FORD MOTOR COMPANY v. PAICE, LLC, ET AL. NEIL HANNEMANN

April 7, 2015

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids
Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw



	Page 1		Page 3
	Page 1		
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1	APPEARANCES
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2	
3		3	011 051111 5 05 0555550150
4 5	FORD MOTOR COMPANY	4	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:
6	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, :	5	FRANK A. ANGILERI, ESQUIRE
7	Petitioner, : v. : IPR Case No:	6 7	JOHN P. RONDINI, ESQUIRE
8	PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, : IPR2014-00571	8	BROOKS KUSHMAN, PC 1000 Town Center
9	INC., :	9	22nd Floor
10	Patent Owner. :		Southfield, MI 48075
11	:	$\ddot{1}_1$	(248) 226-2913
12	x	2	(210) 220 2313
13		3	- and -
14		4	
15	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN	5	THOMAS W. YEH, ESQUIRE
16	Washington, DC	6	LATHAM & WATKINS, LLP
17	Tuesday, April 7, 2015	7	555 Eleventh Street, NW
18	9:58 a.m.	8	Suite 1000
19	-	9	Washington, DC 20004
20		0	(202) 637-1039
21		1	
22	1.b. No. 20074	2	
23 24	Job No.: 79874	3	
25	Pages: 1 - 145 Reported By: Rebecca Stonestreet, RPR, CRR	1 4 25	
		1 3	
	Page 2		Page 4
1	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN, held at the	1	APPEARANCES CONTINUED
2	offices of:	2	
3		3	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:
4	ETCH & DICHADDOON DC	4	BRIAN J. LIVEDALEN, ESQUIRE
5 6	FISH & RICHARDSON, PC	5	LINDA LIU KORDZIEL, ESQUIRE
7	1425 K Street, NW 11th Floor	6	FISH & RICHARDSON 1425 K Street, NW
8	Washington, DC 20005	8	11th Floor
9	(202) 783-5070	9	Washington, DC 20005
10	(222) / 33 30/ 0		(202) 783-5070
11		1	(202) / 00 00/ 0
12		2	
13		3	ALSO PRESENT:
14	Pursuant to notice, before	4	Frances Keenan, Paice LLC
15	Rebecca Stonestreet, Registered Professional Reporter,	5	
16	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and for	6	
17	the District of Columbia, who officiated in administering	7	
18	the oath to the witness.	18	
19		19	
20	2	20	
22		1	
23		14	
24	·	23 24	
25		14 25	
		+ U	



	Page 5	Page 7
1		
1	CONTENTS	hybrid vehicles weren't really a course of study in
2		2 that would have been 1981.
3	EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN PAGE	3 Q Did you focus at all on hybrid vehicles in
4	By Mr. Angileri 6	4 your undergraduate work at GMI?
5	By Mr. Livedalen 141	5 A No. I would say I focused more on power train
6		6 and emissions.
7		7 Q What experience do you have in the
8	EXHIBITS	8 hybrid/electric vehicle area?
9	(Retained by Counsel.)	9 A Well, I can refer to my declaration.
0		10 Actually, I think there was a supplemental declaration
1	HANNEMANN EXHIBIT PAGE	11 that has most of my qualifications.
2	1 Declaration of Neil Hannemann 8	12 But it was more the the hybrid vehicle work
3	2 Curriculum Vitae 9	was probably within the last 10 years, and specifically
4	3 U.S. Patent No. 7,104,347 52	14 hybrids, probably the first time I did anything was at
5	4 U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970 52	15 McLaren, where I worked on some architecture for hybrid
6		16 vehicles based on a McLaren product.
7		And then in 2008 I was working at a company
8		called Aptera, where we looked at hybrid concepts, and
9		the patent that I worked on could be applied to a hybrid
0		20 vehicle.
1		21 Q Have you ever designed a hybrid vehicle?
2		22 A Well, I mean, "designed" is a pretty broad
3		23 term. The work I did at McLaren was design work in the
4		24 designing the architecture of the hybrid vehicle. So
5		25 yes, I would say I've designed a hybrid vehicle.
_	David C	
	Page 6	Page 8
1	PROCEEDINGS	1 MR. ANGILERI: Let's mark as Exhibit 1
2	(NEIL HANNEMANN, having been duly sworn, testified as	2 Mr. Hannemann's declaration.
3	follows:)	3 (HANNEMANN Exhibit 1 was marked for
4	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER	4 identification and retained by counsel.)
5	BY MR. ANGILERI:	5 A Like I said, there's some additional detail of
6	Q Good morning.	6 my background in the supplemental declaration, which I
7	A Good morning.	7 don't have with me.
8	Q Can you state your name for the record,	8 Q Supplemental declaration, you said?
9	please?	9 MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection.
0	A Neil Hannemann.	10 (OFF THE RECORD.)
1	Q Mr. Hannemann, what's the extent of your	Q Let's look at have you ever worked for Ford
2	formal education?	12 Motor Company?
3	A Well, I have a bachelor's degree from General	13 A For two years I've worked for Ford Motor
4	Motors Institute. That's the only other degree I have.	14 Company as a contract employee through a company called
5	I've gone to some graduate classes but didn't receive a	15 Saleen.
6	degree.	16 O So you've never been a Ford Motor Company
. 7	Q What graduate classes?	17 employee?
. 8	A Oh, attended some classes at the University of	18 A Well, I was a contract employee. It's a
. 9	California at Santa Barbara, and when I took a different	19 little bit of a subtlety, but probably 10 or 15 percent
:0	•	20 of all Ford engineers are working under contract. The
	job and moved away, I just did not chose not to	3
11	complete that course.	
2	Q Are any of those graduate classes relevant to	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
3	the hybrid vehicles?	you're called a contract employee. So that would make me
4	A You know, in 19 I mean, other than they	24 an employee of Ford.
2.5	were, you know, mechanical engineering classes, but	25 Q I guess that's your view. But your paycheck

Page 9 Page 11 came from Saleen. Right? A Well, Saleen had a working relationship with 2 2 Ford, and Ford, they had a project that Saleen was 3 3 working on from a subcontract standpoint. And, you know, Q So you never got a paycheck from Ford Motor 4 Ford just requested that I be assigned to them as the 4 Company? 5 A That's correct. chief engineer of that project. Q Who at Ford made that request? 6 6 Q What time period were you a contract employee 7 A That would have been John Coletti. with Saleen but located at Ford? 8 Q How did you know John Coletti? A That was 2002 through 2004. 9 9 A I really didn't know John. I think John Q How long were you employed at Saleen? 0 10 was -- worked more with other people at Saleen. I was at Saleen for four or five years. And 1 11 And I think -- well, possibly other people two years of that was the time at Ford. 2 12 Q So did your employment with Saleen start were involved in that decision. I just -- John Coletti 13 before 2002? 13 is the one I met with who made that request. Other people may have been involved in the decision; it would 4 A Yes. . 5 When? Would your CV help? 15 have been Chris Theodore and possible Neil Ressler. Q 6 16 Q So you never met John Coletti, but you know Yes. 7 17 he's the one that requested that you work on the project? MR. ANGILERI: Let's mark this. 1 8 8 (HANNEMANN Exhibit 2 was marked for A I met him in the process of this project 19 9 starting up. identification and retained by counsel.) 20 20 Q How do you know he asked that you work on the Q So we marked as Exhibit 2 a -- strike that. 21 21 project? What is Exhibit 2? 22 22 A Well, he's the first one that asked me to come A Exhibit 2 is my CV. 23 23 Q And just for the record, what is Exhibit 1, to Detroit. 2 4 that thing we marked earlier? Q So he literally spoke to you directly and 25 said, Please come to Detroit? A Exhibit 1 is my declaration for IPR 571. Page 10 Page 12 Q Back to Exhibit 2, my question is, when did A Yes. 2 2 vou work for Saleen? O Gotcha. 3 3 A I started working for Saleen in October of Where were you before you came to Detroit? 4 2000. A Oh, I was based in Irvine, California. 5 Q And when did you finish working for Saleen? 5 Q That's where Saleen is? 6 A I was assigned by Saleen to Ford in 2002, and 6 7 that went through February of 2004. Do you know why Ford wanted somebody from 8 And there's a typo on this CV. So under Ford Saleen to come on-site and work with them at that time? 9 Motor Company it should be January 2002. 9 A I think that had less to do with Saleen and 0 L Q This says you were at Ford Motor Company 10 more to do with my background. 1 1 l1 1 from now, as corrected by you, January 2002 through Q What about your background gives you that 12 12 February 2004. Correct? impression? 13 13 A That's correct. A Just the work I had done on the Dodge Viper at 14 Q And then it says in March 2004 you were at 14 Chrysler was similar type of work that they were looking 15 McLaren Automotive? 15 to do with the Ford GT. 16 A Correct. 16 Q And what work is that? 17 So were you still employed by Saleen when you 17 A Well, it was more the project, that it was were at McLaren or were you now a McLaren employee? going to be a low-volume, high-performance, two-seat 19 19 A I was an employee of McLaren at that time. sports car. So the Viper and Ford GT were similar 20 Q So just to be clear, you were employed by 20 vehicles from that viewpoint. Saleen from October 2000 through February 2004. Right? 21 Q In your declaration, which is Exhibit 1 in the 2.2 2.2 A That's -- yeah. And as a contract employee, I deposition and Exhibit 2002 in the IPR, paragraph 22 has 23 was assigned to Ford Motor Company for part of that time. 23 a definition of a person of skill in the art. 24 Q How did it come that Saleen assigned you to 24 Do you see that? work at Ford Motor Company? 25 Yes.



Page 15 Page 13 1 0 Where did that come from? working through various jobs and programs. You know, at 2 Oh, that was, you know, my definition in 2 least two but three years was about the time frame it 3 consultation with attorneys here at Fish & Richardson. 3 takes to get, you know, a full understanding of how 4 MR. LIVEDALEN: I would counsel the witness vehicles and systems and components all interrelate with 5 not to reveal any substance in any communication between each other. 6 6 the client and himself. Q What were you doing in 1998, September of 1998? Q How did you come up with this definition? 8 Well --A I was working at Chrysler and I was...I was 9 either in the small car platform as a vehicle development MR. LIVEDALEN: Same instruction. 10 A I guess for my part of it, it seems that I had 10 specialist, and I think during that year I transitioned 11 a number of jobs where I had to build teams from scratch 11 to being the supervisor of the aerothermal lab. 12 12 Let me correct that. I would have been the and hired quite a few engineers. At Chrysler, they had 13 programs where I mentored engineers, and I was pretty 13 suspension design supervisor job, transitioning to the 1 4 1 4 active in that. aerothermal development supervisor job. 15 15 So I had a lot of experience with engineers Q Are you looking at something on your CV to 16 out of school, and then how a career might develop and 16 help you with that answer? 17 17 A Yes. transition. And I understood and had really my own idea 1.8 1 8 of what an engineer should be doing after 5 or 10 years, Q Where are you looking? 19 19 and the best way to gain experience. A Under my DaimlerChrysler corporation 20 20 So -- you know, that experience that I had, I experience, which was from '89 until 2000. 21 21 used that to determine, you know, what type of experience Q Just as a note, this Chrysler corporation has 22 22 that someone in this time frame would have. a typo as well. Right? It should be 1982 to 1988? 23 23 A Yes. That's correct. O What time frame? 24 2.4 A Well, I guess you're looking at September of Q So in the DaimlerChrysler section of your CV, 2.5 1998 as the time frame for this particular IPR. you list these various jobs. And you said in 1998 you Page 14 Page 16 Did your conversations with Fish & Richardson were transitioning from what to what? 2 A In 1998, the year it started, I was the 2 attorneys influence your analysis of the definition of a person of skill in the art? 3 3 suspension design supervisor. And I think during that 4 MR. LIVEDALEN: Same instruction. 4 year I transitioned to becoming the aerothermal 5 5 development supervisor. Really, the conversations, I just -- you know, 6 I was told that a definition was needed, so I would say 6 Q You were suspension design -- so these aren't 7 that I wasn't influenced. 7 in chronological order, then, obviously. Correct? 8 8 Q Were you aware of the definition of skill in A Correct. Correct. 9 the art of a -- strike that. 9 Can I add just a little bit more to the 0 Were you aware of the definition of a person 10 conversation we had about the person of skill in the art? . 1 11 1 of skill in the art that was put forth in the litigation Q 2 12 against Toyota? Because at Chrysler we had a two-year program 13 13 where we trained engineers out of school. So if you came MR. LIVEDALEN: Objection. Vague. 4 No, I wasn't aware of that. I probably was 14 into that program with a bachelor's degree, it was a 5 only aware of the definition in Dr. Davis and Stein's 15 two-year program, and the reason I went three years is 6 declarations. That's all I had really seen at that 16 because if a company didn't have an organized program, it . 7 might take a little longer for an engineer to gain that point. 8 type of experience. So that was -- the Chrysler program At what point? 9 19 was one thing that influenced my decision. Α The point where I came up with this 20 20 Q As of 1998, had you worked on any hybrid 21 21 electric vehicles? Q So you're not aware of any definition that 2.2 22 Paice offered in litigation? A Well, yes. I had done -- not as a full-time 23 23 job, but Chrysler had a program, it was a race car called 24 Why did you pick three years of experience? 24 the Chrysler Patriot, and I was involved in what we call 5 Well, I had students coming out of school and 2.5 fresh eyes reviews, which -- in a fresh eyes review is

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

