

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SHARP CORPORATION  
Petitioner

v.

SURPASS TECH INNOVATION LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2015-00021  
Patent 7,202,843

---

**PATENT OWNER SURPASS TECH INNOVATION LLC'S  
PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....	1
II. Background.....	2
a. About U.S. Patent No. 7,202,843 (the “843 patent” or “Shen”).....	2
b. Petitioner’s Grounds of Challenge .....	7
III. Claim Construction.....	9
IV. Argument .....	14
a. Discussion of Jinda (Ex. 1002).....	14
i. Jinda Fails to Disclose All Features of the Challenged Claims and Does Not Anticipate Shen.....	15
b. Discussion of Miyai (Ex. 1003) .....	22
i. There is Inadequate Basis to Combine Jinda and Miyai .....	23
ii. Any Combination Still Fails to Disclose All Features of the Challenged Claims .....	28
c. Discussion of Adachi (Ex. 1004) .....	29
i. Adachi Fails to Disclose All Features of the Challenged Claims .....	30
d. Discussion of Ham (Ex. 1005) .....	34
i. Ham Fails to Disclose All Features of the Challenged Claims.....	35
e. The Board Should Exercise Its Discretion to Dismiss All Challenges Based on Previously-Considered Art .....	36
V. Conclusion .....	37

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

### Cases

<i>Advanced Display Sys., Inc. v. Kent State Univ.</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	.24
<i>Bayer Healthcare Pharms., Inc. v. Watson Pharms., Inc.</i> 713 F.3d 1369 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	25, 26
<i>Callcopy, Inc. v. Verint Americas, Inc.</i> , IPR 2013-00486 paper 11 (PTAB 2/5/2014)	19
<i>Continental Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	16
<i>Cook Biotech Inc. v. Acell, Inc.</i> , 460 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	24
<i>Heart Failure Technologies, LLC v. Cardiokinetix, Inc.</i> , IPR2013-00183, paper 12 (PTAB 7/31/2013)	27
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed Cir. 2004)	10
<i>In re Oelrich</i> , 666 F.2d 578 (CCPA 1981)	16
<i>In re Paulsen</i> , 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994)	10
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	16
<i>In re Translogic Tech., Inc.</i> , 504 F.3d 1249 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	9
<i>In re Van Geuns</i> , 988 F.2d 1181 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	10
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex, Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	27
<i>MEHL/Biophile Int’l Corp. v. Milgraum</i> , 192 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	16
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	15, 20, 32
<i>Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California</i> , 814 F.2d 628 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	15
<i>VMware, Inc. v. Electronics and Telecommunications Research Institute</i> , PR2014-00901, paper 7 (PTAB 7/14/2014)	2
<i>Zenon Environmental, Inc. v. U.S. Filter Corp.</i> , 506 F.3d 1370 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	24

### Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	19, 34
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	1, 14, 19, 37
35 U.S.C. § 325(d)	7, 36

### Other Authorities

M.P.E.P. § 2112(IV)	16
M.P.E.P. § 2131	15

...

Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012).....9

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1).....2  
37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(2)..... 2, 20  
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....1  
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) ..... 9, 10  
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)..... 2, 19, 20, 34  
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5)..... 2, 20, 32

## **LIST OF PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBITS**

<b><u>Exhibit</u></b>	<b><u>Description</u></b>
2001	U.S. Patent No. 5,090,794, issued to Akitsugu <i>et al.</i> , assigned to Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha
2002	U.S. Patent No. 5,457,551, issued to Culter <i>et al.</i> , assigned to Planar Systems, Inc.
2003	U.S. Patent No. 6,091,392, issued to Yoichi, assigned to Seiko Epson Corporation
2004	U.S. Patent No. 6,184,951, issued to Harrold <i>et al.</i> , assigned to Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.