

Filed on behalf of Petroleum Geo-Services, Inc.

By: David Berl **REDACTED PUBLIC FILING**
Registration No. 72,751
Williams & Connolly, LLP
725 12th St., NW
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: 202-434-5000
Facsimile: 202-434-5029
Email: dberl@wc.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PETROLEUM GEO-SERVICES INC.
Petitioner

v.

WESTERNGECO LLC
Patent Owner

CASE IPR: Unassigned

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,080,607
UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319 and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1-.80, 42.100-.123**

Mail Stop “PATENT BOARD”
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW.....	1
III.	GROUNDΣ FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)); PROCEDURAL STATEMENTS	7
IV.	MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1))	7
V.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED AND THE REASΟNS THEREFOR (37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a) and 42.104(b))	8
VI.	THE '607 PATENT	8
A.	The '607 Patent's Specification	8
B.	Claims 16-23 of the '607 Patent.....	9
C.	Identification of the Prior Art.....	11
1.	'636 PCT	12
2.	Gikas.....	14
3.	Spink.....	16
4.	'394 PCT	17
D.	SUMMARY OF THE '607 PATENT PROSECUTION HISTORY .	18
E.	THE DISTRICT COURT PROCEEDING AGAINST ION	19
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
A.	Streamer Positioning Device: “a device that controls the position of a streamer as it is towed (e.g., a ‘bird’).”	20
B.	Predicting Positions: “estimate of the real time or future locations” ..	21
C.	“On or In-Line With”: “either in-line with the streamer or attached to the streamer, whether fastened on the streamer by clamping or other means”	22
D.	Means for Determining the Angular Velocity of Each Streamer	

Positioning Device: “a horizontal and vertical accelerometer placed at right angles with respect to one another or a rate gyro or their equivalents.”	22
E. Global Control System: “a control system that sends commands to other devices in a system (e.g., local control systems).”	23
F. Cycle Rate: “number of cycles a processing unit performs per unit of time.”	24
VIII. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE (37 C.F.R. § 42.01(b)).	25
IX. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 16 and 17 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE ’636 PCT IN VIEW OF GIKAS	26
A. Claim 15	26
1. “An array of seismic streamers towed by a towing vessel comprising”	28
2. “(a) a plurality of streamer positioning devices on or inline with each streamer”	29
3. “(b) a prediction unit adapted to predict positions of at least some of the streamer positioning devices”	29
4. “(c) a control unit adapted to use the predicted positions to calculate desired changes in positions of one or more of the streamer positioning devices”	33
B. Claim 16	35
C. Claim 17	36
X. GROUND 2: CLAIMS 18-20 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE ’636 PCT IN VIEW OF GIKAS AND SPINK	37
A. Claim 18	37
B. Claim 19	41
1. “a global control system is located on or near said seismic vessel” ...	42

2. “and a respective local control system is located within or near each streamer positioning device”	48
3. “and said global control system and said local control systems communicate using a respective communication line passing through each streamer”	49
C. Claim 20	50
XI. GROUND 3: CLAIMS 21-23 ARE OBVIOUS OVER THE '636 PCT IN VIEW OF GIKAS, SPINK , AND THE '394 PCT.....	51
A. Claim 21	51
B. Claim 22	54
C. Claim 23	57
XII. CONCLUSION	60

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

FEDERAL CASES

<i>Adv. Disp. Sys., Inc. v. Kent State Univ.</i> , 212 F.3d 1272 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	46
<i>Callaway Golf Co. v. Acushnet Co.</i> , 576 F.3d 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	45, 46
<i>Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices, Inc.</i> , 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	37, 42, 48
<i>In re Woodruff</i> , 919 F.2d 1575 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	54
<i>Janssen Pharmaceutica v. Eon Labs Mfg., Inc.</i> , 134 Fed. App'x 425 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	12
<i>KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	32
<i>NTP, Inc. v. Research In Motion, Ltd.</i> , 418 F.3d 1282 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	24, 43
<i>Pharmastem Therapeutics, Inc. v. ViaCell, Inc.</i> , 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	37, 42, 48
<i>W. Union Co. v. MoneyGram Payment Sys., Inc.</i> , 626 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	35, 37, 51, 57

STATUTES, RULES & OTHER

37 C.F.R. § 42.01(b)	25
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(1)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.15(a)	7
37 C.F.R. § 42.100	1
37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b)	20
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)	7

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.