1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS			
2	HOUSTON DIVISION			
3				
4	WESTERNGECO LLC,	•		
5	PLAINTIFF,	•	HOUSTON, TEXAS	,
6	vs.	•		
7	ION GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION, FUGRO GEOTEAM, INC., ET AL,		VOLUME 11	
9	DEFENDANTS	•	AFTERNOON SESSION AUGUST 7, 2012	
10		•	12:30 P.M.	
	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL			
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE KEITH P. ELLISON			
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE			
13				
14	APPEARANCES:			
15	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:			
16	Lee K. Kaplan SMYSER KAPLAN & VESELKA LLP Bank of America Center 700 Louisiana, Suite 2300 Houston, Texas 77002 Gregg F. LoCascio			
17				
18				
19				
20	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 655 Fifteenth Street Northwest			
21	Washington, DC 20005			
22	Sarah Tsou			
23	Timothy K. Gilman KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP Citigroup Center			
24	153 East 53rd Street			
25	New York, New York 10022			
	Mayra Malone, CSR, RMR, CRR			
ı	mayramalone@comcast.net			



- 1 (Open court)
- 2 BY MR. GILMAN:
- 3 Q Do you see the reference to Mr. Shotts there?
- 4 🛮 A Yes, I do.
- 5 **||** Q And Mr. Shotts is ION's patent lawyer who is in the gallery
- 6 back there?
- 7 A Yes.

8

- Q And David Roland is ION's general counsel?
- 9 A Yes, that's correct.
- 10 Q You didn't note that any ION attorney should be copied on
- any communications with other customers, just with WesternGeco?
- 12 A Yes. Again, we were concerned about all the questions they
- were asking, so we wanted to make sure that none of our
- 14 techniques or approaches or IP would find their way into a
- 15 WesternGeco product.
- 16 Q At this point, you hadn't actually launched the DigiFIN
- 17 device, right? This is 2006.
- 18 A That was the commercial launch in 2006 at this show.
- 19 Q We had heard earlier that you were still doing some
- 20 **| qualification testing in 2008 of the DigiFIN on Fugro vessels?**
- 21 A Yes.
- 22 | Q I would like to show you the 2006 business plan for
- 23 DigiFIN, which is Defendants' Exhibit 125.
- 24 Exhibit 125 is ION's business plan when deciding
- 25 to proceed with the DigiFIN project?



- 1 A I'm sorry. Is that a question?
- 2 0 Yes.
- 3 A It appears to be a business plan. I haven't looked at the
- 4 whole thing. But typically, yeah, we would review this in
- 5 terms of assessing the worth or the value on a potential
- 6 development project.
- 7 Q The 2006 business plan for DigiFIN would have been
- 8 something you signed off on at the time?
- 9 A Yes.
- 10 Q In that executive summary on the first page, you knew in
- 11 2006 -- towards the bottom, the third-to-last sentence -- that
- 12 | by using DigiFIN, existing customers will be able to compete in
- 13 the proprietary Q-Marine systems market space. Is that
- 14 | correct?
- 15 A Yeah. The Q system was proprietary in that they did not
- 16 offer it to sell to other contractors. So that is why we felt
- 17 like we had a good -- were in a good position to offer it to
- 18 **∥** other contractors, because it was proprietary for WesternGeco.
- 19 They only used it themselves. They didn't sell it to other
- 20 contractors.
- 21 Q And your customers needed DigiFIN in order to be able to
- 22 compete with WesternGeco's Q-Marine system?
- 23 A They needed it in order to have lateral steering, which the
- 24 oil companies were very interested in.
- 25 Q If we can turn to the fifth page of the business plan --



I'm sorry, the fourth page -- toward the bottom, there is a section "Business Opportunities"?

A Yes.

- Q And in 2006, for example, the third bullet point, you saw DigiFIN as an enabler for additional sales of other products like DigiRANGE and Orca?
 - A In order to do cable steering, you needed to have better positioning and so better positioning can be effected through additional acoustic devices. And that was our DigiRANGE product, acoustic devices.
 - Q Part of your business justification for DigiFIN was that you thought you would get extra sales of DigiRANGE and Orca if you sold DigiFIN?
- 14 A Yes.
 - Q If we turn to the next page, at the top, the value to your customers that you thought in 2006 was that DigiFIN provides better 3D images and enables 4D time lapse seismic surveys; is that correct?
 - A Yes. We did believe that, and that's what it states here.
 - Q Will you turn to the seventh page of the document. Under "Competitive Assessment," you note that: Currently the only competitor in the cable steerage market is WesternGeco with their proprietary Q system again.
 - MR. ARNOLD: Your Honor, I obviously don't care
 whether the jury hears this, but the engineer has heard this



over and over again and my client is not going to get a chance to put its case on before everyone is exhausted if we are repetitive in the testimony.

THE COURT: I have a lot more confidence in the jury than that. I do understand the case has been lengthy and in some particulars tedious, but a long time ago, the founders decided to trust the jury and I think that choice remains sound. I'm going to allow it.

BY MR. GILMAN:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

- Q Why don't we turn to page 13 of the document, the specific financial analysis that you put into this 2006 business plan?
- A Okay. I'm there.
- Q If we look at that chart that is towards the bottom half of the page, in 2006, you were predicting total sales revenue going forward through 2011 of \$195.5 million if you could proceed with DigiFIN, right?
- A That was the projection at the time, yes.
- Q And at the time for total R&D costs, in order to be able to launch DigiFIN, it looks like you were only going to have to spend \$1.9 million in research and development. Is that true?
- 21 A That was the estimate at the time, yes.
 - Q And then toward the bottom left-hand corner, there is something that says NPV, correct?
 - A Yes.
 - Q That stands for net present value?



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

