#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

United States District Court Southern District of Texas FILED

APR 0 1 2010

WESTERNGECO L.L.C.,	Devict J. Bradley, Clerk of Court
Plaintiff,	
<b>v</b> .	) Civil Action No. 4:09-CV-01827
ON GEOPHYSICAL CORPORATION,	) Jury Trial Demanded )
Defendant.	}

#### WESTERNGECO'S MOTION TO COMPEL THIRD-PARTY PRODUCTION

Of Counsel:

John M. Desmarais, P.C. john.desmarais@kirkland.com Timothy K. Gilman timothy.gilman@kirkland.com Sarah K. Tsou sarah.tsou@kirkland.com Rochelle.lee@kirkland.com KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP 601 Lexington Avenue New York, New York 10022 Tel.: (212) 446-4800 Fax: (212) 446-4900

Dated: March 31, 2010

Lee L. Kaplan lkaplan@skv.com SMYSER KAPLAN & VESELKA, L,L.P. Bank of America Center 700 Louisiana, Suite 2300 Houston, TX 77002 Tel: (713) 221-2323

Fax: (713) 221-2320

Attorneys for Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendant WesternGeco L.L.C.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

SUM	MARY	OF ARGUMENT
NATU	JRE AN	ID STAGE OF THE PROCEEDING
STAT	EMEN	Γ OF FACTS
	I.	ION Provides Components of Steerable Streamer Systems to Fugro-Geoteam, Polarcus and PGS
	II.	Fugro-Geoteam Has Refused to Produce Relevant, Responsive Documents in Its Possession, Custody or Control
		(a) Fugro-Geoteam Uses ION Accused Products for Steerable Streamer Arrays
	III.	Polarcus Has Refused to Produce Relevant, Responsive Documents in Its Possession, Custody or Control
		(a) Polarcus Uses ION Accused Products for Steerable Streamer Arrays
IV. PGS, Inc. Has Refused to Produce R		(c) Polarcus Has Refused to Produce Documents
		(a) PGS Uses ION Accused Products for Steerable Streamer Arrays
STAT	EMENT	OF ISSUES1
ARGU	JMENT	1
	I.	Compelling Production Is Appropriate When Third Parties Have Relevant, Responsive Documents in Their Possession, Custody or Control1
	II.	ION's Customers Have Responsive Documents Relevant to the Claims and Defenses in this Case
		(a) The Use of ION's Accused Products by ION's Customers Is Relevant to ION's Infringement under 35 U.S.C. § 271(b), (c)

## Case 4:09-cv-01827 Document 81 Filed in TXSD on 04/01/10 Page 3 of 31

	(c) The Use of ION's Accused Products by ION's Customers Is Relevant to ION's Counterclaims	18
III.	Fugro-Geoteam Should Produce Relevant, Responsive Documents in Its Possession, Custody or Control	19
IV.	Polarcus Should Produce Relevant, Responsive Documents in Its Possession, Custody or Control	20
V.	PGS, Inc. Should Produce Relevant, Responsive Documents in Its Possession, Custody or Control	22
CONCLUSI	ÓN	24

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases
Addamax Corp. v. Open Software Foundation, Inc., 148 F.R.D. 462 (D. Mass. 1993)
Alcan Int'l Ltd. v. S.A. Day Mfg. Co., 176 F.R.D. 75 (W.D.N.Y. 1996)
Barksdale v. Union Planters Nat'l Bank, 175 Fed. Appx. 690 (5th Cir. 2006)
Camden Iron & Metal v. Marubeni Am. Corp., 138 F.R.D. 438 (D.N.J. 1991)
Cooper Indus., Inc. v. British Aerospace, Inc., 102 F.R.D. 918 (S.D.N.Y. 1984)
Dollar v. Long Mfg., 561 F.2d 613 (5th Cir. 1977)
First Nat'l City Bank v. IRS, 271 F.2d 616 (2d Cir. 1959)
Gonannies, Inc. v. Goaupair.com, Inc., No. 3:06-CV-0631-L, 2007 WL 1112592 (N.D.Tex. April 13, 2007)21
Halliburton Energy Servs., Inc. v. M-I, LLC, 1:06MC001, 2006 WL 3085622 (S.D. Ohio Sep. 15, 2006)
Ice Corp. v. Hamilton Sundstrand Corp., 245 F.R.D. 513, 518 (D. Kan. 2007)
In re Subpoena to Chronotek Sys., Inc., No. 07-0279, 2007 WL 2177013 (S.D.Tex. July 27, 2007)
Jones v. Oriental Trading Co., H-03-3392, 2005 WL 1923585 (S.D.Tex. Aug. 10, 2005)21
LG Display Co. v. Chi Mei Optroelectronics Corp., Civil No. 08cv2408-L, 2009 WL 223585 (S.D. Cal. Jan. 28, 2009)
NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd., 261 F.Supp.2d 423 (E.D.Va. 2002)
Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders,

# Case 4:09-cv-01827 Document 81 Filed in TXSD on 04/01/10 Page 5 of 31

Synthes v. G.M. Does Reis, 563 F.3d 1285 (Fed. Cir. 2009)2		
Statutes		
35 U.S.C. § 271(b)		
35 U.S.C. § 271(c)		
35 U.S.C. § 271(f)	1, 17, 18	
Rules		
FED. R. CIV. P. 26(B)(1)	14	
FED. R. CIV. P. 34(A)(1)	15	
Enn D. Cw. D. 45	1 7 15 24	



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

