 PATENT 15

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION AND ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 17

A. A Person Having Ordinary Skill In The Art 17

B. Claim Construction..... 18

1. “First Modulation Method” and “Second Modulation
Method” 19

2. “At Least Two Types of Modulation Methods” 22

3. “Master” and “Slave” 25

Page

V.	THERE IS NO REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT ANY OF THE CLAIMS 2, 19, 49, 52, 53, AND 59 ARE RENDERED OBVIOUS BY THE COMBINATION OF ALLEGED APA AND U.S. PATENT NO. 5,706,428 (“BOER”).....	27
A.	Alleged Admitted Prior Art (“APA”)	28
B.	Summary Of Boer (Ex. 1204)	28
C.	Petition Fails To Demonstrate Any Motivation To Combine Boer With APA.	32
D.	Petition Does Not Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That The Combination Of Boer And APA Renders Claim 2 Obvious.	38
E.	Petition Does Not Demonstrate That The Combination Of Boer And APA Renders Claim 19 Obvious.	43
F.	Petition Does Not Demonstrate That Combination of Boer And APA Renders Independent Claim 49 and Its Dependent Claims 52 And 53 Obvious.....	46
G.	Petition Does Not Demonstrate That The Combination Of Boer And APA Renders Claim 52 Obvious For An Additional Reason.	48
H.	The Petition Does Not Demonstrate A Reasonable Likelihood That Combination Of Alleged APA And Boer Renders Claim 59 Obvious	49
VI.	CONCLUSION.....	50

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	<u>Page(s)</u>
CASES	
<i>3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	21
<i>Abbott Labs. v. Novopharm Ltd.</i> , 323 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2003).....	24
<i>In re Buszard</i> , 504 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2007).....	17
<i>Butamax Advanced Biofuels v. Gevo, Inc.</i> , IPR2014-00581, Paper 8 (PTAB Oct. 14, 2014).....	5
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. Yieldup Intern. Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	27
<i>Conopco, Inc. dba Unilever v. Proctor & Gamble</i> , IPR2014-00628, Paper 21 (PTAB Oct. 20, 2014).....	5
<i>Customplay, LLC v. Clearplay, Inc.</i> , PR2014-00783, Paper 9 (PTAB November 7, 2014).....	6
<i>In re Cortright</i> , 165 F.3d 1353 (Fed. Cir. 1999).....	17
<i>InTouch Tech., Inc. v. VGO Comm’s, Inc.</i> , 2014 U.S. App. LEXIS 8745 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2014)	38
<i>Kinetic Concepts, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 688 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	37
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	37, 38
<i>Microsoft Corp. v. Enfish, LLC</i> , IPR2014-00576, Paper 12 (PTAB Sept. 29, 2014)	5
<i>In re NTP, Inc.</i> , 654 F.3d 1279 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	37
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	22
<i>In re Suitco Surface, Inc.</i> , 603 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	17

Page(s)

Unilever, Inc. v. Proctor & Gamble, IPR2014-00506, Paper 17
(PTAB July 7, 2014).....5

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronc, Inc., 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)24

Voris v. Eikel, 346 U.S. 328 (1953).....12

In re Weiler, 790 F.2d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1986).....12

Wowza Media Systems, LLC et al. v. Adobe Systems Inc., IPR2013-00054,
Paper 12 (PTAB April 8, 2013).....37

Zimmer Holdings et al. v. Bonutti Skeletal Innov., IPR2014-01080, Paper 17
(PTAB Oct. 31, 2014).....5

STATUTES

35 U.S.C. § 1035

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)7

35 U.S.C. § 25112

35 U.S.C. §315(c)2

35 U.S.C. §325(d)2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 11

OTHER AUTHORITIES

37 C.F.R. § 4114

37 C.F.R. § 42.7114

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)17

77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,766 (Aug. 14, 2012)17

157 Cong. Rec. S1042 (daily ed. Mar. 1, 2011)11

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 211117

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.