

Paper No. _____

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CISCO SYSTEMS, INC. and QUANTUM CORPORATION,
Petitioners,

v.

CROSSROADS SYSTEMS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01463
Patent 7,934,041

PETITIONERS' REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION..... 1

II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED 1

III. REPLY TO PATENT OWNER’S ARGUMENTS 1

 A. Summary..... 1

 B. Patent Owner Fails to Apply the Broadest Reasonable Construction 2

 1. Patent Owner Has Improperly Narrowed the “Map” Limitation.....2

 2. Patent Owner Has Improperly Narrowed the “Control Access”
Limitation8

 C. The Cited Prior Art Teaches the Claims of the ’041 Patent 10

 1. Patent Owner Ignores the Express Teachings of the CRD-5500
User Manual10

 2. Independent Claims 1, 20, and 37 are Invalid13

 a) Under Any Interpretation the CRD-5500 Manual and HP Journal
Disclose the “Map” Limitation 13

 b) Under Any Interpretation the CRD-5500 Manual and HP Journal
Disclose the “Control Access” Limitation..... 16

 3. Dependent Claims 6, 25, and 42 are Invalid.....20

 4. Dependent Claims 13, 32, and 49 are Invalid.....20

 5. Dependent Claims 14, 15, 33, 34, 50, and 51 are Invalid21

D. Patent Owner Has Not Shown Objective Evidence of Nonobviousness ... 22

 1. Patent Owner's Router Sales Do Not Establish Commercial
 Success23

 2. Patent Owner's Licenses Do Not Establish Commercial Success.....24

 3. Long-Felt Need.....25

IV. CONCLUSION25

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Case Law

Autel U.S. Inc., et al. v. Bosch Automotive Service Solutions,
IPR2014-00183, Paper 59 (PTAB 2015).....15

In re Allen, 324 F.2d 993(CCPA 1963)25

In re Applied Materials, Inc., 692 F.3d 1289 (Fed. Cir. 2012)23

In re GPAC Inc., 57 F.3d 1573(Fed. Cir. 1995)24

In re Huang, 100 F.3d 135 (Fed. Cir. 1996).23, 24, 25

In re Mouttet, 686 F.3d 1322 (Fed. Cir. 2012)17

In re Paulsen, 30 F.3d 1475 (Fed. Cir. 1994)).15

Iron Grip Barbell Co., Inc. v. USA Sports, Inc.,
392 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2004)25

Joy Techs., Inc. v. Manbeck,
751 F.Supp. 225 (D.D.C. 1990).23

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....22

Perfect Web Techs., Inc. v. InfoUSA, Inc.,
587 F.3d 1324 (Fed. Cir. 2009).25

Rules

37 C.F.R. § 42.23.....1

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b).....2

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.