Paper 38

Entered: September 29, 2015

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

._____

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. QUANTUM CORPORATION, and ORACLE CORPORATION, Petitioners,

v.

CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2)¹ Case IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)^{2, 3}

Before NEIL T. POWELL, KRISTINA M. KALAN, J. JOHN LEE, and KEVIN W. CHERRY, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

CHERRY, Administrative Patent Judge.

DECISION
Motion for Entry of Protective Order
37 C.F.R. § 42.54

³ The Board is entering this Order in each proceeding. The parties are not authorized to use a caption identifying multiple proceedings.



¹ Case IPR2015-00854 has been joined with this proceeding.

² Case IPR2015-00852 has been joined with this proceeding.

IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2) IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)

On May 26, 2015, Patent Owner filed motions requesting, *inter alia*, entry of the Board's default protective order as set forth in Appendix B of the Office Trial Practice Guide. IPR2014-01463, Paper 17, 1; IPR2014-01544, Paper 18, 1. Patent Owner entered a copy of the proposed protective order in the records of each of these proceedings. *See* IPR2014-01463, Paper 18; IPR2014-01544, Paper 19. Patent Owner does not seek any changes to the Board's default protective order. IPR2014-01463, Paper 17, 1; IPR2014-01544, Paper 18, 1. Patent Owner states that Petitioners do not oppose entry of the default protective order in these proceedings. *Id.*

After considering Patent Owner's motion, it is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion for entry of the Board's default protective order as set forth in Appendix B of the Office Trial Practice Guide is *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Board's default protective order, which was submitted by Patent Owner in each of these proceedings (IPR2014-01463, Paper 18; IPR2014-01544, Paper 19), is *entered*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that this protective order will govern the conduct of these proceedings unless otherwise modified.

⁴ Petitioners oppose Patent Owner's motions to seal that accompany the requests to enter the protective order. *See* IPR2014-01463, Paper 22; IPR2014-01544, Paper 23. This order does not address the motions to seal, which will be decided in due course.



_

IPR2014-01463 (Patent 7,943,041 B2) IPR2014-01544 (Patent 7,051,147 B2)

PETITIONERS:

David L. McCombs
Andrew S. Ehmke
Scott T. Jarratt
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
david.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com
andy.ehmke.ipr@haynesboone.com
scott.jarratt.ipr@haynesboone.com

Clement S. Roberts
DURIE TANGRI LLP
croberts@durietangri.com

Matthew C. Gaudet
DUANE MORRIS LLP
MCGaudet@duanemorris.com

PATENT OWNER:

Steven R. Sprinkle John L. Adair SPRINKLE IP LAW GROUP crossroadsipr@sprinklelaw.com

Russell Wong James Hall BLANK ROME LLP CrossroadsIPR@blankrome.com

