| UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICI |
|---|
| BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD |
| PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC. Petitioner |
| V. |
| WESTERNGECO, LLC Patent Owner |
| |
| Case IPR2014-01477 ¹ |
| U.S. Patent No. 7,080,607 |

PETITIONER PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC.'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE



¹ Case IPR2014-00688 is a related proceeding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Tabl | e of Au | ithorities | ii |
|------|---|---|----|
| I. | Mr. Walker's Declaration, Ex. 2099, Should Be Excluded. | | |
| | A. | Mr. Walker's Declaration Should Be Excluded As Irrelevant | 3 |
| | B. | Significant Parts of Walker's Declaration and the Exhibits Cited Therein Should Be Excluded as Outside His Personal Knowledge, Lacking Foundation, and Improper Hearsay | 4 |
| | C. | The Walker Declaration Should Be Excluded in Its Entirety as Unreliable. | 7 |
| II. | Materials from the ION Case Are Inadmissible. | | |
| | A. | The ION Case Jury Verdict Is Irrelevant and Hearsay | 11 |
| | B. | Testimony from the <i>ION</i> Case Is Hearsay. | 11 |
| | C. | Other Materials from the <i>ION</i> Case Are Inadmissible | 12 |
| Ш | Cond | clusion | 15 |



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES

| ABT Sys., LLC v. Emerson Elec. Co., 797 F.3d 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2015) | 3-4 |
|---|-----------|
| Arthrocare Corp. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc., 406 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2005) | 15 |
| Consolidated Rail Corp. v. Grand Trunk W. R. Co., 2011 WL 6004291 (E.D. Mich. Dec. 1, 2011) | 10 |
| Corwin v. Walt Disney Co., 475 F.3d 1239 (11th Cir. 2007) | 6 |
| Gaffney v. Dep't of Info. Tech. & Telecomms., 579 F. Supp. 2d 455 (S.D.N.Y. 2008) | 13, 14 |
| Gunville v. Walker, 583 F.3d 979 (7th Cir. 2009) | 5 |
| Kirk v. Raymark Indus., Inc., 61 F.3d 147 (3d Cir. 1995) | 6, 12 |
| Turner v. BNSF R. Co., 338 F.3d 1058 (9th Cir. 2003) | 12 |
| U.S. v. Hunt, 521 F.3d 636 (6th Cir. 2008) | 14 |
| U.S. v. Sine, 493 F.3d 1021 (9th Cir. 2007) | 11 |
| W. Union Co. v. MoneyGram Payment Sys., Inc., 626 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2010) | 4 |
| RULES AND REGULATIONS | |
| 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c) | 1 |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 402 | 4, 14, 15 |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 602 | 5, 6, 7 |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 801 | 5, 6, 12 |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 803 | 13 |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 804 | 12, 15 |



| ederal Rule of Evidence 703 | | | | | |
|------------------------------|----|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | |
| Federal Rule of Evidence 901 | 15 | | | | |



Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(c), Petitioner Petroleum Geo-Services Inc. ("PGS") moves to exclude exhibits offered and relied on by Patent Owner WesternGeco, LLC ("WG"). The following table identifies the exhibits PGS moves to exclude, which are discussed in detail below.

| Ex. | Section Addressed (Bases for Exclusion) | Ex. | Section Addressed (Bases for Exclusion) |
|------|--|------|--|
| 2053 | II.C (H, R) | 2108 | II.C (H) |
| 2059 | II.B (H) | 2109 | II.C (H) |
| 2060 | II.C (A, H) | 2115 | II.C (H) |
| 2061 | II.C (A, H) | 2116 | II.C (H) |
| 2063 | II.C (H) | 2117 | II.C (H) |
| 2067 | II.C (A, H) | 2118 | II.C (A, H) |
| 2083 | II.B (H) | 2119 | I.B, II.C (H) |
| 2085 | II.B (H) | 2120 | II.C (H) |
| 2087 | II.B (H) | 2121 | II.A (H, R) |
| 2096 | II.C (H) | 2123 | II.C (H) |
| 2097 | II.C (H) | 2124 | II.B (H) |
| 2099 | I, I.A, I.B, I.C, (H, R, F, P) | 2125 | II.B (H) |
| 2101 | II.C (H) | 2127 | II.C (H) |
| 2102 | II.C (H) | 2128 | II.C (H) |
| 2103 | II.C (H) | 2129 | II.C (H) |
| 2104 | I.B, II.C (H) | 2130 | II.C (H) |
| 2105 | I.B, II.C (H) | 2131 | II.C (H) |
| 2106 | I.B, II.B (H) | 2132 | II.C (H) |
| 2107 | II.C (A, H) | 2140 | II.B (H) |

Key: A – Authentication; H – Hearsay; F – Lacks Foundation; R- Relevance; P – Lacks Personal Knowledge

PGS timely objected to each of these exhibits, on the grounds identified above, on Aug. 14, 2015. *See* IPR2014-01475 ("-01475"), Paper 42 at 2-11, 13,



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

