### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC. Patent Owner.

U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634

IPR Case No.: IPR2014-01416

### **REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE TO PETITION FOR INTER PARTES REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 7,237,634**

## **Table of Contents**

Table	of Au	thorities	. ii	
Updat	ted Exl	hibit List	iii	
I.	Introd	luction	1	
II.	Claim Construction			
III.	engin	SA would have understood that Severinsky '970 teaches the e, motor and setpoint limitations of the claims at issue, and fore teaches "when" to operate the engine and motor	and setpoint limitations of the claims at issue, and	
	A.	Severinsky '970 discloses the engine, motor and set point limitations	3	
	В.	The Severinsky '970 admissions stated in the '634 Patent are binding		
	C.	Additional disclosures in Severinsky '970 reinforce that it teaches selecting modes using " <i>road load</i> "		
	D.	Paice's argument regarding "how" <i>road load</i> is determined is unsupported and flawed		
	E.	Paice's arguments about "output torque" and "output power and speed" ignore the well-known relationships between torque, power and speed, and misrepresent Ford's position		
IV.	It would have been obvious to apply well-known hysteresis techniques to the control strategy of Severinsky '9701		17	
V.	The challenged claims are obvious in view of Tabata '201		19	
VI.	Conclusion			
Certif	icate o	of Service	26	

# **Table of Authorities**

### Cases

Clearwater Systems Corp. v. Evapco, Inc., 394 Fed. Appx. 699 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	9
Clearwater Systems Corp. v. Evapco, Inc., 596 F.Supp.2d 291 (D. Conn. 2009)	8
Constant v. Advanced Micro–Devices, Inc., 848 F.2d 1560 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	8
PharmaStem Therapeutics, Inc. v. Viacell, Inc., 491 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2007)	8

## Rules

37 CFR 1.104(c)(3)	8
MPEP 2258	8

# **Updated Exhibit List**

Exhibit	Description	Date	Identifier
No.	Description	Dutt	Tuchtiner
1101	U.S. Patent No. 7,237,634	n/a	The '634 Patent
1102	7,237,634 File History	n/a	'634 Patent File
			History
1103	U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970	Sept. 6, 1994	Severinsky '970
1104	U.S. Patent No. 5,842,534	Nov.3, 1997	Frank
1105	U.S. Patent No. 5,841,201	Feb. 27 1997	Tabata '201
1106	U.S. Patent No. 6,158,541	Jan. 26, 1998	Tabata '541
1107	Declaration of Gregory Davis	n/a	Davis
1108	2005 Paice Opening Claim	Mar. 8, 2005	
	Construction Brief		
1109	2005 Paice Response Claim	Mar. 29, 2005	
	Construction Brief		
1110	2005 Claim Construction Order	Sept. 28, 2005	
1111	2008 Paice Opening Brief	June 25, 2008	
1112	2008 Paice Response Brief	Aug. 1, 2008	
1113	2008 Claim Construction Order	Dec. 5, 2008	
1114	2013 Paice Opening Claim	Nov. 14, 2013	
	Construction Brief		
1115	2013 Paice Response Claim	Dec. 16, 2013	
	Construction Brief		
1116	2014 Paice Claim Construction		
	Order		
1117	Excerpt of USPN 7,104,347 File	n/a	'347 Patent File
	History		History
1118	U.S. Patent Trial and Appeal Board	Jan. 3, 2014	
	January 3, 2014 Decision (Appeal		
	No. 2011-004811)		
1119	2012 Amendment to U.S.	Feb. 29, 2012	
1100	Application 13/065,704		
1120	Curriculum Vitae of Gregory Davis	<b>D</b> 1 100 f	
1121	Innovations in Design: 1993 Ford	Feb. 1994	
1100	Hybrid Electric Vehicle Challenge	<b>E</b> 1 100 <b>F</b>	
1122	1996 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1997	

:::

DOCKET

**A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Exhibit	Description	Date	Identifier
No.			
1123	1997 Future Car Challenge	Feb. 1998	
1124	History of Hybrid Electric Vehicle	1998	
	(Wakefield-1998)		
1125	SAE 760121 (Unnewehr-1976)		
1126	SAE 920447 (Burke-1992)	Feb. 24-28, 1992	
1127	Vehicle Tester for HEV (Duoba-1997)	April 9-11, 1997	
1128	DOE Report to Congress (1994)	April 1995	
1129	SAE SP-1331 (1998)	Feb. 1998	
1130	SAE SP-1156 (1996)	Feb. 1996	
1131	DOE HEV Assessment (1979)	Sept. 30, 1979	
1132	EPA HEV Final Study (1971)	June 1, 1971	
1133	Microprocessor Design for HEV (Bumby-1988)	Sept. 1, 1988	
1134	Propulsion System for Design for EV (Ehsani-1996)	1996	
1135	Propulsion System Design for HEV (Ehsani-1997)	Feb. 1997	
1136	Bosch Automotive Handbook (1996)	Oct. 1996	
1137	SAE SP-1089 (Anderson-1995)	Feb. 1995	
1138	U.S. Patent No. 6,209,672	Apr. 3, 2001	
1139	Introduction to Automotive Powertrain (Davis)		
1140	Toyota Prius (Yamaguchi-1998)	Jan. 1998	
1141	US Application 60/100,095	Filed Sept. 11, 1998	
1142	WO 9323263A1 (Field)	Nov. 25, 1998	
1143	U.S. Patent No. 6,098,733	Oct.13, 1995	
1144	Critical Issues in Quantifying HEV	August 11,	
	Emissions and Fuel Consumption (An-1998)	1998	
1145	Excerpts from Patent Owner Response (IPR2014-00571, Doc 8)	July 11, 2014	
1146	Excerpts from Patent Owner Response (IPR2014-00579, Doc 8)	July 11, 2014	

:.,

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.