

26

27

28

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

Pursuant to the Court's Case Management Order [Doc. No. 20], Defendants Hughes Communications, Inc., Hughes Network Systems, LLC, Dish Network, LLC, and Dishnet Satellite Broadband, LLC hereby provide their Invalidity Contentions ("Contentions") with respect to the asserted claims of U.S. Patents Nos. 7,116,710 ("'710 Patent"), 7,421,032 ("'032 Patent"), 7,916,781 ("'781 Patent"), and 8,284,833 ("'833 Patent"), (collectively, the "Asserted Patents") identified by Plaintiff California Institute of Technology in Plaintiff's Disclosure of Asserted Claims and Infringement Contentions, served on April 1, 2014 ("Infringement Contentions").



1	TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2	I.	INTRODUCTION1		
3	II.	INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS		
4		A. Identification of Prior Art		
5		1. The '710 Patent		
6		2. The '032 Patent5		
7		3. The '781 Patent		
8		4. The '833 Patent		
		B. Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. §102 and §103		
9		1. The '710 Patent		
10		2. The '032 Patent		
11		3. The '781 Patent		
12		4. The '833 Patent		
13		C. Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. §112		
14		1. The '032 Patent		
		2. The '833 Patent		
15		D. Invalidity Under 35 U.S.C. §101		
16		2. The '781 Patent		
17		2. The 7611 atent		
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
20				

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff has asserted the claims listed below against Defendants in its Infringement Contentions.

Asserted Patent	Asserted Claims
'710 Patent	1-8, 11-17, 19-22, and 24
'032 Patent	1-2, 4, 8, 10-11, 18-19, and 22
'781 Patent	1-22
'833 Patent	1-14

However, the asserted claims listed in the above table are invalid, as demonstrated in these Contentions and accompanying exhibits. Specifically, Exhibits A–D contain Defendants' invalidity charts.¹ A table of exhibits accompanying these Contentions is shown below.

Exhibit	Contents
A	Invalidity Chart for '710 Patent
В	Invalidity Chart for '032 Patent
С	Invalidity Chart for '781 Patent
D	Invalidity Chart for '833 Patent

The references discussed in the claim charts and modules cited herein and attached hereto may disclose the elements of the asserted claims explicitly or inherently, or they may be relied upon to show the state of the art in the relevant time frame.

For purposes of these Contentions, Defendants identify prior art references and provide element-by-element claim charts based on Defendants' implicit interpretations of the asserted claims that are apparent from its Infringement Contentions. To the extent that the Plaintiff or the Court adopt different positions during the process of disclosing and briefing claim construction, and during the

¹ The invalidity charts contained in the exhibits are drafted in omnibus form to respond to Plaintiff's Infringement Contentions, which were drafted in that form

remainder of fact discovery and expert discovery, Defendants accordingly reserve the right to revise, supplement, and/or amend their Contentions.

Plaintiffs have not identified any secondary considerations of nonobviousness relating to the patents-in-suit. Defendants reserve the right to supplement their Contentions to rebut any secondary considerations of nonobviousness that Plaintiff may identify in the future.

Nothing stated herein shall be treated as an admission or suggestion that Defendants agree with Plaintiff's implicit or explicit interpretations of the claims. Moreover, nothing in these Contentions shall be treated as an admission that any of the Accused Products meets any limitations of the claims. Finally, references to the preamble of a claim in these Contentions shall not be treated as an admission that the preamble limits the claim.

II. INVALIDITY CONTENTIONS

With respect to each asserted claim, and based on its investigation to date, Defendants hereby (a) identify each item of prior art that either anticipates or renders obvious each asserted claim; (b) specify whether each such item of prior art (or combination of several of the same) anticipates each asserted claim or renders it obvious; (c) submit charts identifying where specifically in each item of prior art each limitation of each claim is disclosed, described, or taught in the prior art; (d) identify the grounds for invalidating asserted claims for failing to claim patentable subject matter under 35 U.S.C. §101; and (e) identify the grounds for invalidating asserted claims based on indefiniteness, lack of written description, and/or lack of enablement under 35 U.S.C. §112.

Defendants' claim charts and modules cite particular teachings and disclosures of the prior art as applied to features of the asserted claims. However, persons having ordinary skill in the art generally may view an item of prior art in the context of other publications, literature, products, and understanding. As such, the cited portions are only illustrative, and Defendants plan to rely on uncited



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

