

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/543,910	08/19/2009	Gordon F. Bremer	REMB-0109	8306
	7590 09/01/201 WASHBURN LLP	0	EXAM	IINER
	E, 12TH FLOOR		HA, D	AC V
	IA, PA 19104-2891		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/01/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Samsung Ex. 1208 (Samsung v. Rembrandt)



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	12/543,910	BREMER, GORDON	F.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Dac V. Ha	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence addre	ss		
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	ICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this commandation (as in the commandation) (as in the c			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 A	ugust 2009.				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-100</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrains 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>19, 21-27, 29-35, 58, 60-66, 68-69, 7</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-18,20,28,36-57,59,67,70,71,73,81,</u> 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration. <u>2, 74-80, 83, 86, 88-94, 98, 88,85,87,95 and 100</u> is/a	<del></del> -			
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 August 2009</u> is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received. ts have been received in	Application No			
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prio		n received in this National Sta	age		
application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received			
" See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies no	it received.			
Attachment(s)  1) \( \overline{\text{N}} \) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of 6) Other: _	Informal Patent Application			

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Application/Control Number: 12/543,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

1. Claims 1-18, 37-57, 96-100 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, line 9, "the first data sequence" should be changed to i.e. "a first data sequence" to avoid potential antecedent basis problem.

Similar problem exists in claim 37.

Claims 96-100 seem to have incorrect dependency (i.e. should be depending from claims 86 on).

Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 19, 21, 23-27, 29, 34, 86, 88-94, 96 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Siwiak (US 5,537,398).

Re claim 19, Siwiak discloses:

"a processor" (Fig. 6, elements 606, 610);

"transmission of first data with a first modulation method followed by second data with a second modulation method, wherein the first modulation method is different than



Application/Control Number: 12/543,910 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

the second modulation method, and wherein the first data comprises an indication of an impending change from the first modulation method to the second modulation method" in Fig. 2; col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 2; col. 4, lines 31-39; abstract.

Further, even though Siwiak does not explicitly disclose "a memory having stored therein executable instructions for execution by the processor", it should be inherent that the "processor" of Siwiak must have some associate memory with instruction information stored therein for execution by such processor.

**Re claim 86**, see similar subject matter in claim 19 above, wherein elements 606, 610 teach all first, second and third logic.

**Re claim 21**, Siwiak further discloses "wherein the first modulation method is a frequency shift keying modulation" in col. 6, lines 25-29.

**Re claim 23**, Siwiak further discloses "wherein the second modulation method is different than the first modulation method in performance" in col. 6, lines 23-29.

**Re claim 24**, Siwiak further discloses "wherein the first modulation method has a lower performance than the second modulation method" in col. 6, lines 23-29.

**Re claim 25**, Siwiak further discloses "wherein the second modulation method is different than the first modulation in data rate" in col. 6, lines 23-29.

**Re claim 26**, Siwiak further discloses "wherein the first modulation method has a lower data rate than the second modulation method" in col. 6, lines 23-29.

Re claim 27, Siwiak further discloses "wherein transmission of the second data is according to a specific time interval" in Fig. 2; col. 3, line 61 to col. 4, line 2.



Application/Control Number: 12/543,910 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

Re claim 29, Siwiak further discloses "transmitter configured to transmit the first data and the second data" in Fig. 5, 2; col. 3, lines 45-48; col. 4, lines 31-39.

**Re claim 34**, Siwiak further discloses "the first data comprises an address" in col. 4, lines 31-39; Fig. 2.

**Re claims 88-94, 98**, see similar claimed subject matter in claims 21-27, 34, respectively.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 30-33, 96, 97 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Siwiak.

Re claim 30, Siwiak discloses all claimed subject matter of claim 30, as stated above, except for "the memory has stored therein program code for the first modulation method and the second modulation method". However, it would have been easily understood by one skilled the art that, for software implementation standpoint, the (associate) memory would have stored therein information in the form of program code for execution by the processor.



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

