

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In Re : U.S. Patent No. 7,202,843
Filed : January 8, 2004
Issued : April 10, 2007
Inventor(s) : Yung-Hung Shen et al.
Assignee : Surpass Tech Innovation LLC
Title : DRIVING CIRCUIT OF A LIQUID CRYSTAL DISPLAY PANEL AND
RELATED DRIVING METHOD
Trial No. : To Be Assigned
Panel: : To Be Assigned
Attorney Docket No.: 77331-4

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,202,843

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	8
	A. Real Party-In-Interest Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	8
	B. Related Matters Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	8
	C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3)	8
	D. Service Information Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)	8
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.103	9
IV.	GROUND FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	9
V.	IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) AND RELIEF REQUESTED	9
	A. Claims for Which <i>Inter Partes</i> Review Is Requested Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1)	9
	B. The Specific Art and Statutory Grounds on Which the Challenge Is Based Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2)	10
VI.	SUMMARY OF THE '843 PATENT	11
	A. Specification of the '843 Patent	11
	B. Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 of the '843 Patent.....	17
	C. Prosecution History of the '843 Patent.....	17
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)	18
VIII.	APPLICATION OF CITED PRIOR ART TO EVERY CLAIM FOR WHICH <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW IS REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	19
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Jinda	20
	1. The Disclosure of Jinda	20

2.	The European Counterpart of the '843 Patent Was Rejected In View of Jinda	24
3.	Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are anticipated by Jinda.....	27
B.	Ground 2: Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Jinda in view of Miyai.....	32
1.	Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are obvious over Jinda in view of Miyai	32
C.	Ground 3: Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as anticipated by Adachi.....	37
1.	The Disclosure of Adachi.....	37
2.	The Japanese Counterpart of the '843 Patent Was Rejected In View of Adachi.....	40
3.	Claims 1, 4, 8 and 9 are anticipated by Adachi	41
D.	Ground 4: Claims 4, 8 and 9 are invalid under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Ham	45
1.	The Disclosure of Ham	45
2.	Claims 4, 8 and 9 are anticipated by Ham.....	47
IX.	CONCLUSION	49

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
<i>Bayer Healthcare Pharms., Inc. v. Watson Pharms., Inc.</i> , 713 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....	33
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	33, 34
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 102(b).....	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 102(e).....	<i>passim</i>
35 U.S.C. § 103(a).....	10, 19, 32, 34
35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319.....	1
35 U.S.C. § 315(e)(1).....	9
REGULATIONS	
37 C.F.R. § 42.....	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(c).....	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	50
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(a).....	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(b).....	8

37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.63	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.103	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	9, 18
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(2).....	10
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	18
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	19
37 C.F.R. § 42.105	50
37 C.F.R. § 42.108	9

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.