Paper 39

Entered: September 29, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. QUANTUM CORPORATION, and ORACLE CORPORATION, Petitioners,

v.

CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2)¹ Case IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)^{2, 3}

Before NEIL T. POWELL, KRISTINA M. KALAN, J. JOHN LEE, and KEVIN W. CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHERRY, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Motion for Entry of Protective Order
37 C.F.R. § 42.54

³ The Board is entering this Order in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use a caption identifying multiple proceedings.



¹ Case IPR2015-00854 has been joined with this proceeding.

² Case IPR2015-00852 has been joined with this proceeding.

IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2) IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)

On May 26, 2015, Patent Owner filed motions requesting, *inter alia*, entry of the Board's default protective order as set forth in Appendix B of the Office Trial Practice Guide. IPR2014-01463, Paper 17, 1; IPR2014-01544, Paper 18, 1. Patent Owner entered a copy of the proposed protective order in the records of each of these proceedings. *See* IPR2014-01463, Paper 18; IPR2014-01544, Paper 19. Patent Owner does not seek any changes to the Board's default protective order. IPR2014-01463, Paper 17, 1; IPR2014-01544, Paper 18, 1. Patent Owner states that Petitioners do not oppose entry of the default protective order in these proceedings. *Id.*

After considering Patent Owner's motion, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion for entry of the Board's default protective order as set forth in Appendix B of the Office Trial Practice Guide is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Board's default protective order, which was submitted by Patent Owner in each of these proceedings (IPR2014-01463, Paper 18; IPR2014-01544, Paper 19), is *entered*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that this protective order will govern the conduct of these proceedings unless otherwise modified.

⁴ Petitioners oppose Patent Owner's motions to seal that accompany the requests to enter the protective order. *See* IPR2014-01463, Paper 22; IPR2014-01544, Paper 23. This order does not address the motions to seal, which will be decided in due course.



_

IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2) IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)

PETITIONERS:

David L. McCombs
Andrew S. Ehmke
Scott T. Jarratt
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com
andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com
scott.jarratt.ipr@haynesboone.com

Clement S. Roberts
DURIE TANGRI LLP
croberts@durietangri.com

Matthew C. Gaudet
DUANE MORRIS LLP
MCGaudet@duanemorris.com

PATENT OWNER:

Steven R. Sprinkle John L. Adair SPRINKLE IP LAW GROUP crossroadsipr@sprinklelaw.com

Russell Wong James Hall BLANK ROME LLP CrossroadsIPR@blankrome.com

