

Case :13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 2 of 55 Page ID #:19

Plaintiff the California Institute of Technology ("Caltech" or "Plaintiff"), by
 and through its undersigned counsel, complains and alleges as follows against
 Hughes Communications, Inc., Hughes Network Systems, LLC, DISH Network
 Corporation, DISH Network L.L.C., and dishNET Satellite Broadband L.L.C.
 (collectively, "Defendants"):

6

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

7 1. This is a civil action for patent infringement arising under the patent
8 laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §§ 1 *et seq*.

Defendants have infringed and continue to infringe, contributed to and
continue to contribute to the infringement of, and/or actively induced and continue
to induce others to infringe Caltech's U.S. Patent No. 7,116,710, U.S. Patent No.
7,421,032, U.S. Patent No. 7,916,781, and U.S. Patent No. 8,284,833 (collectively,
"the Asserted Patents"). Caltech is the legal owner by assignment of the Asserted
Patents, which were duly and legally issued by the United States Patent and
Trademark Office. Caltech seeks injunctive relief and monetary damages.

16

### THE PARTIES

17 3. Caltech is a non-profit private university organized under the laws of
18 the State of California, with its principal place of business at 1200 East California
19 Boulevard, Pasadena, California 91125.

20 4. On information and belief, Hughes Communications, Inc. ("Hughes 21 Communications") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of 22 Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 11717 Exploration Lane, 23 Germantown, Maryland 20876. On information and belief, Hughes 24 Communications is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Hughes Satellite Systems 25 Corporation, which is a wholly-owned subsidiary of EchoStar Corporation ("EchoStar"). 26

5. On information and belief, Hughes Network Systems, LLC ("Hughes
Network") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of

-2-

Delaware, with its principal place of business located at 11717 Exploration Lane,
 Germantown, Maryland 20876. On information and belief, Hughes Network is a
 wholly owned subsidiary of Hughes Communications. Hughes Communications
 and Hughes Network, collectively, are referred to as "Hughes Defendants."

6. On information and belief, DISH Network Corporation ("DISH Corp.")
is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Nevada with its principal
place of business located at 9601 South Meridian Boulevard, Englewood, Colorado
80112.

9 7. On information and belief, DISH Network L.L.C. ("DISH L.L.C.") is a
10 limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of Colorado with its
11 principal place of business located at 9601 South Meridian Boulevard, Englewood,
12 Colorado 80112. On information and belief, DISH L.L.C. is a wholly owned
13 subsidiary of DISH Corp.

On information and belief, dishNET Satellite Broadband L.L.C. 8. 14 ("dishNET") is a limited liability company organized under the laws of the State of 15 Colorado with its principal place of business located at 9601 South Meridian 16 Boulevard, Englewood, Colorado 80112. On information and belief, dishNET is a 17 wholly owned subsidiary of DISH Corp. On information and belief, dishNET and 18 DISH L.L.C. are related entities. DISH Corp., DISH L.L.C., and dishNET, 19 collectively, are referred to as "Dish Defendants." 20

9. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants' parent company,
 EchoStar, and Dish Defendants were previously one company. On information and
 belief, around January 2008, EchoStar and Dish Defendants became two separate
 companies (the "spin-off").

10. On information and belief, the business relationship among Dish
Defendants, EchoStar and Hughes Defendants remains extremely integrated. The
same individual serves as the Chairman of both Dish Defendants and EchoStar.
Further, since the spin-off, a substantial majority of the voting power of the shares

-3-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEN Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 4 of 55 Page ID #:21

of both Dish Defendants and EchoStar is owned beneficially by the Chairman, or by 1 2 certain trusts established by the Chairman. Additionally, on information and belief, 3 in addition to the Chairman, an individual responsible for the development and implementation of advanced technologies that are of potential utility and importance 4 5 to both Dish Defendants and EchoStar serves on the board of both companies. On information and belief, in 2010, Dish Defendants accounted for 82.5% of EchoStar's 6 7 total revenue and in 2012, Dish Defendants accounted for 49.5% of EchoStar's total revenue. Additionally, on information and belief, in October 2012, Dish Defendants 8 9 and Hughes Defendants entered into a distribution agreement relating to Hughes 10 Defendants' satellite internet service.

11

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

12 11. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action under
13 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

14 12. Hughes Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants regularly conduct business in the State 15 16 of California, including in the Central District of California, and have committed acts of patent infringement and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent 17 18 infringement by others in this District and elsewhere in California and the United States. As such, Hughes Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the 19 20 privilege of conducting business within this District; have established sufficient minimum contacts with this District such that they should reasonably and fairly 21 anticipate being haled into court in this District; have purposefully directed activities 22 23 at residents of this State; and at least a portion of the patent infringement claims alleged herein arise out of or are related to one or more of the foregoing activities. 24

25 13. Dish Defendants are subject to this Court's personal jurisdiction. On
26 information and belief, Dish Defendants regularly conduct business in the State of
27 California, including in the Central District of California, maintain employees in this
28 District and elsewhere in California, and have committed acts of patent infringement

4-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 5 of 55 Page ID #:22

and/or contributed to or induced acts of patent infringement by others in this District 1 and elsewhere in California and the United States. As such, Dish Defendants have 2 purposefully availed themselves of the privilege of conducting business within this 3 District; have established sufficient minimum contacts with this District such that 4 they should reasonably and fairly anticipate being haled into court in this District; 5 have purposefully directed activities at residents of this State; and at least a portion 6 of the patent infringement claims alleged herein arise out of or are related to one or 7 8 more of the foregoing activities.

9 14. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391
10 and 1400 because Defendants regularly conduct business in this District, and certain
11 of the acts complained of herein occurred in this District.

12

### CALTECH'S ASSERTED PATENTS

13 15. On October 3, 2006, the United States Patent Office issued U.S. Patent
14 No. 7,116,710, titled "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Convolutional Codes
15 Forming Turbo-Like Codes" (the "710 patent"). A true and correct copy of the
16 '710 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

16. On September 2, 2008, the United States Patent Office issued U.S.
Patent No. 7,421,032, titled "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Convolutional
Codes Forming Turbo-Like Codes" (the "'032 patent"). A true and correct copy of
the '032 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit B. The '032 patent is a continuation of
the application that led to the '710 patent.

17. On March 29, 2011, the United States Patent Office issued U.S. Patent
No. 7,916,781, titled "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Convolutional Codes
Forming Turbo-Like Codes" (the "781 patent"). A true and correct copy of the
'781 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit C. The '781 patent is a continuation of the
application that led to the '032 patent, which is a continuation of the application that
led to the '710 patent.

28

18. On October 9, 2012, the United States Patent Office issued U.S. Patent

-5-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEN Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 6 of 55 Page ID #:23

No. 8,284,833, titled "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Convolutional Codes
 Forming Turbo-Like Codes" (the "'833 patent"). A true and correct copy of the
 '833 patent is attached hereto as Exhibit D. The '833 patent is a continuation of the
 application that led to the '781 patent, which is a continuation of the application that
 led to the '032 patent, which is a continuation of the application that led to the '710
 patent.

7 19. The Asserted Patents identify Hui Jin, Aamod Khandekar, and Robert
8 J. McEliece as the inventors (the "Named Inventors").

9 20. Caltech is the owner of all right, title, and interest in and to each of the
10 Asserted Patents with full and exclusive right to bring suit to enforce the Asserted
11 Patents, including the right to recover for past damages and/or royalties.

12

21. The Asserted Patents are valid and enforceable.

13

### **BACKGROUND TO THIS ACTION**

14 22. The Asserted Patents disclose a seminal improvement to coding 15 systems and methods used for digital satellite transmission. The Asserted Patents 16 disclose an ensemble of codes called irregular repeat-accumulate (IRA) codes, 17 which are specific types of low-density parity check (LDPC) codes. The IRA codes 18 disclosed in the Asserted Patents enable a transmission rate close to the theoretical 19 limit, while also providing the advantage of a low encoding complexity.

20 23. In September 2000, the Named Inventors of the Asserted Patents
21 published a paper regarding their invention, titled "Irregular Repeat-Accumulate
22 Codes" for the Second International Conference on Turbo Codes. (Exhibit E.) This
23 paper has been widely cited by experts in the industry.

24 24. Experts recognize the importance and usefulness of the IRA codes
25 disclosed in the September 2000 paper by the Named Inventors of the Asserted
26 Patents. For example, a paper praising these IRA codes was published in August
27 2004 by Aline Roumy, Souad Guemghar, Giuseppe Caire, and Sergio Verdú in the
28 IEEE Transactions on Information Theory. This paper, titled "Design Methods for

-6-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 7 of 55 Page ID #:24

1 Irregular Repeat-Accumulate Codes," states:

2

3

4

5

IRA codes are, in fact, special subclasses of both irregular LDPCs and irregular turbo codes. . . . IRA codes are an appealing choice because the encoder is extremely simple, their performance is quite competitive with that of turbo codes and LDPCs, and they can be decoded with a very-low-complexity iterative decoding scheme.

(Exhibit F, at 1.) This paper also notes that, four years after the September 2000
paper, the Named Inventors were the only ones to propose a method to design IRA codes. (*Id.*)

25. The current standard for digital satellite transmissions embodies the
invention of the Asserted Patents by using channel codes that are IRA codes. This
digital satellite transmission standard is titled "Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB);
Second generation framing structure, channel coding and modulation systems for
Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and other broadband satellite
applications" (the "DVB-S2 standard").

15 26. Experts in the industry recognize that the DVB-S2 standard uses the
16 IRA codes initially disclosed by the Named Inventors of the Asserted Patents. For
17 example, a 2005 paper published by the highly regarded Institute of Electrical and
18 Electronics Engineers (IEEE), titled "A Synthesizable IP Core for DVB-S2 LDPC
19 Code Decoding," and authored by Frank Kienle, Torben Brack, and Norbert Wehn
10 recognizes:

The LDPC codes as defined in the DVB-S2 standard are IRA codes, thus the encoder realization is straight forward. Furthermore, the DVB-S2 code shows regularities which can be exploited for an efficient hardware realization.

25 (Exhibit G, at 1.)

21

22

23

24

26
27. Moreover, this paper provides credit to the September 2000 paper
authored by the Named Inventors of the Asserted Patents for the origination of the
IRA codes that are defined in the DVB-S2 standard. (*Id.* at 1 & n.8.)

-7-

Hughes, Exh. 1021, p. 7

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 8 of 55 Page ID #:25

Similarly, on information and belief, a 2007 paper titled "Factorizable 28. 1 2 Modulo M Parallel Architecture for DVB-S2 LDPC Decoding," and published in the Proceedings of the 6th Conference on Telecommunications, recognizes that the 3 DVB-S2 standard uses the IRA codes initially disclosed by the Named Inventors of 4 the Asserted Patents. This paper, authored by Marco Gomes, Gabriel Falcão, Vitor 5 Silva, Vitor Ferreira, Alexandre Sengo, and Miguel Falcão, states: 6 The new DVB-S2 [] standard adopted a special class of LDPC 7 codes known by IRA codes [] as the main solution for the FEC 8 system. 9 (Exhibit H, at 1.) 10 Moreover, this paper also credits the September 2000 paper authored 29. 11 by the Named Inventors of the Asserted Patents for the origination of the IRA codes 12 that are defined in the DVB-S2 standard. (*Id.* at 1 & n.8.) 13 As even further support, on information and belief, a 2006 industry 30. 14 paper published in the Journal of Communications Software and Systems, titled 15 "Design of LDPC Codes: A Survey and New Results" and authored by Gianluigi 16 Liva, Shumei Song, Lan Lan, Yifei Zhang, Shu Lin, and William E. Ryan, confirms 17 that the DVB-S2 standard uses the IRA codes, stating: 18 The ETSI DVB S2 [] standard for digital video broadcast 19 specifies two IRA code families with block lengths 64800 and 16200. 20 21 (Exhibit I, at 10-11.) As such, products, methods, equipment, and/or services that implement 22 31. the DVB-S2 standard practice one or more claims of each of the Asserted Patents 23 because the DVB-S2 standard embodies the invention of the Asserted Patents by 24 25 using IRA codes. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants manufacture, use, 26 32. import, offer for sale, or sell products, methods, equipment, and/or services that 27 implement the DVB-S2 standard. For example, Hughes Defendants provide satellite 28

-8-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 9 of 55 Page ID #:26

1 broadband internet access to consumers and broadband network services to the 2 enterprise markets, among other activities, including through their HN System and 3 HX System product lines. Hughes Defendants have extensively publicized that their 4 flagship HN System and HX System satellite broadband internet product lines 5 implement the DVB-S2 standard. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants 6 market and sell, among other activities, certain broadband equipment and services 7 that implements the DVB-S2 standard through the HughesNet brand. On 8 information and belief, Hughes Defendants further sell or provide certain broadband equipment and services that implements the DVB-S2 standard to Dish Defendants. 9 10 On information and belief, Hughes Defendants use their broadband equipment that implements the DVB-S2 standard for testing, consulting, and/or support services, 11 12 among other activities.

13 33. On information and belief, Dish Defendants manufacture, use, import, 14 offer for sale, or sell products, methods, equipment, and/or services that implement the DVB-S2 standard. For example, on information and belief, Dish Defendants 15 market, offer for sale, sell, and distribute, among other activities, Hughes 16 Defendants' satellite internet service, among other products and services, under the 17 18 dishNET brand pursuant to a distribution agreement entered into with Hughes Defendants in October 2012. On information and belief, Dish Defendants purchase 19 certain broadband equipment and services that implements the DVB-S2 standard 20 21 from Hughes Defendants and offer for sale, sell, provide, and/or distribute this 22 equipment and service to its customers. On information and belief, Dish Defendants use this broadband equipment and service that implements the DVB-S2 standard for 23 testing, consulting and/or support services, among other activities. On information 24 25 and belief, the dishNET services are primarily bundled with other services offered by Dish Defendants. 26

34. Hughes Defendants admit that their broadband satellite systems are
compliant with "high-speed DVB-S2." (Exhibit J.) Additionally, Hughes

-9-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 10 of 55 Page ID #:27

Defendants have touted that implementation of this DVB-S2 standard "provides for
 higher throughputs, better coding efficiency, and improved satellite resource
 utilization for the outbound channel." (Exhibit K.)

35. Further, Hughes Defendants' website advertises its HX System and
provides a link to a brochure titled "High-Performance IP Satellite Broadband
System." (Exhibit L.) This brochure similarly highlights Hughes Defendants'
implementation of the DVB-S2 standard, stating that the core component of the HX
System, the HX Gateway, "uses a DVB-S2 carrier . . . for the outbound channel
received by all HX System remote terminals." (*Id.*)

10 36. Hughes Defendants' website also advertises its HN System and states 11 that it is compliant with DVB-S2. (Exhibit M.)

### <u>COUNT I</u>

12

13

### Infringement of the '710 Patent

14 37. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the15 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants 16 38. have infringed and are currently infringing, directly and/or through intermediaries, 17 the '710 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the 18 United States, without authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services that 19 practice one or more claims of the '710 patent. These products, methods, 20 equipment, and/or services include products that implement the DVB-S2 standard, 21 including without limitation products in the HN System and HX System product 22 lines, satellite internet product lines distributed under the dishNET brand, network 23 and network services that employ these products, and/or marketing, consulting, 24 and/or support services provided for these products and services (collectively, the 25 "Accused Services and Products"). For example, at least Paragraphs 32 and 33 26 illustrate a limited number of examples of Defendants' direct infringement of the 27 '710 patent. Defendants have infringed and are currently infringing literally and/or 28

-10-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 11 of 55 Page ID #:28

1 under the doctrine of equivalents.

39. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants
have infringed and are continuing to infringe the '710 patent by contributing to
and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '710 patent by making,
using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without
authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services, including the Accused
Services and Products, that practice one or more claims of the '710 patent.

40. Hughes Defendants have had actual knowledge of their infringement of
the '710 patent before the filing date of this Complaint through letters alleging such
infringement, or at least have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the '710
patent since no later than the filing date of this Complaint.

41. On information and belief, Dish Defendants have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the '710 patent before the filing date of this Complaint based on their marketing, sale, and distribution, among other activities, of Hughes Defendants' satellite internet service and their relationship with Hughes Defendants (*see* Paragraphs 9, 10, 33). Dish Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the '710 patent since no later than the filing date of this Complaint.

Notwithstanding Defendants' actual notice of infringement, 19 42. Defendants have continued, directly and/or through intermediaries, to manufacture, 20 use, import, offer for sale, or sell the Accused Services and Products with 21 knowledge of or willful blindness to the fact that their actions will induce others, 22 including but not limited to their customers, partners, and/or end users, to infringe 23 the '710 patent. Defendants have induced and continue to induce others to infringe 24 the '710 patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by encouraging and facilitating 25 others to perform actions that Defendants know to be acts of infringement of the 26 '710 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '710 patent. 27 Upon information and belief, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, 28

-11-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 12 of 55 Page ID #:29

1 advertise and distribute the Accused Services and Products, publish instruction 2 materials, specifications and/or promotional literature describing the operation of 3 the Accused Services and Products, and/or offer training and/or consulting services 4 regarding the Accused Services and Products to their customers, partners, and/or 5 end users. At least consumers, partners, and/or end users of these Accused Services 6 and Products then directly or jointly infringe the '710 patent by making, using, 7 selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, 8 the Accused Services and Products.

9 43. Upon information and belief, Defendants know that the Accused 10 Services and Products are especially made or especially adapted for use in the 11 infringement of the '710 patent. The infringing components of these products are 12 not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-13 infringing use, and the infringing components of these products are a material part 14 of the invention of the '710 patent. Accordingly, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 15 Defendants are also contributing, directly and/or through intermediaries, to the direct infringement of the '710 patent by at least the customers, partners, and/or end 16 17 users of these Accused Services and Products. The customers, partners, and/or end 18 users of these Accused Services and Products directly infringe the '710 patent by 19 making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, 20 without authority, the Accused Services and Products.

21 As but one example of Hughes Defendants' contributory and/or 44. 22 induced infringement, Hughes Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to 23 practice the methods disclosed and claimed in the '710 patent by using the Accused 24 Services and Products. As detailed in Paragraphs 34 through 36, Hughes 25 Defendants' website advertises its HN System and HX System, and provides 26 information and brochures regarding these systems. (See Exhibits J, K, L, M.) 27 These webpages and brochures highlight Hughes Defendants' implementation of the 28 DVB-S2 standard. On information and belief, through materials such as these, the

-12-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 13 of 55 Page ID #:30

Hughes Defendants actively encourage their consumers, partners, and/or end users
 to infringe the '710 patent through at least use of the HN System and HX System
 product lines, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '710 patent with intent
 that those performing the acts infringe the '710 patent.

5 45. As but one example of Dish Defendants' contributory and/or induced 6 infringement, Dish Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to practice the 7 methods disclosed and claimed in the '710 patent by using the Accused Services and 8 Products. According to Dish Defendants' 2012 Annual Report (10-K), Dish Defendants lease to dishNET satellite internet subscribers the customer premise 9 equipment. On information and belief, this equipment implements the DVB-S2 10 standard. On information and belief, through providing this equipment, Dish 11 12 Defendants actively encourage their consumers and end users to infringe the '710 13 patent through at least use of the equipment, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '710 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '710 patent. 14 15 46. Defendants are not licensed or otherwise authorized to practice,

16 contributorily practice and/or induce third parties to practice the claims of the '710
17 patent.

18 47. By reason of Defendants' infringing activities, Caltech has suffered,19 and will continue to suffer, substantial damages.

20 48. Caltech is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as
21 a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

49. Defendants' continuing acts of infringement are irreparably harming
and causing damage to Caltech, for which Caltech has no adequate remedy at law,
and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' continuing
acts of infringement are enjoined by the Court. The hardships that an injunction
would impose are less than those faced by Caltech should an injunction not issue.
The public interest would be served by issuance of an injunction. Thus, Caltech is
entitled to a preliminary and a permanent injunction against further infringement.

-13-

Case 213-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 14 of 55 Page ID #:31

Hughes Defendants' infringement of the '710 patent has been and 1 50. continues to be willful and deliberate, justifying a trebling of damages under 35 2 U.S.C. § 284. Among other facts, Hughes Defendants have had knowledge of their 3 infringement of the '710 patent before the filing date of this Complaint through 4 Upon information and belief, Hughes letters alleging such infringement. 5 Defendants' accused actions continued despite an objectively high likelihood that 6 they constituted infringement of the '710 patent. Hughes Defendants either knew or 7 should have known about their risk of infringing the '710 patent. Hughes 8 Defendants' conduct despite this knowledge was made with both objective and 9 subjective reckless disregard for the infringing nature of their activities as 10 demonstrated by Hughes Defendants' knowledge regarding the claims of the '710 11 12 patent.

13 51. Defendants' infringement of the '710 patent is exceptional and entitles
14 Caltech to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35
15 U.S.C. § 285.

16

17

### COUNT II

### Infringement of the '032 Patent

18 52. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the19 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants 20 53. have infringed and are currently infringing, directly and/or through intermediaries, 21 the '032 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the 22 United States, without authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services that 23 practice one or more claims of the '032 patent. These products, methods, 24 equipment, and/or services include products that implement the DVB-S2 standard, 25 including without limitation products in the HN System and HX System product 26 lines, satellite internet product lines distributed under the dishNET brand, network 27 and network services that employ these products, and/or marketing, consulting, 28

-14-

Case 213-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 15 of 55 Page ID #:32

and/or support services provided for these products and services (collectively, the
 "Accused Services and Products"). For example, at least Paragraphs 32 and 33
 illustrate a limited number of examples of Defendants' direct infringement of the
 '032 patent. Defendants have infringed and are currently infringing literally and/or
 under the doctrine of equivalents.

6 54. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants
7 have infringed and are continuing to infringe the '032 patent by contributing to
8 and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '032 patent by making,
9 using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without
10 authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services, including the Accused
11 Services and Products, that practice one or more claims of the '032 patent.

12 55. Hughes Defendants have had actual knowledge of their infringement of
13 the '032 patent before the filing date of this Complaint through letters alleging such
14 infringement, or at least have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the '032
15 patent since no later than the filing date of this Complaint.

16 56. On information and belief, Dish Defendants have had actual knowledge
17 of their infringement of the '032 patent before the filing date of this Complaint
18 based on their marketing, sale, and distribution, among other activities, of Hughes
19 Defendants' satellite internet service and their relationship with Hughes Defendants
20 (*see* Paragraphs 9, 10, 33). Dish Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of
21 their infringement of the '032 patent since no later than the filing date of this
22 Complaint.

57. Notwithstanding Defendants' actual notice of infringement, Defendants
have continued, directly and/or through intermediaries, to manufacture, use, import,
offer for sale, or sell the Accused Services and Products with knowledge of or
willful blindness to the fact that their actions will induce others, including but not
limited to their customers, partners, and/or end users, to infringe the '032 patent.
Defendants have induced and continue to induce others to infringe the '032 patent in

-15-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEN Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 16 of 55 Page ID #:33

1 violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by encouraging and facilitating others to perform 2 actions that Defendants know to be acts of infringement of the '032 patent with 3 intent that those performing the acts infringe the '032 patent. Upon information and 4 belief, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, advertise and distribute 5 the Accused Services and Products, publish instruction materials, specifications 6 and/or promotional literature describing the operation of the Accused Services and 7 Products, and/or offer training and/or consulting services regarding the Accused 8 Services and Products to their customers, partners, and/or end users. At least 9 consumers, partners, and/or end users of these Accused Services and Products then directly or jointly infringe the '032 patent by making, using, selling, offering for 10 11 sale, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, the Accused 12 Services and Products.

13 58. Upon information and belief, Defendants know that the Accused Services and Products are especially made or especially adapted for use in the 14 infringement of the '032 patent. The infringing components of these products are 15 not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-16 17 infringing use, and the infringing components of these products are a material part of the invention of the '032 patent. Accordingly, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 18 19 Defendants are also contributing, directly and/or through intermediaries, to the direct infringement of the '032 patent by at least the customers, partners, and/or end 20 users of these Accused Services and Products. The customers, partners, and/or end 21 users of these Accused Services and Products directly infringe the '032 patent by 22 23 making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, the Accused Services and Products. 24

59. As but one example of Hughes Defendants' contributory and/or
induced infringement, Hughes Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to
practice the methods disclosed and claimed in the '032 patent by using the Accused
Services and Products. As detailed in Paragraphs 34 through 36, Hughes

-16-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 17 of 55 Page ID #:34

1 Defendants' website advertises its HN System and HX System, and provides 2 information and brochures regarding these systems. (See Exhibits J, K, L, M.) 3 These webpages and brochures highlight Hughes Defendants' implementation of the 4 DVB-S2 standard. On information and belief, through materials such as these, the 5 Hughes Defendants actively encourage their consumers, partners, and/or end users to infringe the '032 patent through at least use of the HN System and HX System 6 product lines, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '032 patent with intent 7 8 that those performing the acts infringe the '032 patent.

9 60. As but one example of Dish Defendants' contributory and/or induced 10 infringement, Dish Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to practice the methods disclosed and claimed in the '032 patent by using the Accused Services and 11 According to Dish Defendants' 2012 Annual Report (10-K), Dish 12 Products. 13 Defendants lease to dishNET satellite internet subscribers the customer premise equipment. On information and belief, this equipment implements the DVB-S2 14 15 standard. On information and belief, through providing this equipment, Dish Defendants actively encourage their consumers and end users to infringe the '032 16 17 patent through at least use of the equipment, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '032 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '032 patent. 18

19 61. Defendants are not licensed or otherwise authorized to practice,
20 contributorily practice and/or induce third parties to practice the claims of the '032
21 patent.

22 62. By reason of Defendants' infringing activities, Caltech has suffered,
23 and will continue to suffer, substantial damages.

Caltech is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as
a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

26 64. Defendants' continuing acts of infringement are irreparably harming
27 and causing damage to Caltech, for which Caltech has no adequate remedy at law,
28 and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' continuing

-17-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 18 of 55 Page ID #:35

acts of infringement are enjoined by the Court. The hardships that an injunction
 would impose are less than those faced by Caltech should an injunction not issue.
 The public interest would be served by issuance of an injunction. Thus, Caltech is
 entitled to a preliminary and a permanent injunction against further infringement.

Hughes Defendants' infringement of the '032 patent has been and 5 65. continues to be willful and deliberate, justifying a trebling of damages under 35 6 U.S.C. § 284. Among other facts, Hughes Defendants have had knowledge of their 7 infringement of the '032 patent before the filing date of this Complaint through 8 Upon information and belief, Hughes letters alleging such infringement. 9 Defendants' accused actions continued despite an objectively high likelihood that 10 they constituted infringement of the '032 patent. Hughes Defendants either knew or 11 should have known about their risk of infringing the '032 patent. Hughes 12 Defendants' conduct despite this knowledge was made with both objective and 13 subjective reckless disregard for the infringing nature of their activities as 14 demonstrated by Hughes Defendants' knowledge regarding the claims of the '032 15 16 patent.

17 66. Defendants' infringement of the '032 patent is exceptional and entitles
18 Caltech to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35
19 U.S.C. § 285.

20 21

### COUNT III

### Infringement of the '781 Patent

Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the
preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

68. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants
have infringed and are currently infringing, directly and/or through intermediaries,
the '781 patent by using, without authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or
services that practice one or more claims of the '781 patent. These products,
methods, equipment, and/or services include products that implement the DVB-S2

-18-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 19 of 55 Page ID #:36

1 standard, including without limitation products in the HN System and HX System 2 product lines, satellite internet product lines distributed under the dishNET brand, 3 network and network services that employ these products, and/or marketing, 4 consulting, and/or support services provided for these products and services 5 (collectively, the "Accused Services and Products"). For example, at least Paragraphs 32 and 33 illustrate a limited number of examples of Defendants' direct 6 7 infringement of the '781 patent. Defendants have infringed and are currently 8 infringing literally and/or under the doctrine of equivalents.

9 69. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants
10 have infringed and are continuing to infringe the '781 patent by contributing to
11 and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '781 patent by making,
12 using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without
13 authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services, including the Accused
14 Services and Products, that practice one or more claims of the '781 patent.

15 70. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants have had actual
16 knowledge of their infringement of the '781 patent, the subject matter of the '781
17 patent, and/or the invention of the '781 patent before the filing date of this
18 Complaint. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants also had knowledge of
19 the application that led to the '781 patent before the filing date of this Complaint.
20 Hughes Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the
21 '781 patent since no later than the filing date of this Complaint.

71. On information and belief, Dish Defendants have had actual knowledge
of their infringement of the '781 patent before the filing date of this Complaint
based on their marketing, sale, and distribution, among other activities, of Hughes
Defendants' satellite internet service and their relationship with Hughes Defendants
(*see* Paragraphs 9, 10, 33). Dish Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of
their infringement of the '781 patent since no later than the filing date of this
Complaint.

-19-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 20 of 55 Page ID #:37

Notwithstanding Defendants' actual notice of infringement, Defendants 1 72. have continued, directly and/or through intermediaries, to manufacture, use, import, 2 offer for sale, or sell the Accused Services and Products with knowledge of or 3 willful blindness to the fact that their actions will induce others, including but not 4 limited to their customers, partners, and/or end users, to infringe the '781 patent. 5 Defendants have induced and continue to induce others to infringe the '781 patent in 6 violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by encouraging and facilitating others to perform 7 actions that Defendants know to be acts of infringement of the '781 patent with 8 intent that those performing the acts infringe the '781 patent. Upon information and 9 belief, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, advertise and distribute 10 the Accused Services and Products, publish instruction materials, specifications 11 and/or promotional literature describing the operation of the Accused Services and 12 Products, and/or offer training and/or consulting services regarding the Accused 13 Services and Products to their customers, partners, and/or end users. At least 14 consumers, partners, and/or end users of these Accused Services and Products then 15 directly or jointly infringe the '781 patent by making, using, selling, offering for 16 sale, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, the Accused 17 18 Services and Products.

Upon information and belief, Defendants know that the Accused 19 73. Services and Products are especially made or especially adapted for use in the 20 infringement of the '781 patent. The infringing components of these products are 21 not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-22 infringing use, and the infringing components of these products are a material part 23 of the invention of the '781 patent. Accordingly, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 24 Defendants are also contributing, directly and/or through intermediaries, to the 25 direct infringement of the '781 patent by at least the customers, partners, and/or end 26 users of these Accused Services and Products. The customers, partners, and/or end 27 users of these Accused Services and Products directly infringe the '781 patent by 28

-20-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 21 of 55 Page ID #:38

making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States,
 without authority, the Accused Services and Products.

As but one example of Hughes Defendants' contributory and/or 3 74. induced infringement, Hughes Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to 4 practice the methods disclosed and claimed in the '781 patent by using the Accused 5 As detailed in Paragraphs 34 through 36, Hughes Services and Products. 6 Defendants' website advertises its HN System and HX System, and provides 7 information and brochures regarding these systems. (See Exhibits J, K, L, M.) 8 These webpages and brochures highlight Hughes Defendants' implementation of the 9 DVB-S2 standard. On information and belief, through materials such as these, the 10 || Hughes Defendants actively encourage their consumers, partners, and/or end users 11 to infringe the '781 patent through at least use of the HN System and HX System 12 product lines, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '781 patent with intent 13 that those performing the acts infringe the '781 patent. 14

As but one example of Dish Defendants' contributory and/or induced 15 75. || infringement, Dish Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to practice the 16 methods disclosed and claimed in the '781 patent by using the Accused Services and 17 According to Dish Defendants' 2012 Annual Report (10-K), Dish 18 Products. Defendants lease to dishNET satellite internet subscribers the customer premise 19 equipment. On information and belief, this equipment implements the DVB-S2 20 On information and belief, through providing this equipment, Dish 21 standard. Defendants actively encourage their consumers and end users to infringe the '781 22 patent through at least use of the equipment, knowing those acts to be infringement 23 of the '781 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '781 patent. 24

76. Defendants are not licensed or otherwise authorized to practice,
contributorily practice and/or induce third parties to practice the claims of the '781
patent.

28

77. By reason of Defendants' infringing activities, Caltech has suffered,

-21-

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 22 of 55 Page ID #:39

1 and will continue to suffer, substantial damages.

78. Caltech is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as
a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

79. Defendants' continuing acts of infringement are irreparably harming
and causing damage to Caltech, for which Caltech has no adequate remedy at law,
and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' continuing
acts of infringement are enjoined by the Court. The hardships that an injunction
would impose are less than those faced by Caltech should an injunction not issue.
The public interest would be served by issuance of an injunction. Thus, Caltech is
entitled to a preliminary and a permanent injunction against further infringement.

Hughes Defendants' infringement of the '781 patent has been and 11 80. continues to be willful and deliberate, justifying a trebling of damages under 35 12 U.S.C. § 284. Among other facts, on information and belief, Hughes Defendants 13 have had knowledge of their infringement of the '781 patent, the subject matter of 14 the '781 patent, and/or the invention of the '781 patent before the filing date of this 15 Complaint. Upon information and belief, Hughes Defendants' accused actions 16 continued despite an objectively high likelihood that they constituted infringement 17 of the '781 patent. Hughes Defendants either knew or should have known about 18 their risk of infringing the '781 patent. Hughes Defendants' conduct despite this 19 knowledge was made with both objective and subjective reckless disregard for the 20 infringing nature of their activities as demonstrated by Hughes Defendants' 21 knowledge regarding the claims of the '781 patent. 22

81. Defendants' infringement of the '781 patent is exceptional and entitles
Caltech to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35
U.S.C. § 285.

### COUNT IV

### Infringement of the '833 Patent

28

26

27

82. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference the allegations of the

-22-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 23 of 55 Page ID #:40

1 preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.

On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants 2 83. have infringed and are currently infringing, directly and/or through intermediaries, 3 the '833 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the 4 United States, without authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services that 5 These products, methods, practice one or more claims of the '833 patent. 6 equipment, and/or services include products that implement the DVB-S2 standard, 7 including without limitation products in the HN System and HX System product 8 lines, satellite internet product lines distributed under the dishNET brand, network 9 and network services that employ these products, and/or marketing, consulting, 10 and/or support services provided for these products and services (collectively, the 11 "Accused Services and Products"). For example, at least Paragraphs 32 and 33 12 illustrate a limited number of examples of Defendants' direct infringement of the 13 '833 patent. Defendants have infringed and are currently infringing literally and/or 14 under the doctrine of equivalents. 15

84. On information and belief, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, Defendants
have infringed and are continuing to infringe the '833 patent by contributing to
and/or actively inducing the infringement by others of the '833 patent by making,
using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without
authority, products, methods, equipment, and/or services, including the Accused
Services and Products, that practice one or more claims of the '833 patent.

85. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants have had actual
knowledge of their infringement of the '833 patent, the subject matter of the '833
patent, and/or the invention of the '833 patent before the filing date of this
Complaint. On information and belief, Hughes Defendants also had knowledge of
the application that led to the '833 patent before the filing date of this Complaint.
Hughes Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of their infringement of the
'833 patent since no later than the filing date of this Complaint.

-23-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 24 of 55 Page ID #:41

86. On information and belief, Dish Defendants have had actual knowledge
 of their infringement of the '833 patent before the filing date of this Complaint
 based on their marketing, sale, and distribution, among other activities, of Hughes
 Defendants' satellite internet service and their relationship with Hughes Defendants
 (see Paragraphs 9, 10, 33). Dish Defendants at least have had actual knowledge of
 their infringement of the '833 patent since no later than the filing date of this
 Complaint.

8 87. Notwithstanding Defendants' actual notice of infringement, Defendants 9 have continued, directly and/or through intermediaries, to manufacture, use, import, offer for sale, or sell the Accused Services and Products with knowledge of or 10 11 willful blindness to the fact that their actions will induce others, including but not 12 limited to their customers, partners, and/or end users, to infringe the '833 patent. Defendants have induced and continue to induce others to infringe the '833 patent in 13 14 violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 by encouraging and facilitating others to perform 15 actions that Defendants know to be acts of infringement of the '833 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '833 patent. Upon information and 16 17 belief, Defendants, directly and/or through intermediaries, advertise and distribute 18 the Accused Services and Products, publish instruction materials, specifications 19 and/or promotional literature describing the operation of the Accused Services and 20 Products, and/or offer training and/or consulting services regarding the Accused 21 Services and Products to their customers, partners, and/or end users. At least consumers, partners, and/or end users of these Accused Services and Products then 22 23 directly or jointly infringe the '833 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, without authority, the Accused 24 25 Services and Products.

26 88. Upon information and belief, Defendants know that the Accused
27 Services and Products are especially made or especially adapted for use in the
28 infringement of the '833 patent. The infringing components of these products are

-24-

Case 213-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 25 of 55 Page ID #:42

1 not staple articles or commodities of commerce suitable for substantial non-2 infringing use, and the infringing components of these products are a material part 3 of the invention of the '833 patent. Accordingly, in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271, 4 Defendants are also contributing, directly and/or through intermediaries, to the 5 direct infringement of the '833 patent by at least the customers, partners, and/or end 6 users of these Accused Services and Products. The customers, partners, and/or end 7 users of these Accused Services and Products directly infringe the '833 patent by 8 making, using, selling, offering for sale, and/or importing into the United States, 9 without authority, the Accused Services and Products.

10 As but one example of Hughes Defendants' contributory and/or 89. induced infringement, Hughes Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to 11 practice the methods disclosed and claimed in the '833 patent by using the Accused 12 13 Services and Products. As detailed in Paragraphs 34 through 36, Hughes Defendants' website advertises its HN System and HX System, and provides 14 information and brochures regarding these systems. (See Exhibits J, K, L, M.) 15 These webpages and brochures highlight Hughes Defendants' implementation of the 16 DVB-S2 standard. On information and belief, through materials such as these, the 17 Hughes Defendants actively encourage their consumers, partners, and/or end users 18 || to infringe the '833 patent through at least use of the HN System and HX System 19 product lines, knowing those acts to be infringement of the '833 patent with intent 20 21 that those performing the acts infringe the '833 patent.

22 As but one example of Dish Defendants' contributory and/or induced 90. infringement, Dish Defendants explicitly encourage their customers to practice the 23 methods disclosed and claimed in the '833 patent by using the Accused Services and 24 According to Dish Defendants' 2012 Annual Report (10-K), Dish 25 Products. Defendants lease to dishNET satellite internet subscribers the customer premise 26 equipment. On information and belief, this equipment implements the DVB-S2 27 standard. On information and belief, through providing this equipment, Dish 28

-25-

Case 2: 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM, Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 26 of 55 Page ID #:43

Defendants actively encourage their consumers and end users to infringe the '833
 patent through at least use of the equipment, knowing those acts to be infringement
 of the '833 patent with intent that those performing the acts infringe the '833 patent.
 91. Defendants are not licensed or otherwise authorized to practice,
 contributorily practice and/or induce third parties to practice the claims of the '833
 patent.

7 92. By reason of Defendants' infringing activities, Caltech has suffered,
8 and will continue to suffer, substantial damages.

9 93. Caltech is entitled to recover from Defendants the damages sustained as
10 a result of Defendants' wrongful acts in an amount subject to proof at trial.

94. Defendants' continuing acts of infringement are irreparably harming and causing damage to Caltech, for which Caltech has no adequate remedy at law, and will continue to suffer such irreparable injury unless Defendants' continuing acts of infringement are enjoined by the Court. The hardships that an injunction would impose are less than those faced by Caltech should an injunction not issue. The public interest would be served by issuance of an injunction. Thus, Caltech is entitled to a preliminary and a permanent injunction against further infringement.

18 95. Hughes Defendants' infringement of the '833 patent has been and 19 continues to be willful and deliberate, justifying a trebling of damages under 35 20 U.S.C. § 284. Among other facts, on information and belief, Hughes Defendants 21 have had knowledge of their infringement of the '833 patent, the subject matter of 22 the '833 patent, and/or the invention of the '833 patent before the filing date of this 23 Complaint. Upon information and belief, Hughes Defendants' accused actions 24 continued despite an objectively high likelihood that they constituted infringement 25 of the '833 patent. Hughes Defendants either knew or should have known about their risk of infringing the '833 patent. Hughes Defendants' conduct despite this 26 27 knowledge was made with both objective and subjective reckless disregard for the 28

-26-

Case 2 13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 27 of 55 Page ID #:44

1 infringing nature of their activities as demonstrated by Hughes Defendants'
2 knowledge regarding the claims of the '833 patent.

3 96. Defendants' infringement of the '833 patent is exceptional and entitles
4 Caltech to attorneys' fees and costs incurred in prosecuting this action under 35
5 U.S.C. § 285.

6

7

### PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully prays for the following relief:

8 (a) A judgment that Defendants have infringed each and every one of the 9 Asserted Patents;

A preliminary and permanent injunction against Defendants, its 10 (b) respective officers, agents, servants, employees, attorneys, parent and subsidiary 11 corporations, assigns and successors in interest, and those persons in active concert 12 or participation with them, enjoining them from infringement, inducement of 13 infringement, and contributory infringement of each and every one of the Asserted 14 Patents, including but not limited to an injunction against making, using, selling, 15 and/or offering for sale within the United States, and/or importing into the United 16 States, any products, methods, equipment and/or services that infringe the Asserted 17 18 Patents;

19 (c) Damages adequate to compensate Caltech for Defendants' infringement
20 of the Asserted Patents pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

- (d) Prejudgment interest;
- 22 (e) Post-judgment interest;

(f) A judgment holding Hughes Defendants' infringement of the Asserted
Patents to be willful, and a trebling of damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

(g) A declaration that this Action is exceptional pursuant to 35 U.S.C.
§ 285, and an award to Caltech of its attorneys' fees, costs and expenses incurred in
connection with this Action; and

28

21

Case 2	13-cv-07245-PA-JEM C	Oocument 1	Filed 10/01/13	Page 28 of 55	Page ID #:45
1	(h) Such other	r relief as th	e Court deems j	ust and equitab	le.
2					
3					
4	DATED: October 1, 20	)13	Respectfully su	ubmitted,	
5			QUINN EMA	NUEL URQUE	IART &
6			SULLIVAN, I	LP	
7					
8			р., (	MC	
9			James R.	Asperger	
10			Attorbeys of Techno	for Plaintiff Ca	alifornia Institute
11			0ј 1001110	logy	
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
10					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
			-28-		
				COMPLAINT F	FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case 2	13-cv-07245-PA-JEN Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 29 of 55 Page ID #:46
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Local Rule
3	38-1 of this Court, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury as to all issues so triable.
4	
5	DATED: October 1, 2013 Respectfully submitted,
6	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART &
7	SULLIVAN, LLP
8	
9	By Ma
10	James R. Asperger
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff California Institute
12	oj recimology
13	
14	<u>.</u>
15	
10	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	×
27	
28	
	-29-
	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JÉM) Document 1



### (12) United States Patent Jin et al.

- (54) SERIAL CONCATENATION OF INTERLEAVED CONVOLUTIONAL CODES FORMING TURBO-LIKE CODES
- (75) Inventors: Hui Jin, Glen Gardner, NJ (US); Aamod Khandekar, Pasadena, CA (US); Robert J. McEliece, Pasadena, CA (US)
- (73) Assignee: California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 735 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 09/861,102
- (22) Filed: May 18, 2001

#### **Related U.S. Application Data**

- (60) Provisional application No. 60/205,095, filed on May 18, 2000.
- (51) Int. Cl.
- H04B 1/66 (2006.01)

See application file for complete search history.

#### (56) References Cited

### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

5,392,299	A		2/1995	Rhines et al.	¢	
5,751,739	A	*	5/1998	Seshadri et al.		714/746

(10) Patent No.: US 7.116.7

(45) Date of Patent:

### US 7,116,710 B1 Oct. 3, 2006

5,881,093	Α	3/1999	Wang et al.
6,014,411	A *	1/2000	Wang
6,023,783	A	2/2000	Divsalar et al.
6,031,874	A	2/2000	Chennakeshu et al.
6,032;284	Α	2/2000	Bliss
6,044,116	A	3/2000	Wang
6,396,423	B1 *	5/2002	Laumen et al
6,437,714	B1*	8/2002	Kim et al 341/81
2001/0025358	A1	9/2001	Eidson et al.

### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Wiberg et al., "Codes and Iteratic Decoding on General Graphs", 1995 Intl. Symposium on Information Theory, Sep. 1995, p. 506.\* Appendix A.1 "Structure of Parity Check Matrices of Standardized LDPC Codes," Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) User guidelines for the second generation system for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and other broadband satellite applications (DVB-S2) ETSI TR 102 376 V1.1.1. (2005-02) Technical Report. pp. 64.

Benedetto et al., "Bandwidth efficient parallel concatenated coding schemes," Electronics Letters 31(24):2067-2069 (Nov. 23, 1995). Benedetto et al., "Soft-output decoding algorithms in iterative decoding of turbo codes," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-124 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Joseph H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 63-87 (Feb. 15, 1996).

#### (Continued)

Primary Examiner-Dac V. Ha

(74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Fish & Richardson P.C.

### (57) ABSTRACT

A serial concatenated coder includes an outer coder and an inner coder. The outer coder irregularly repeats bits in a data block according to a degree profile and scrambles the repeated bits. The scrambled and repeated bits are input to an inner coder, which has a rate substantially close to one.

#### 33 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets



### Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 31 of 55 Page ID #:48

### US 7,116,710 B1

### Page 2

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Codes: Performace Analysis, Design, and Iterative Decoding," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA): Progress Report 42-126 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 1-26 (Aug. 15, 1996). Benedetto et al., "A Soft-Input Soft-Output Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Module to Decode Parallel and Serial Concatenated Codes," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-127 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 1-20 (Nov. 15, 1996).

Benedetto et al., "Parallel Concatenated Trellis Coded Modulation," ICC '96, IEEE, pp. 974-978, (Jun. 1996).

Benedetto, S. et al., "A Soft-Input Soft-Output APP Module for Iterative Decoding of Concatenated Codes," IEEE Communications

Letters 1(1):22-24 (Jan. 1997). Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenation of interleaved codes: per-formance analysis, design, and iterative decoding," Proceedings from the IEEE 1997 International Symposium on Information

Theory (ISIT), Ulm, Germany, p. 106, Jun. 29-Jul. 4, 1997. Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenated Trellis Coded Modulation with Iterative Decoding," Proceedings from IEEE 1997 Interna-tional Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Ulm, Germany, p. 8, Jun. 29-Jul. 4, 1997.

Benedetto et al., "Design of Serially Concatenated Interleaved

Codes," ICC 97, Montreal, Canada, pp. 710-714, (Jun. 1997). Berrou et al., "Near Shannon Limit Error-Correcting Coding and Decoding: Turbo Codes," ICC pp. 1064-1070 (1993).

Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) User guidelines for the second generation system for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and other broadband satellite applications (DVB-S2) ETSI TR 102 376 V1.1.1. (Feb. 2005) Technical Report, pp. 1-104

(Feb. 15, 2005). Ofeb. 15, 2005). Divsalar et al., "Coding Theorems for 'Turbo'-Like' Codes," Pro-ceedings of the 36<sup>th</sup> Annual Allerton Conference on Communica-tion, Control, and Computing, Sep. 23-25 1998, Allerton House, Monticello, Illinois, pp. 201-210 (1998). Divsalar, D. et al., "Multiple Turbo Codes for Deep-Space Com-ing the transmission of the Acompisition of the Acompisition of the Cherry Statement of the Acompisition of the

munications," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition

(TDA) Progress Report 42-121 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 60-77 (May 15, 1995).

Divsalar, D. et al., "On the Design of Turbo Codes," The Telecom-munications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-123 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 99-131 (Nov. 15, 1995). Divsalar, D. et al., "Low-rate turbo codes for Deep Space Communications," Proceedings from the 1995 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, Sep. 17-22, 1995, Whistler, British Columbia, Canada, p. 35.

Divsalar, D. et al., "Turbo Codes for PCS Applications," ICC 95, IEEE, Seattle, WA, pp. 54-59 (Jun. 1995).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Multiple Turbo Codes," MILCOM 95, San Diego, CA pp. 279-285 (Nov. 5-6, 1995).

Divsalar et al., "Effective free distance of turbo codes," Electronics Letters 32(5): 445-446 (Feb. 29, 1996).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Hybrid concatenated codes and Iterative Decoding," Proceedings from the IEEE 1997 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Ulm, Germany, p. 10 (Jun. 29-Jul. 4, 1997).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Serial Turbo Trellis Coded Modulation with Rate-1 Inner Code," Proceedings from the IEEE 2000 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Italy, pp. 1-14 (Jun. 2000).

Jin et al., "Irregular Repeat - Accumulate Codes," 2nd International Symposium on Turbo Codes & Related Topics, Sep. 4-7, 2000, Brest, France, 25 slides, (presented on Sep. 4, 2000).

Jin et al., "Irregular Repeat-Accumulate Codes," 2nd International Symposium on Turbo Codes & Related Topics, Sep. 4-7, 2000, Brest, France, pp. 1-8 (2000).

Richardson, et al., "Design of capacity approaching irregular low density parity check codes," IEEE Trans, Inform. Theory 47: 619-637 (Feb. 2001).

Richardson, T. and R. Urbanke, "Efficient encoding of low-density parity check codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 47: 638-656 (Feb. 2001)

\* cited by examiner



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 32 of 55 Page ID #:49

Exhibit A Page 32

100-



U.S. Patent

US 7,116,710 B1



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 34 of 55 Page ID #:51

U.S. Patent



FIG. 3



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JE(M) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page)35 of 55 Page ID #:52





5

#### 1 SERIAL CONCATENATION OF INTERLEAVED CONVOLUTIONAL CODES FORMING TURBO-LIKE CODES

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application claims priority to U.S. Provisional Application Ser. No. 60/205,095, filed on May 18, 2000, and to U.S. application Ser. No. 09/922,852, filed on Aug. 18, 2000 10 tation of the code. and entitled Interleaved Scrial Concatenation Forming Turbo-Like Codes.

#### GOVERNMENT LICENSE RIGHTS

The U.S. Government has a paid-up license in this invention and the right in limited circumstances to require the patent owner to license others on reasonable terms as provided for by the terms of Grant No. CCR-9804793 awarded by the National Science Foundation. 20

#### BACKGROUND

Properties of a channel affect the amount of data that can be handled by the channel. The so-called "Shannon limit" defines the theoretical limit of the amount of data that a <sup>25</sup> channel can carry.

Different techniques have been used to increase the data rate that can be handled by a channel. "Near Shannon Limit Error-Correcting Coding and Decoding: Turbo Codes," by Berrou et al. ICC, pp 1064–1070, (1993), described a new "turbo code" technique that has revolutionized the field of error correcting codes. Turbo codes have sufficient randomness to allow reliable communication over the channel at a high data rate near capacity. However, they still retain sufficient structure to allow practical encoding and decoding algorithms. Still, the technique for encoding and decoding turbo codes can be relatively complex.

A standard turbo coder 100 is shown in FIG. 1. A block of k information bits is input directly to a first coder 102. A k bit interleaver 106 also receives the k bits and interleaves them prior to applying them to a second coder 104. The second coder produces an output that has more bits than its input, that is, it is a coder with rate that is less than 1. The coders 102, 104 are typically recursive convolutional coders.

Three different items are sent over the channel 150: the <sup>43</sup> original k bits, first encoded bits 110, and second encoded bits 112. At the decoding end, two decoders are used: a first constituent decoder 160 and a second constituent decoder 162. Each receives both the original k bits, and one of the encoded portions 110, 112. Each decoder sends likelihood <sup>50</sup> estimates are used to decode the uncoded information bits as corrupted by the noisy channel.

#### SUMMARY

A coding system according to an embodiment is configured to receive a portion of a signal to be encoded, for example, a data block including a fixed number of bits. The coding system includes an outer coder, which repeats and 60 scrambles bits in the data block. The data block is apportioned into two or more sub-blocks, and bits in different sub-blocks are repeated a different number of times according to a selected degree profile. The outer coder may include a repeater with a variable rate and an interleaver. Alterna-65 (LDGM) coder. The repeated and scrambled bits are input to an inner coder that has a rate substantially close to one. The inner coder may include one or more accumulators that perform recursive modulo two addition operations on the input bit stream.

The encoded data output from the inner coder may be transmitted on a channel and decoded in linear time at a destination using iterative decoding techniques. The decoding techniques may be based on a Tanner graph representation of the code.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a prior "turbo code" 15 system.

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to an embodiment.

FIG. 3 is a Tanner graph for an irregular repeat and accumulate (IRA) coder.

FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram of an IRA coder according to an embodiment.

FIG. 5A illustrates a message from a variable node to a check node on the Tanner graph of FIG. 3.

FIG. 5B illustrates a message from a check node to a variable node on the Tanner graph of FIG. 3.

FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to an alternate embodiment.

FIG. 7 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to another alternate embodiment.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 2 illustrates a coder 200 according to an embodiment. The coder 200 may include an outer coder 202, an interleaver 204, and inner coder 206. The coder may be used to format blocks of data for transmission, introducing redundancy into the stream of data to protect the data from loss due to transmission errors. The encoded data may then be decoded at a destination in linear time at rates that may 40 approach the channel capacity.

The outer coder 202 receives the uncoded data. The data may be partitioned into blocks of fixed size, say k bits. The outer coder may be an (n,k) binary linear block coder, where n>k. The coder accepts as input a block u of k data bits and produces an output block v of n data bits. The mathematical relationship between u and v is  $v=T_0u$ , where  $T_0$  is an nxk matrix, and the rate of the coder is k/n.

The rate of the coder may be irregular, that is, the value of  $T_0$  is not constant, and may differ for sub-blocks of bits 50 in the data block. In an embodiment, the outer coder **202** is a repeater that repeats the k bits in a block a number of times q to produce a block with n bits, where n=qk. Since the repeater has an irregular output, different bits in the block may be repeated a different number of times. For example, 55 a fraction of the bits in the block may be repeated two times,

a fraction of bits may be repeated three times, and the remainder of bits may be repeated four times. These fractions define a degree sequence, or degree profile, of the code.

The inner coder 206 may be a linear rate-1 coder, which means that the n-bit output block x can be written as  $x=T_xw$ , where  $T_x$  is a nonsingular n×n matrix. The inner coder 210 can have a rate that is close to 1, e.g., within 50%, more preferably 10% and perhaps even more preferably within 1% of 1.

5 In an embodiment, the inner coder **206** is an accumulator, which produces outputs that are the modulo two (mod-2) partial sums of its inputs. The accumulator may be a

10

truncated rate-1 recursive convolutional coder with the transfer function 1/(1+D). Such an accumulator may be considered a block coder whose input block  $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and output block  $[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  are related by the formula

3

 $y_1 = x_1$ 

 $y_2 = x_1 \oplus x_2$ 

 $y_3 = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3$ 

#### $y_n = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus \cdots \oplus x_n$

where "O" denotes mod-2, or exclusive-OR (XOR), addition. An advantage of this system is that only mod-2 addition is necessary for the accumulator. The accumulator may be embodied using only XOR gates, which may simplify the design

The bits output from the outer coder 202 are scrambled before they are input to the inner coder 206. This scrambling may be performed by the interleaver 204, which performs a 20 pseudo-random permutation of an input block v, yielding an output block w having the same length as v.

The serial concatenation of the interleaved irregular repeat code and the accumulate code produces an irregular repeat and accumulate (IRA) code. An IRA code is a linear 25 code, and as such, may be represented as a set of parity checks. The set of parity checks may be represented in a bipartite graph, called the Tanner graph, of the code. FIG. 3 shows a Tanner graph 300 of an IRA code with parameters  $(f_1, \ldots, f_j; a)$ , where  $f_i \ge 0$ ,  $\Sigma_i f_i = 1$  and "a" is a positive  $y_0$ integer. The Tanner graph includes two kinds of nodes: variable nodes (open circles) and check nodes (filled circles). There are k variable nodes 302 on the left, called information nodes. There are r variable nodes 306 on the right, called parity nodes. There are  $r=(k\Sigma_i if_i)/a$  check nodes 35 304 connected between the information nodes and the parity nodes. Each information node 302 is connected to a number of check nodes 304. The fraction of information nodes connected to exactly i check nodes is f<sub>i</sub>. For example, in the Tanner graph 300, each of the  $f_2$  information nodes are 40 connected to two check nodes, corresponding to a repeat of q=2, and each of the f3 information nodes are connected to three check nodes, corresponding to q=3.

Each check node 304 is connected to exactly "a" information nodes 302. In FIG. 3, a=3. These connections can be 45 made in many ways, as indicated by the arbitrary permutation of the ra edges joining information nodes 302 and check nodes 304 in permutation block 310. These connections correspond to the scrambling performed by the interleaver 204.

In an alternate embodiment, the outer coder 202 may be a low-density generator matrix (LDGM) coder that performs an irregular repeat of the k bits in the block, as shown in FIG. 4. As the name implies, an LDGM code has a sparse (low-density) generator matrix. The IRA code produced by 55 the coder 400 is a serial concatenation of the LDGM code and the accumulator code. The interleaver 204 in FIG. 2 may be excluded due to the randomness already present in the structure of the LDGM code.

If the permutation performed in permutation block 310 is 60 fixed, the Tanner graph represents a binary linear block code with k information bits  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  and r parity bits  $(x_1, \ldots, u_k)$ x.), as follows. Each of the information bits is associated with one of the information nodes 302, and each of the parity bits is associated with one of the parity nodes 306. The value 65 of a parity bit is determined uniquely by the condition that the mod-2 sum of the values of the variable nodes connected

to each of the check nodes 304 is zero. To see this, set  $x_0=0$ . Then if the values of the bits on the ra edges coming out the permutation box are  $(v_1, \ldots, v_{ra})$ , then we have the recursive formula

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{j=1}^{k} v_{(j-1)k+j}$ 

for j=1, 2, ..., r. This is in effect the encoding algorithm. Two types of IRA codes are represented in FIG. 3, a nonsystematic version and a systematic version. The nonsystematic version is an (r,k) code, in which the codeword corresponding to the information bits  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  is  $(x_1, \ldots, u_k)$  $x_r$ ). The systematic version is a (k+r, k) code, in which the codeword is  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k; x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ .

The rate of the nonsystematic code is

The rate of the systematic code is

For example, regular repeat and accumulate (RA) codes can be considered nonsystematic IRA codes with a=1 and exactly one  $f_i$  equal to 1, say  $f_q=1$ , and the rest zero, in which case  $R_{nsys}$  simplifies to R=1/q.

The IRA code may be represented using an alternate notation. Let  $\lambda_i$  be the fraction of edges between the information nodes 302 and the check nodes 304 that are adjacent to an information node of degree i, and let p, be the fraction of such edges that are adjacent to a check node of degree i+2 (i.e., one that is adjacent to i information nodes). These edge fractions may be used to represent the IRA code rather than the corresponding node fractions. Define  $\lambda(x) = \sum_i \lambda_i x^{i-1}$  and  $\rho(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i} \rho_{i} \mathbf{x}^{i-1}$  to be the generating functions of these sequences. The pair  $(\lambda, \rho)$  is called a degree distribution. For  $L(\mathbf{x}) = \Sigma_i \mathbf{f}_i \mathbf{x}_i$ 

$$f_i = \frac{\lambda_i / l}{\sum_j \lambda_j / j}$$

#### $L(x) = \int_0^x \lambda(t) dt / \int_0^1 \lambda(t) dt$

The rate of the systematic IRA code given by the degree distribution is given by

ate = 
$$\left(1 + \frac{\sum_{j} \rho_j / j}{\sum_{j} \lambda_j / j}\right)^{-1}$$

"Belief propagation" on the Tanner Graph realization may be used to decode IRA codes. Roughly speaking, the belief

5

propagation decoding technique allows the messages passed on an edge to represent posterior densities on the bit associated with the variable node. A probability density on a bit is a pair of non-negative real numbers p(0), p(1) satisfying p(0)+p(1)=1, where p(0) denotes the probability of the bit being 0, p(1) the probability of it being 1. Such a pair can be represented by its log likelihood ratio,  $m=\log(p(0)/p(1))$ . The outgoing message from a variable node u to a check node v represents information about u, and a message from a check node u to a variable node v represents information about u, as shown in FIGS. 5A and 5B, respectively.

The outgoing message from a node u to a node v depends on the incoming messages from all neighbors w of u except v. If u is a variable message node, this outgoing message is <sup>15</sup>

$$m(u \to v) = \sum_{w \neq v} m(w \to u) + m_0(u)$$

where  $m_0(u)$  is the log-likelihood message associated with u. If u is a check node, the corresponding formula is

$$\tanh \frac{m(u \to v)}{2} = \prod_{w \neq v} \tanh \frac{m(w \to u)}{2}$$

Before decoding, the messages  $m(w \rightarrow u)$  and  $m(u \rightarrow v)$  are initialized to be zero, and  $m_o(u)$  is initialized to be the log-likelihood ratio based on the channel received information. If the channel is memoryless, i.e., each channel output 3 only relies on its input, and y is the output of the channel code bit u, then  $m_o(i)=\log(p(u=0y)/p(u=1y))$ . After this initialization, the decoding process may run in a fully parallel and local manner. In each iteration, every variable/ check node receives messages from its neighbors, and sends back updated messages. Decoding is terminated after a fixed number of iterations or detecting that all the constraints are satisfied. Upon termination, the decoder outputs a decoded sequence based on the messages  $m(u)=\Sigma W_m(w\rightarrow u)$ .

Thus, on various channels, iterative decoding only differs in the initial messages  $m_0(u)$ . For example, consider three memoryless channel models: a binary erasure channel (BEC); a binary symmetric channel (BSC); and an additive <sub>50</sub> white Gaussian noise (AGWN) channel.

In the BEC, there are two inputs and three outputs. When 0 is transmitted, the receiver can receive either 0 or an erasure E. An erasure E output means that the receiver does not know how to demodulate the output. Similarly, when 1 <sup>55</sup> is transmitted, the receiver can receive either 1 or E. Thus, for the BEC,  $y \in \{0, E, 1\}$ , and

1	(+00	if y = 0
$m_0(u) = $	0	if $y = E$
	- 00	if $y = 1$

In the BSC, there are two possible inputs (0,1) and two possible outputs (0, 1). The BSC is characterized by a set of

6

conditional probabilities relating all possible outputs to possible inputs. Thus, for the BSC  $y \in \{0, 1\}$ ,

$$u_0(u) = \begin{cases} \log \frac{1-p}{p} & \text{if } y = 0\\ -\log \frac{1-p}{p} & \text{if } y = 1 \end{cases}$$

and

20

In the AWGN, the discrete-time input symbols X take their values in a finite alphabet while channel output symbols Y can take any values along the real line. There is assumed to be no distortion or other effects other than the addition of white Gaussian noise. In an AWGN with a Binary Phase Shift Keying (BPSK) signaling which maps 0 to the symbol with amplitude  $\sqrt{Es}$  and 1 to the symbol with amplitude  $-\sqrt{Es}$ , output y $\in \mathbb{R}$ , then

#### $m_0(\mu)=4y\sqrt{E_y}/N_0$

where  $N_0/2$  is the noise power spectral density.

The selection of a degree profile for use in a particular transmission channel is a design parameter, which may be affected by various attributes of the channel. The criteria for selecting a particular degree profile may include, for example, the type of channel and the data rate on the channel. For example, Table 1 shows degree profiles that have been found to produce good results for an AWGN channel model.

TABLE 1

	a	2	3 -	4	
5	λ2	0.139025	0.078194	0.054485	
	λ3	0.2221555	0.128085	0.104315	
	λ5		0.160813		
	λ6	0.638820	0.036178	0.126755	
	λ10			0.229816	
0	λ11			0.016484	
0	λ12		0.108828		
	λ13		0.487902		
	λ14				
	λ16				
	λ27			0.450302	
	λ28			0.017842	
5	Rate	0.333364	0.333223	0.333218	
	σGA	1.1840	1.2415	1.2615	
	σ•	1.1981	1.2607	1.2780	
	(Eb/N0) * (dB)	0.190	-0.250	-0.371	
	S.L. (dB)	-0.4953	-0.4958	-0.4958	

Table 1 shows degree profiles yielding codes of rate approximately  $\frac{1}{5}$  for the AWGN channel and with a=2, 3, 4. For each sequence, the Gaussian approximation noise threshold, the actual sum-product decoding threshold and the corresponding energy per bit ( $E_b$ )-noise power (N<sub>0</sub>) ratio in dB are given. Also listed is the Shannon limit (S.L.).

As the parameter "a" is increased, the performance improves. For example, for a=4, the best code found has an iterative decoding threshold of  $E_{\rm e}/N_{\rm o}$ =-0.371 dB, which is 60 only 0.12 dB above the Shannon limit.

The accumulator component of the coder may be replaced by a "double accumulator" 600 as shown in FIG. 6. The double accumulator can be viewed as a truncated rate 1 convolutional coder with transfer function  $1/(1+D+D^2)$ .

5 Alternatively, a pair of accumulators may be the added, as shown in FIG. 7. There are three component codes: the "outer" code 700, the "middle" code 702, and the "inner"

7 code 704. The outer code is an irregular repetition code, and the middle and inner codes are both accumulators.

IRA codes may be implemented in a variety of channels, including memoryless channels, such as the BEC, BSC, and AWGN, as well as channels having non-binary input, non- 5 symmetric and fading channels, and/or channels with memory.

A number of embodiments have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit and scope of the 10 invention. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

The invention claimed is:

1. A method of encoding a signal, comprising:

obtaining a block of data in the signal to be encoded; partitioning said data block into a plurality of sub-blocks,

each sub-block including a plurality of data elements; first encoding the data block to from a first encoded data

block, said first encoding including repeating the data elements in different sub-blocks a different number of 20 times:

interleaving the repeated data elements in the first encoded data block; and

second encoding said first encoded data block using an encoder that has a rate close to one.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein said second encoding is via a rate 1 linear transformation.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein said first encoding is carried out by a first coder with a variable rate less than one, 30 and said second encoding is carried out by a second coder with a rate substantially close to one.

4. The method of claim 3, wherein the second coder comprises an accumulator.

5. The method of claim 4, wherein the data elements comprises bits.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein the first coder comprises a repeater operable to repeat different sub-blocks a different number of times in response to a selected degree profile.

7. The method of claim 4, wherein the first coder comprises a low-density generator matrix coder and the second coder comprises an accumulator.

8. The method of claim 1, wherein the second encoding uses a transfer function of 1/(1+D).

9. The method of claim 1, wherein the second encoding uses a transfer function of  $1/(1+D+D^2)$ .

10. The method of claim 1, wherein said second encoding utilizes two accumulators.

11. A method of encoding a signal, comprising:

- receiving a block of data in the signal to be encoded, the data block including a plurality of bits;
- first encoding the data block such that each bit in the data block is repeated and two or more of said plurality of bits are repeated a different number of times in order to 55 posterior decoding techniques. form a first encoded data block; and
- second encoding the first encoded data block in such a way that bits in the first encoded data block are accumulated.

12. The method of claim 11, wherein the said second 60 is operative to decode the encoded data stream in linear time. encoding is via a rate 1 linear transformation.

13. The method of claim 11, wherein the first encoding is via a low-density generator matrix transformation.

14. The method of claim 11, wherein the signal to be encoded comprises a plurality of data blocks of fixed size.

15. A coder comprising:

a first coder having an input configured to receive a stream of bits, said first coder operative to repeat said stream of bits irregularly and scramble the repeated bits; and a second coder operative to further encode bits output

from the first coder at a rate within 10% of one. 16. The coder of claim 15, wherein the stream of bits includes a data block, and wherein the first coder is operative to apportion said data block into a plurality of sub-blocks and to repeat bits in each sub-block a number of times, wherein bits in different sub-blocks are repeated a different number of times.

17. The coder of claim 16, wherein the second coder comprises a recursive convolutional encoder with a transfer function of 1/(1+D)15

18. The coder of claim 16, wherein the second coder comprises a recursive convolutional encoder with a transfer function of 1/(1+D+D<sup>2</sup>)

19. The coder of claim 15, wherein the first coder comprises a repeater having a variable rate and an interleaver. 20. The coder of claim 15, wherein the first coder com-

prises a low-density generator matrix coder.

21. The coder of claim 15, wherein the second coder comprises a rate 1 linear encoder.

25 22. The coder of claim 21, wherein the second coder comprises an accumulator.

23. The coder of claim 22, wherein the second coder further comprises a second accumulator.

24. The coder of claim 15, wherein the second coder comprises a coder operative to further encode bits output from the first coder at a rate within 1% of one.

25. A coding system comprising:

- a first coder having an input configured to receive a stream of bits, said first coder operative to repeat said stream of bits irregularly and scramble the repeated bits;
- a second coder operative to further encode bits output from the first coder at a rate within 10% of one in order to form an encoded data stream; and
- a decoder operative to receive the encoded data stream and decode the encoded data stream using an iterative decoding technique.

26. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the first coder comprises a repeater operative to receive a data block including a plurality of bits from said stream of bits and to 45 repeat bits in the data block a different number of times according to a selected degree profile.

27. The coding system of claim 26, wherein the first coder comprises an interleaver.

28. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the first coder 50 comprises a low-density generator matrix coder.

29. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the second coder comprises a rate 1 accumulator.

30. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the decoder is operative to decode the encoded data stream using a

31. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the decoder is operative to decode the encoded data stream based on a Tanner graph representation.

32. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the decoder

33. The coding system of claim 25, wherein the second coder comprises a coder operative to further encode bits output from the first coder at a rate within 1% of one.

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 41 of 55 Page ID #:58

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. : 7,116,710 I APPLICATION NO. : 09/861102 DATED : October 3, INVENTOR(S) : Hui Jin, Aa

: 7,116,710 B1 : 09/861102 : October 3, 2006 : Hui Jin, Aamod Khandekar and Robert J. McEliece Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

At column 1, line 8, please amend the paragraph as follows:

This application claims the priority [[to]] of U.S. Provisional

Application Ser. No. 60/205,095, filed on May 18, 2000, and [[to]]

is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/922,852, filed on Aug.

18, 2000 and entitled Interleaved Serial Concatenation Forming Turbo-Like

Codes.

### Signed and Sealed this

Twenty-second Day of July, 2008

JON W. DUDAS Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

# Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 42 of 55 Page ID #:59

# 007421032B2

(56)

### (12) United States Patent Jin et al.

- SERIAL CONCATENATION OF (54) INTERLEAVED CONVOLUTIONAL CODES FORMING TURBO-LIKE CODES
- (75) Inventors: Hui Jin, Glen Gardner, NJ (US); Aamod Khandekar, Pasadena, CA (US); Robert J. McEliece, Pasadena, CA (US)
- (73) Assignce: Callifornia Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA (US)
- (\*) Notice: Subject to any disclaimer, the term of this patent is extended or adjusted under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) by 0 days.
- (21) Appl. No.: 11/542,950
- (22) Filed: Oct. 3, 2006

#### **Prior Publication Data**

US 2007/0025450 A1 Feb. 1, 2007

### **Related U.S. Application Data**

- (63) Continuation of application No. 09/861,102, filed on May 18, 2001, now Pat. No. 7,116,710, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 09/922,852, filed on Aug. 18, 2000, now Pat. No. 7,089,477.
- (60) Provisional application No. 60/205,095, filed on May 18, 2000.
- (51) Int. Cl.

(65)

- H04L 5/12 (2006.01)
- (52) U.S. Cl. ..... 375/262; 375/265; 375/348; 714/755; 714/786; 714/792; 341/52; 341/102
- (58) Field of Classification Search ..... 375/259, 375/262, 265, 285, 296, 341, 346, 348; 714/746, 714/752, 755, 756, 786, 792, 794-796; 341/51, 341/52, 56, 102, 103

See application file for complete search history.

#### US 7,421,032 B2 (10) Patent No.: (45) Date of Patent: Sep. 2, 2008

### **References** Cited **U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS**

5,392,299 A		2/1995	Rhines et al.	
5,530,707 A	*	6/1996	Lin	714/792
5,751,739 A		5/1998	Seshadri et al.	
5,802,115 A	*	9/1998	Meyer	.: 375/341
5,881,093 A		3/1999	Wang et al.	
6,014,411 A		1/2000	Wang	
6,023,783 A		2/2000	Divsalar et al.	
6,031,874 A		2/2000	Chennakeshu et al.	
6,032,284 A		2/2000	Bliss	18
6,044,116 A		3/2000	Wang	
6.094.739 A	-	7/2000	Miller et al	714/702

#### (Continued)

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Appendix A.1 "Structure of Parity Check Matrices of Standardized LDPC Codes," Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) User guidelines for the second generation system for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and other broadband satellite applications (DVB-S2) ETSI TR 102 376 V1.1.1. (Feb. 2005) Technical Report, pp. 64.

#### (Continued)

Primary Examiner-Dac V. Ha (74) Attorney, Agent, or Firm-Fish & Richardson P.C.

#### (57) ABSTRACT

A serial concatenated coder includes an outer coder and an inner coder. The outer coder irregularly repeats bits in a data block according to a degree profile and scrambles the repeated bits. The scrambled and repeated bits are input to an inner coder, which has a rate substantially close to one.



### 23 Claims, 5 Drawing Sheets

### Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 43 of 55 Page ID #:60

### US 7,421,032 B2

### Page 2

#### U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

6,396,423	Bl	5/2002	Laumen et al.		
6,437,714 6,859,906	B1 B2 *	8/2002 2/2005	Kim et al. Hammons et al	714/786	
2001/0025358	A1	9/2001	Eidson et al.		

#### OTHER PUBLICATIONS

Benedetto et al., "A Soft-Input Soft-Output Maximum A Posteriori (MAP) Module to Decode Parallel and Serial Concatenated Codes," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-127 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 1-20 (Nov. 15, 1996).

Benedetto et al., "Bandwidth efficient parallel concatenated coding schemes," Electronics Letters 31(24): 2067-2069 (Nov. 23, 1995).

Benedetto et al., "Design of Scrially Concatenated Interleaved Codes," ICC 97, Montreal, Canada, pp. 710-714, (Jun. 1997). Benedetto et al., "Parallel Concatenated Trellis Coded Modulation,"

ICC '96, IEEE, pp. 974-978, (Jun. 1996). Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenated Trellis Coded Modulation with Iterative Decoding," Proceedings from the IEEE 1997 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Ulm, Germany, p.

8, Jun, 29-Jul. 4, 1997. Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenation of Interleaved Codes: Performance Analysis, Design, and Iterative Decoding," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-126 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Labo-

ratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 1-26 (Aug. 15, 1996). Benedetto et al., "Serial Concatenation of interleaved codes: performance analysis, design, and iterative decoding," Proceedings from Hatti and State and Sta

Benedetto et al., "Soft-output decoding algorithms in iterative decod-ing of turbo codes," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (IDA) Progress Report 42-124 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp.

19 Service States and States a

Berrou et al., "Near Shannon Limit Error-Correcting Coding and

Decoding: Turbo Codes," ICC pp. 1064-1070 (1993). Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) User guidelines for the second generation system for Broadcasting, Interactive Services, News Gathering and other broadband satellite applications (DVB-S2) ETSI TR 102 376 V1.1.1. (Feb. 2005) Technical Report, pp. 1-104 (Feb. 15, 2005).

Divsalar et al., "Coding Theorems for 'Turbo-Like' Codes," Proceed-ings of the 36th Annual Allerton Conference on Communication, Control, and Computing, Sep. 23-25, 1998, Allerton House, Monticello, Illinois, pp. 201-210 (1998).

Divsalar et al., "Effective free distance of turbo codes," Electronics Letters 32(5): 445-446 (Feb. 29, 1996).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Hybrid Concatenated Codes and Iterative Decoding," Proceedings from the IEEE 1997 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Ulm, Germany, p. 10 (Jun. 29-Jul. 4, 1997).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Low-rate turbo codes for Deep Space Communications," Proceedings from the 1995 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory, Sep. 17-22, 1995, Whistler, British Columbia, Canada, pp. 35.

Divsalar, D. et al., "Multiple Turbo Codes for Deep-Space Commu-nications," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-121 for NASA and California Institute of Tech-nology Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 60-77. (May 15, 1995).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Multiple Turbo Codes," MILCOM 95, San Diego, CA pp. 279-285 (Nov. 5-6, 1995).

Divsalar, D. et al., "On the Design of Turbo Codes," The Telecommunications and Data Acquisition (TDA) Progress Report 42-123 for NASA and California Institute of Technology Jet Propulsion Labo-ratory, Jospeh H. Yuen, Ed., pp. 99-131 (Nov. 15, 1995).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Serial Turbo Trellis Coded Modulation with Rate-1 Inner Code," Proceedings from the IEEE 2000 International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT), Italy, pp. 1-14 (Jun. 2000).

Divsalar, D. et al., "Turbo Codes for PCS Applications," ICC 95, IEEE, Seattle, WA, pp. 54-59 (Jun. 1995).

Jin et al., "Irregular Repeat-Accumulate Codes," 2nd International Symposium on Turbo Codes & Related Topics, Sep. 4-7, 2000, Brest, France, 25 slides, (presented on Sep. 4, 2000).

Jin et al., "Irregular Repeat-Accumulate Codes," 2nd International Symposium on Turbo Codes & Related Topics, Sep. 4-7, 2000, Brest, France, pp. 1-8 (2000).

Richardson et al., "Design of capacity approaching irregular low density parity check codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 47: 619-637 (Feb. 2001).

Richardson, T. and R. Urbanke, "Efficient encoding of low-density parity check codes," IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 47: 638-656 (Feb. 2001).

Wilberg, et al., "Codes and Iteratie Decoding on General Graphs", 1995 Intl. Symposium on Information Theory, Sep. 1995, p. 468.

\* cited by examiner



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 44 of 55 Page ID #:61



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JE(M) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page)45 of 55 Page ID #:62

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 46 of 55 Page ID #:63

U.S. Patent

Sheet 3 of 5

US 7,421,032 B2



FIG. 3





Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-J∉M Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 47 of 55 Page ID #:64



Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 48 of 55 Page ID #:65

### US 7,421,032 B2

5

15

#### 1 SERIAL CONCATENATION OF INTERLEAVED CONVOLUTIONAL CODES FORMING TURBO-LIKE CODES

#### CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS

This application is a continuation of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/861,102, filed May 18, 2001, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,116, 710, which claims the priority of U.S. provisional application Ser. No. 60/205,095, filed May 18, 2000, and is a continuation-in-part of U.S. application Ser. No. 09/922,852, filed Aug. 18, 2000, now U.S. Pat. No. 7,089,477.

#### GOVERNMENT LICENSE RIGHTS

The U.S. Government has a paid-up license in this invention and the right in limited circumstances to require the patent owner to license others on reasonable terms as provided for by the terms of Grant No. CCR-9804793 awarded <sup>20</sup> by the National Science Foundation.

#### BACKGROUND

Properties of a channel affect the amount of data that can be <sup>25</sup> handled by the channel. The so-called "Shannon limit" defines the theoretical limit of the amount of data that a channel can carry.

Different techniques have been used to increase the data rate that can be handled by a channel. "Near Shannon Limit Error-Correcting Coding and Decoding: Turbo Codes," by Berrou et al. ICC, pp 1064-1070, (1993), described a new "turbo code" technique that has revolutionized the field of error correcting codes. Turbo codes have sufficient randomness to allow reliable communication over the channel at a high data rate near capacity. However, they still retain sufficient structure to allow practical encoding and decoding algorithms. Still, the technique for encoding and decoding turbo codes can be relatively complex.

A standard turbo coder 100 is shown in FIG. 1. A block of k information bits is input directly to a first coder 102. A k bit interleaver 106 also receives the k bits and interleaves them prior to applying them to a second coder 104. The second coder produces an output that has more bits than its input, that is, it is a coder with rate that is less than 1. The coders 102, 104 are typically recursive convolutional coders.

Three different items are sent over the channel 150: the original k bits, first encoded bits 110, and second encoded bits 112. At the decoding end, two decoders are used: a first 50 constituent decoder 160 and a second constituent decoder 162. Each receives both the original k bits, and one of the encoded portions 110, 112. Each decoder sends likelihood estimates of the decoded bits to the other decoders. The estimates are used to decode the uncoded information bits as 55 corrupted by the noisy channel.

### SUMMARY

A coding system according to an embodiment is configured to receive a portion of a signal to be encoded, for example, a data block including a fixed number of bits. The coding system includes an outer coder, which repeats and scrambles bits in the data block. The data block is apportioned into two or more sub-blocks, and bits in different sub-blocks 65 are repeated a different number of times according to a selected degree profile. The outer coder may include a 2

repeater with a variable rate and an interleaver. Alternatively, the outer coder may be a low-density generator matrix (LDGM) coder.

The repeated and scrambled bits are input to an inner coder that has a rate substantially close to one. The inner coder may include one or more accumulators that perform recursive

modulo two addition operations on the input bit stream. The encoded data output from the inner coder may be transmitted on a channel and decoded in linear time at a destination using iterative decoding techniques. The decoding techniques may be based on a Tanner graph representation of the code.

### BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

FIG. 1 is a schematic diagram of a prior "turbo code" system.

FIG. 2 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to an embodiment.

- FIG. 3 is a Tanner graph for an irregular repeat and accumulate (IRA) coder.
- FIG. 4 is a schematic diagram of an IRA coder according to an embodiment.
- FIG. 5A illustrates a message from a variable node to a check node on the Tanner graph of FIG. 3.
- FIG. 5B illustrates a message from a check node to a variable node on the Tanner graph of FIG. 3.
- FIG. 6 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to an alternate embodiment.
- FIG. 7 is a schematic diagram of a coder according to another alternate embodiment.

#### DETAILED DESCRIPTION

FIG. 2 illustrates a coder 200 according to an embodiment. The coder 200 may include an outer coder 202, an interleaver 204, and inner coder 206. The coder may be used to format blocks of data for transmission, introducing redundancy into the stream of data to protect the data from loss due to transmission errors. The encoded data may then be decoded at a destination in linear time at rates that may approach the channel capacity.

The outer coder 202 receives the uncoded data. The data may be partitioned into blocks of fixed size, say k bits. The outer coder may be an (n,k) binary linear block coder, where n>k. The coder accepts as input a block u of k data bits and produces an output block v of n data bits. The mathematical relationship between u and v is  $v=T_0u$ , where  $T_0$  is an  $n\times k$  matrix, and the rate of the coder is k/n.

The rate of the coder may be irregular, that is, the value of  $T_o$  is not constant, and may differ for sub-blocks of bits in the data block. In an embodiment, the outer coder 202 is a repeater that repeats the k bits in a block a number of times q to produce a block with n bits, where n=qk. Since the repeater has an irregular output, different bits in the block may be repeated a different number of times. For example, a fraction of the bits in the block may be repeated two times, a fraction of bits may be repeated three times, and the remainder of bits may be repeated four times. These fractions define a degree sequence, or degree profile, of the code.

The inner coder 206 may be a linear rate-1 coder, which means that the n-bit output block x can be written as  $x=T_rw$ , where T, is a nonsingular n×n matrix. The inner coder 210 can have a rate that is close to 1, e.g., within 50%, more preferably 10% and perhaps even more preferably within 1% of 1.

In an embodiment, the inner coder 206 is an accumulator, which produces outputs that are the modulo two (mod-2)

### Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM ) Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page)50 of 55 Page ID #:67

### US 7,421,032 B2

15

partial sums of its inputs. The accumulator may be a truncated rate-1 recursive convolutional coder with the transfer function 1/(1+D). Such an accumulator may be considered a block coder whose input block  $[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  and output block  $[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$  are related by the formula

3

 $y_1 = x_1$  $y_2 = x_1 \oplus x_2$ 

 $y_3 = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3$ 

#### $y_n = x_1 \oplus x_2 \oplus x_3 \oplus \ldots \oplus x_n$

where "D" denotes mod-2, or exclusive-OR (XOR), addition. An advantage of this system is that only mod-2 addition is necessary for the accumulator. The accumulator may be embodied using only XOR gates, which may simplify the design.

The bits output from the outer coder 202 are scrambled before they are input to the inner coder 206. This scrambling may be performed by the interleaver 204, which performs a pseudo-random permutation of an input block v, yielding an output block w having the same length as v.

The serial concatenation of the interleaved irregular repeat 30 code and the accumulate code produces an irregular repeat and accumulate (IRA) code. An IRA code is a linear code, and as such, may be represented as a set of parity checks. The set of parity checks may be represented in a bipartite graph, called the Tanner graph, of the code. FIG. 3 shows a Tanner 35 graph 300 of an IRA code with parameters  $(f_1, \ldots, f_j; a)$ , where  $f_i \ge 0$ ,  $\Sigma_i f_i = 1$  and "a" is a positive integer. The Tanner graph includes two kinds of nodes: variable nodes (open circles) and check nodes (filled circles). There are k variable nodes 302 on the left, called information nodes. There are r  $_{40}$ variable nodes 306 on the right, called parity nodes. There are  $r=(k\Sigma_t if_t)/a$  check nodes 304 connected between the information nodes and the parity nodes. Each information node 302 is connected to a number of check nodes 304. The fraction of information nodes connected to exactly i check nodes is  $f_{1,-45}$ For example, in the Tanner graph 300, each of the f2 information nodes are connected to two check nodes, corresponding to a repeat of q=2, and each of the f3 information nodes are connected to three check nodes, corresponding to q=3.

Each check node 304 is connected to exactly "a" information nodes 302. In FIG. 3, a=3. These connections can be made in many ways, as indicated by the arbitrary permutation of the ra edges joining information nodes 302 and check nodes 304 in permutation block 310. These connections correspond to the scrambling performed by the interleaver 204.  $_{55}$ 

In an alternate embodiment, the outer coder 202 may be a low-density generator matrix (LDGM) coder that performs an irregular repeat of the k bits in the block, as shown in FIG. 4. As the name implies, an LDGM code has a sparse (lowdensity) generator matrix. The IRA code produced by the coder 400 is a serial concatenation of the LDGM code and the accumulator code. The interleaver 204 in FIG. 2 may be excluded due to the randomness already present in the structure of the LDGM code.

If the permutation performed in permutation block 310 is 65 fixed, the Tanner graph represents a binary linear block code with k information bits  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  and r parity bits

Exhibit B Page 50 4

 $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ , as follows. Each of the information bits is associated with one of the information nodes **302**, and each of the parity bits is associated with one of the parity nodes **306**. The value of a parity bit is determined uniquely by the con-<sup>5</sup> dition that the mod-2 sum of the values of the variable nodes connected to each of the check nodes **304** is zero. To see this, set  $x_0=0$ . Then if the values of the bits on the ra edges coming out the permutation box are  $(v_1, \ldots, v_{ra})$ , then we have the  $t_0$  recursive formula

for j=1, 2, ..., r. This is in effect the encoding algorithm.

Two types of IRA codes are represented in FIG. 3, a nonsystematic version and a systematic version. The nonsystematic version is an (r,k) code, in which the codeword corresponding to the information bits  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  is  $(x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ . The systematic version is a (k+r, k) code, in which the codeword is  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k; x_1, \ldots, x_r)$ .

The rate of the nonsystematic code is

 $R_{nsys} = \frac{a}{\sum_{i} if_{i}}$ 

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} v_{(j-1)\lambda+i}$ 

The rate of the systematic code is

$$R_{sys} = \frac{a}{a + \sum_{i} if_{i}}$$

For example, regular repeat and accumulate (RA) codes can be considered nonsystematic IRA codes with a=1 and exactly one  $f_r$  equal to 1, say  $f_q=1$ , and the rest zero, in which case  $R_{nsys}$  simplifies to R=1/q.

The IRA code may be represented using an alternate notation. Let  $\lambda_t$  be the fraction of edges between the information nodes 302 and the check nodes 304 that are adjacent to an information node of degree i, and let  $\rho_t$  be the fraction of such edges that are adjacent to a check node of degree i+2 (i.e., one that is adjacent to i information nodes). These edge fractions may be used to represent the IRA code rather than the corresponding node fractions. Define  $\lambda(x)=\Sigma_i\lambda_x x^{i-1}$  and  $\rho(x)=\Sigma_i\rho_i$  $x^{i-1}$  to be the generating functions of these sequences. The pair  $(\lambda, \rho)$  is called a degree distribution. For  $L(x)=\Sigma_i f_i x_i$ 

 $f_i = \frac{\lambda_i / i}{\sum_j \lambda_j / j}$  $L(x) = \int_{0}^{x} \lambda(t) dt \Big/ \int_{0}^{1} \lambda(t) dt$ 

### US 7,421,032 B2

5 The rate of the systematic IRA code given by the degree distribution is given by

$$Rate = \left(1 + \frac{\sum_{j} \rho_{j} / j}{\sum_{j} \lambda_{j} / j}\right)^{-1}$$

"Belief propagation" on the Tanner Graph realization may 20 be used to decode IRA codes. Roughly speaking, the belief propagation decoding technique allows the messages passed on an edge to represent posterior densities on the bit associated with the variable node. A probability density on a bit is a pair of non-negative real numbers p(0), p(1) satisfying p(0)+ 25 p(1)=1, where p(0) denotes the probability of the bit being 0, p(1) the probability of it being 1. Such a pair can be represented by its log likelihood ratio, m=log(p(0)/p(1)). The outgoing message from a variable node u to a check node v represents information about u, and a message from a check 30 node u to a variable node v represents information about u, as shown in FIGS. 5A and 5B, respectively.

The outgoing message from a node u to a node v depends on the incoming messages from all neighbors w of u except v. If u is a variable message node, this outgoing message is 35

6

relies on its input, and y is the output of the channel code bit u, then  $m_0(u)=\log(p(u=0|y)/p(u=1|y))$ . After this initialization, the decoding process may run in a fully parallel and local manner. In each iteration, every variable/check node receives

- s messages from its neighbors, and sends back updated messages. Decoding is terminated after a fixed number of iterations or detecting that all the constraints are satisfied. Upon termination, the decoder outputs a decoded sequence based on the messages  $m(u)=\Sigma w_m(w\rightarrow u)$ .
- Thus, on various channels, iterative decoding only differs in the initial messages  $m_0(n)$ . For example, consider three memoryless channel models: a binary erasure channel (BEC); a binary symmetric channel (BSC); and an additive white Gaussian noise (AGWN) channel.

In the BEC, there are two inputs and three outputs. When 0 is transmitted, the receiver can receive either 0 or an erasure E. An erasure E output means that the receiver does not know how to demodulate the output. Similarly, when 1 is transmitted, the receiver can receive either 1 or E. Thus, for the BEC,  $y \in \{0, E, 1\}$ , and

 $h_{0}(\mu) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } y = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } y = E \\ -\infty & \text{if } y = 1 \end{cases}$ 

In the BSC, there are two possible inputs (0,1) and two possible outputs (0, 1). The BSC is characterized by a set of 40 conditional probabilities relating all possible outputs to possible inputs. Thus, for the BSC y  $\in \{0, 1\}$ ,

 $m(u \to v) = \sum_{w \neq v} m(w \to u) + m_0(u)$ 

where  $m_0(u)$  is the log-likelihood message associated with u. If u is a check node, the corresponding formula is

$$\tanh\frac{m(u \to v)}{2} = \prod_{w \neq v} \tanh\frac{m(w \to u)}{2}$$

Before decoding, the messages  $m(w \rightarrow u)$  and  $m(u \rightarrow v)$  are initialized to be zero, and  $m_0(u)$  is initialized to be the log- 65 likelihood ratio based on the channel received information. If the channel is memoryless, i.e., each channel output only (-10)

55 and

45

50

In the AWGN, the discrete-time input symbols X take their values in a finite alphabet while channel output symbols Y can take any values along the real line. There is assumed to be no distortion or other effects other than the addition of white <sup>0</sup> Gaussian noise. In an AWGN with a Binary Phase Shift Keying (BPSK) signaling which maps 0 to the symbol with amplitude  $\sqrt{Es}$  and 1 to the symbol with amplitude  $-\sqrt{Es}$ , output y  $\in \mathbb{R}$ , then

 $m_0(\mu)=4y\sqrt{E_s}/N_0$ 

where  $N_0/2$  is the noise power spectral density.

# Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page)52 of 55 Page ID #:69

### US 7,421,032 B2

The selection of a degree profile for use in a particular transmission channel is a design parameter, which may be affected by various attributes of the channel. The criteria for selecting a particular degree profile may include, for example, the type of channel and the data rate on the channel. For 5 example, Table 1 shows degree profiles that have been found to produce good results for an AWGN channel model.

7

0	8	2	- 3	4	- 10
1	λ2	0.139025	0.078194	0.054485	-
	λ3	0.2221555	0.128085	0 104315	
	λ5	2	0.160813	0.104515	
	λ6	0.638820	0.036178	0 126755	
	λ10		0.000170	0.220916	15
	λ11			0.016494	
24	λ12		0 108828	0.010404	
	λ13		0.487902		
	λ14		01101502		
	λ16				
	λ27			0.450303	20
	λ28			0.017842	
	Rate	0.333364	0 333223	0.333310	
	σGA	1,1840	1 2415	1 2615	
	0°	1,1981	1 2607	1.2015	
	(Eb/N0) * (dB)	0 190	-0.250	0.271	
	S.L. (dB)	-0.4953	-0.4958	-0.071	25

Table 1 shows degree profiles yielding codes of rate approximately  $\frac{1}{2}$  for the AWGN channel and with a=2, 3, 4. For each sequence, the Gaussian approximation noise threshold, the actual sum-product decoding threshold and the corresponding energy per bit ( $E_b$ )-noise power ( $N_o$ ) ratio in dB are given. Also listed is the Shannon limit (S.L.).

As the parameter "a" is increased, the performance improves. For example, for a=4, the best code found has an iterative decoding threshold of  $E_b/N_0$ =-0.371 dB, which is only 0.12 dB above the Shannon limit.

The accumulator component of the coder may be replaced by a "double accumulator" 600 as shown in FIG. 6. The  $_{40}$ double accumulator can be viewed as a truncated rate 1 convolutional coder with transfer function  $1/(1+D+D^2)$ .

Alternatively, a pair of accumulators may be the added, as shown in FIG. 7. There are three component codes: the "outer" code 700, the "middle" code 702, and the "inner" code 704. The outer code is an irregular repetition code, and the middle and inner codes are both accumulators.

IRA codes may be implemented in a variety of channels, including memoryless channels, such as the BEC, BSC, and  $_{50}$  AWGN, as well as channels having non-binary input, non-symmetric and fading channels, and/or channels with memory.

A number of embodiments have been described. Nevertheless, it will be understood that various modifications may be 55 made without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention. Accordingly, other embodiments are within the scope of the following claims.

The invention claimed is:

A method comprising:

receiving a collection of message bits having a first sequence in a source data stream;

generating a sequence of parity bits, wherein each parity bit "x," in the sequence is in accordance with the formula 8

 $x=x_{j-1}+\sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} \nu_{(j-1)\lambda+i},$ 

where

"x<sub>j-1</sub>" is the value of a parity bit "j-1," and

is the value of a sum of "a" randomly chosen irregular repeats of the message bits; and

making the sequence of parity bits available for transmission in a transmission data stream.

2. The method of claim 1, wherein the sequence of parity bits is generated is in accordance with "a" being constant.

3. The method of claim 1, wherein the sequence of parity bits is generated is in accordance with "a" varying for different parity bits.

4. The method of claim 1, wherein generating the sequence of parity bits comprises performing recursive modulo two addition operations on the random sequence of bits.

5. The method of claim 1, wherein generating the sequence of parity bits comprises:

- generating a random sequence of bits that repeats each of the message bits one or more times with the repeats of the message bits being distributed in a random sequence, wherein different fractions of the message bits are each repeated a different number of times and the number of repeats for each message bit is irregular; and
- XOR summing in linear sequential fashion a predecessor parity bit and "a" bits of the random sequence of bits.

6. The method of claim 5, wherein generating the random sequence of bits comprises coding the collection of message bits using a low-density generator matrix (LDGM) coder.

7. The method of claim 5, wherein generating the random sequence of bits comprises:

producing a block of data bits, wherein different message bits are each repeated a different number of times in a sequence that matches the first sequence; and

randomly permuting the different bits to generate the random sequence.

8. The method of claim 1, further comprising transmitting the sequence of parity bits.

9. The method of claim 8, wherein transmitting the sequence of parity bits comprises transmitting the sequence of parity bits as part of a nonsystematic code.

10. The method of claim 8, wherein transmitting the sequence of parity bits comprises transmitting the sequence of parity bits as part of a systematic code.

11. A device comprising:

an encoder configured to receive a collection of message bits and encode the message bits to generate a collection of parity bits in accordance with the following Tanner graph:

US 7,421,032 B2



message passing decoder comprising two or more check/variable nodes operating in parallel to receive messages from neighboring check/variable nodes and send updated messages to the neighboring variable/ check nodes, wherein the message passing decoder is configured to decode the received data stream that has been encoded in accordance with the following Tanner graph:

10



12. The device of claim 11, wherein the encoder is config- 35 ured to generate the collection of parity bits as if a number of inputs into nodes v, was not constant.

13. The device of claim 11, wherein the encoder comprises: a low-density generator matrix (LDGM) coder configured

to perform an irregular repeat on message bits having a 40 first sequence in a source data stream to output a random sequence of repeats of the message bits; and

an accumulator configured to XOR sum in linear sequential fashion a predecessor parity bit and "a" bits of the

random sequence of repeats of the message bits. 14. The device of claim 12, wherein the accumulator com-

prises a recursive convolutional coder. 15. The device of claim 14, wherein the recursive convolutional coder comprises a truncated rate-1 recursive convolutional coder.

16. The device of claim 14, wherein the recursive convolutional coder has a transfer function of 1/(1+D).

17. The device of claim 12, further comprising a second accumulator configured to determine a second sequence of parity bits that defines a second condition that constrains the 55 random sequence of repeats of the message bits.

18. A device comprising: a message passing decoder configured to decode a received data stream that includes a collection of parity bits, the

19. The device of claim 18, wherein the message passing decoder is configured to decode the received data stream that 45 includes the message bits.

20. The device of claim 18, wherein the message passing decoder is configured to decode the received data stream as if a number of inputs into nodes v, was not constant.

21. The device of claim 18, wherein the message passing decoder is configured to decode in linear time at rates that approach a capacity of a channel.

22. The device of claim 18, wherein the message passing decoder comprises a belief propagation decoder.

23. The device of claim 18, wherein the message passing decoder is configured to decode the received data stream without the message bits.

Exhibit B Page 53

50

Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page 54 of 55 Page ID #:71

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO.: 7,421,032 B2APPLICATION NO.: 11/542950DATED: September 2, 2008INVENTOR(S): Hui Jin, Aamod Khandekar and Robert J. McEliece

Page 1 of 1

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

Title Page, item [73] (Assignee), line 1, please delete "Callifornia" and insert -- California--, therefor.

Claim 11, Column 9, line 28, delete " $V_1$ " and insert -- $V_r$ --, therefor.

Claim 11, Column 9, line 29, delete " $U_1$ " and insert -- $U_k$ --, therefor.

Claim 11, Column 9, line 29, delete " $X_1$ " and insert -- $X_r$ --, therefor.

Claim 18, Column 10, line 35, delete " $V_1$ " and insert -- $V_r$ --, therefor.

Claim 18, Column 10, line 36, delete " $U_1$ " and insert -- $U_k$ --, therefor.

Claim 18, Column 10, line 37, delete " $X_1$ " and insert -- $X_r$ --, therefor.

Signed and Sealed this

Seventeenth Day of February, 2009

John Ooll

JOHN DOLL Acting Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

### Case 2:13-cv-07245-PA-JEM Document 1 Filed 10/01/13 Page)55 of 55 Page ID #:72

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

PATENT NO. APPLICATION NO.	: 7,421,032 B2 : 11/542950		
DATED INVENTOR(S)	: September 2, 2008 : Hui Jin, Aamod Khandekar and Robert J. McEliece		

It is certified that error appears in the above-identified patent and that said Letters Patent is hereby corrected as shown below:

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} v_{(j-1)\lambda+i}$ 

At column 4, line 14, please delete '

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{a} v_{(j-1)a+i}$ 

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\lambda} v_{(j-1)\lambda+i}$ " and insert

" and insert

" and insert

In claim 1, column 8, line 4, please delete

 $x_j = x_{j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{j} v_{(j-1)a+i}$ 

In claim 1, column 8, line 13, please delete "i=1 $v_{(j-1)a+1}$ <u>a</u>

 $\sum_{i=1}^{a} v_{(j-1)a+i}$ 

Signed and Sealed this

Page 1 of 1

Twenty-seventh Day of July, 2010

and J. Apos

David J. Kappos Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office