FORD MOTOR COMPANY v. PAICE, LLC, ET AL.

NEIL HANNEMANN

April 8, 2015

Prepared for you by



Bingham Farms/Southfield • Grand Rapids Ann Arbor • Detroit • Flint • Jackson • Lansing • Mt. Clemens • Saginaw

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Δ

Μ

NEIL HANNEMANN April 8, 2015

	Page	L				Page 3
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE		1	A P P E A R A N C	FS	
2	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	- L.	2	AFFLARANC	LJ	
3			3			
4		- L.	4	ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:		
5	FORD MOTOR COMPANY, :	- L.	5	FRANK A. ANGILERI, E	SOUTRE	
6	Petitioner,	- L	6	JOHN P. RONDINI, ES		
7	v. : IPR Case No:	- L.	7	ANDREW B. TURNER,		=
8	PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, : IPR2014-00570	- L	8	BROOKS KUSHMAN, PO	-	-
9	INC., :		9	1000 Town Center	-	
10	Patent Owner. :	1	0	22nd Floor		
11	:	1	1	Southfield, MI 48075		
12	x	1	2	(248) 226-2913		
13		1	3			
14		1	4	ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT O	WNER:	
15	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN	1	5	W. PETER GUARNIERI	ESQUIF	RE
16	Washington, DC	1	6	LINDA LIU KORDZIEL,	ESQUIR	E
17	Wednesday, April 8, 2015	1	7	FISH & RICHARDSON		
18	12:55 p.m.	1	8	1425 K Street, NW		
19		1	9	11th Floor		
20		2	0	Washington, DC 2000	5	
21		2	1	(202) 783-5070		
22		- L.	2			
23	Job No.: 78384	- L.	3			
24	Pages: 1 - 87		4	ALSO PRESENT:		
25	Reported By: Rebecca Stonestreet, RPR, CRR	2	5	Frances Keenan, Paice	LC	
	Page 2	2				Page 4
1	Oral Deposition of NEIL HANNEMANN, held at the		1	CONTENTS		
2	offices of:		2			
3			3	EXAMINATION OF NEIL HANNEMANN		PAGE
4			4	By Mr. Angileri	5	
5	FISH & RICHARDSON, PC		5	By Mr. Guarnieri	83	
6	1425 K Street, NW		6			
7	11th Floor		7			
8	Washington, DC 20005		8	EXHIBITS		
9	(202) 783-5070		9	(Retained by Counsel.)		
10		- L	0			
11		- L	1	HANNEMANN EXHIBIT	PAG	E
12		- L	2	1 Declaration of Neil Hannemann	5	
13	Demonstrate in the definition	- L	3	2 U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097	5	
14	Pursuant to notice, before	- L	4	3 U.S. Patent No. 5,343,970	5	
15	Rebecca Stonestreet, Registered Professional Reporter,	- L	5	4 "The Effects of APU Characteristics		
16 17	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in and for	- L	6 7	Design of Hybrid Control Strategies	for 5	
1 / 18	the District of Columbia, who officiated in administering the oath to the witness.	- L	8	Hybrid Electric Vehicles" 5 Curriculum Vitae	5 70	
10 19		ĥ	o 9	6 Supplemental Declaration	70 80	
20		ţ	0		00	
20		- L	1			
22		- 1	2			
23		- 1	3			
24		- 1	4			
25		- 1	5			
		- L				

		Page	5	Page
1		P R O C E E D I N G S	1	review the declaration, the prior art, and the patent.
2		(HANNEMANN Exhibits 1 through 4 were marked	2	Q When did you come here last week to meet with
3	for ide	ntification and retained by counsel.)	3	counsel?
1		HANNEMANN, having been duly sworn, testified as	4	A On Wednesday.
5	· ·	follows:)	5	Q How long were you here?
5		EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR PETITIONER	6	A Probably about most of the day, the normal
7		ANGILERI:	7	workday.
8	Q	Can you state your name for the record,	8	Q Did you leave at the end of the day?
9	please		9	A Yes.
)	A	Neil Hannemann.	10	Q Where did you go? Did you go back home?
1	0	Mr. Hannemann, the reporter has marked, as	11	A No, no, I stayed in Washington. I met for
2		is 1 through 4, documents that I expect we'll get	12	three days last week. I arrived here Wednesday and met
3	into to		13	Wednesday, Thursday, Friday.
4		What is Exhibit 1?	14	O With counsel?
5	Α	Exhibit 1 is the declaration that I prepared	15	A Yes.
5		s matter.	16	Q Did you prepare at all on Monday?
7	0	And this matter is IPR 2014-00570?	17	A Yes, I did.
, 3	Q A	That's correct.	18	Q Did you were you on any flights on Monday?
) 9	Q	Concerning strike that.	19	A Yeah, I flew back here Monday.
9 0	Q	-	20	O From where?
1		What's Exhibit 2?	21	A I was actually in Texas.
	A	Exhibit 2 is U.S. Patent 8,214,097.	22	-
2	Q	And that's the patent that's at issue in this	22	Q So you were in Washington, D.C., Wednesday,
3	IPR?			Thursday, Friday of last week meeting with counsel for
4 5	A Q	That's correct. We sometimes call it the '097 patent?	24 25	Paice? A Yes.
		Page	6	Page
1		_	1	
	A	That's correct.	2	Q And then you left Washington, D.C.?
2	Q	What is Exhibit 3?	3	A Yes.
3		It's Patent Number 5,343,970.	4	Q And then you came back on Monday?
4	Q	Sometimes we call that the '970 patent?		A That's correct.
5	A	That's correct.	5	Q Who did you meet with on Wednesday, Thursday
6	Q	That's part of the prior art that's at issue	6	and Friday last week?
7	in this I		7	A Well, at various times Mr. Guarnieri,
3	Α	Yes, it is.	8	Mr. Marcus, and Ms. Kordziel.
9	Q	What is Exhibit 4?	9	MR. GUARNIERI: For the record I think he's
0		It's an SAE paper, 950493, titled "The Effects	10	referring to Mr. Livedalen, Brian.
1		J Characteristics on the Design of Hybrid Control	11	THE WITNESS: Oh, sorry.
2		gies for Hybrid Electric Vehicles."	12	Q How did you prepare the declaration that's
3	Q	One of the named authors is a person named	13	been marked as Exhibit 1?
4	Catheri	ne Anderson. Correct?	14	A Quite a while ago, I reviewed all the material
5	Α	That's correct.	15	that was the prior art and the patent and had I came
6	Q	And as a result, we sometimes refer to this as	16	here to Washington to meet with counsel and discuss all
7	the And	derson paper or just Anderson?	17	the elements, the technical matters.
8	Α	Yes.	18	And then I worked with counsel to, you know,
9	Q	What did you do to prepare for this deposition	19	draft up various portions of this, or add or work through
0	and the	e depositions that you've had yesterday and today?	20	a draft until arriving at this product.
1	Α	Well, I	21	Q Did counsel prepare first drafts of any
2		MR. GUARNIERI: And I'll just caution him not	22	portions of Exhibit 1?
3	to get i	nto any privileged communications.	23	A Yeah, there were some portions that they
4		But you can answer.	24	drafted up initially.
		I came here last week to meet with counsel and	25	Q Do you know which portions?

	Page 9		Page 1
1	A You know, I might be able to pick some out.	1	declarations?
2	I'm not sure I would be getting all of them correct.	2	A I really don't have any idea, as I sit here.
3	Q Which portions of Section 8 did counsel	3	Q Do you know how much time you spent on all six
Į	prepare the first draft of?	4	of those proceedings combined?
5	A That's one where I'm not sure which section	5	A Not really.
5	started with counsel or myself.	6	Q Do you know how much you've billed Paice for
7	Q Can you identify any section that you prepared	7	these six proceedings?
3	the first draft of?	8	A I would have invoices, but I don't have those
)	A Yeah. My memory is probably not that good to	9	with me.
)	go back and define it to that level of detail.	10	Q Can you estimate to within \$100,000 of how
L	Q The other declarations that we marked in 571	11	much you've billed Paice?
2	and 579 depositions that were yesterday and today do	12	A Sure. I think it's pretty safe to say it's
3	you recall those declarations?	13	less than \$100,000.
1	A Yes.	14	Q Is it less than \$50,000?
5	Q Were they prepared in a similar manner as the	15	A It's really hard to say without checking, but
;	manner you just described	16	it's likely that it is.
,	A Yeah.	17	Q Is it less than \$25,000?
	Q in Exhibit 1?	18	A Probably not.
)	A Yeah, in a similar manner.	19	Q Can you look at Exhibit 3, please?
)	Q And for those two declarations, can you recall	20	A (Witness complies.)
	any section that you prepared the first draft of?	21	Q Exhibit 3 is the '970 patent. Correct?
	A Some of the sections and probably the more	22	A Yes.
3	technical sections are probably ones that I prepared.	23	Q The '970 patent describes a parallel system.
1	And, certainly, my background is something that I	24	Is that correct?
5	started.	25	A Yes, it does.
1 2	Q Is there anything specifically that you can identify as preparing the first draft of other than your	1 2	Q Does the '970 patent have a mode where only the motor is used to propel the vehicle?
3	background?	3	A Yes, it does.
1	A Specifically in this declaration, or are you	4	Q And does it have a mode where only the engine
5	talking the other ones also?	5	is used to propel the vehicle?
5	Q Any of the three.	6	A Yes, it does.
7	A Any of them. I just don't remember which ones	7	Q Does it have a mode where the engine and the
3	I started or somebody else may have started.	8	motor are both used to propel the vehicle?
)	Q How much time did you spend preparing or	9	A Yes, it does.
)	working on strike that.	10	Q When the motor is used to propel the vehicle,
	How much time did you spend strike that.	11	is the engine disconnected from the wheels through a
2	How much time have you spent in these three	12	clutch?
3	proceedings, 570, 571 and 579?	13	MR. GUARNIERI: I'm going to object to the
1	A I don't think I've really tracked my time for	14	extent it calls for speculation.
5	each proceeding, so that's hard to say.	15	A Figure 3 shows a clutch, and up through
5	Q What about the three proceedings combined?	16	Figure 9 shows a clutch. So at least the ones disclosed
7	A Well, these have overlapped with three other	17	in those figures appear to use a clutch to disconnect the
3	proceedings that are ongoing now, so it would be hard to	18	engine.
	really break that out.	19	Q Is it true that in order to run in the
)	Q Can you tell me how much time you've spent on	20	motor-only mode for the '970 patent, you actually have to
	- ,	21	disconnect the engine from the wheels?
)	the strike that.	K T	
) 1	the strike that. How many proceedings have you worked on for	22	A Are you speaking generically or in the scope
9 D 1 2 3			
) L 2	How many proceedings have you worked on for	22	 A Are you speaking generically or in the scope of this patent? Q In the '970 patent.

	Page 13		Page 15
1	specifically requires the clutch be disconnected.	1	total power required. So they're both providing less.
2	Q If the clutch doesn't disconnect the engine,	2	Q Do you agree that the '970 patent can enter an
3	would you agree, then, that the engine would always have	3	acceleration hill-climbing mode before it reaches the
4	to be running?	4	engine's MTO or minimum torque output?
5	A Unless there's some mechanism within what they	5	A Yeah. According to the '970, it enters that
6	describe as a torque transfer unit that could allow the	6	mode based on vehicle speed.
7	unit to	7	Q And that can I don't agree with you there,
8	THE REPORTER: A torque trans?	8	but you agree that can happen before the engine reaches
9	THE WITNESS: Torque transfer unit.	9	its maximum torgue output or MTO?
10	Q Do you agree that for the to run in a	10	MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Form.
11	motor-only mode, somehow strike that.	11	A Yeah. And I guess the word "reaching" implies
12	Do you agree that for the '970 to run in the	12	that the engine is already on. So if the engine is not
13	motor-only mode and not strike that.		already on, it's not going to reach any torque.
14	Do you agree that for the '970 patent to run	14	Q Are you saying that the '970 can enter
15	in the motor-only mode, it somehow has to disconnect the		acceleration hill-climbing mode by starting with the
16	engine from the wheels in order to do that?		motor and then adding the engine?
17	A I would think that's a reasonable thing to do.	17	A I think that's one way it can enter that mode,
18	I just don't see where it specifically states that.		yes.
19	Q Do you think that's how a person of ordinary	19	-
20			
20 21	skill in the art would understand the '970 patent?		the motor and the engine in a situation where the engine
21 22	A I think in the presence of the clutch, that's	22	has not yet hit its maximum torque output. Correct?
	how someone would understand it operated.		A Yeah. I think that there's points in the
23	Q So just to be clear, a person of skill in the	1	high-speed acceleration/hill-climbing mode where the
24	art would understand that when the '970 patent is running	24	engine is not at its maximum torque.
25	in motor-only mode, it's using the clutch to disconnect	25	Q And you're using the motor and the engine at
	Page 14		Page 16
1	the engine from the wheels. Do you agree with that	1	those times. Right?
2	statement?	2	A Yes.
3	A Yes, I do.	3	Q Do hybrid vehicles use AC motors or DC motors?
4	Q Do you agree that an object of the invention	4	A I would say most of them use AC motors
5	of the '970 patent is reducing emissions?	5	currently. There have been some that have used DC motors
6	A I think that's an aspirational goal, to reduce	6	in the past.
7	emissions, yes.	7	Q In the past, were DC motors a better fit?
8	Q In fact, if you look at Column 5, Lines 24 to	8	MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Vague.
9	30, isn't that the first stated object of the invention	9	A Yeah. It depends on the design goals and the
10	in the '970 patent?	10	design criteria.
11	A Yes.	11	Q Which is a better which is better to use?
12	Q Do you agree that in the acceleration		AC motors or DC motors?
13	hill-climbing mode of the '970 patent, the motor is used	13	MR. GUARNIERI: Same objection. Vague.
14	to supplement the engine?	14	A Yeah. It depends on what's important in your
15	MR. GUARNIERI: Objection. Assumes facts not		design. If it's performance, weight, cost, all those
16	in evidence and calls for speculation.		issues can drive a different design decision.
17	A Yeah, I agree in the high-speed	17	Q Is a person of ordinary skill in the art going
18			
10 19	acceleration/hill-climbing mode, both the internal		to consider those design criteria, that you just mentioned, when making a choice between AC and DC motors?
20	combustion engine and the electric motor provide torque to the road wheels.	20	
20 21			A Yeah. I think every choice designing a car
	Q Do you agree, then, that in that situation,		has cost, weight, and performance implications.
22	the engine is providing less than the amount of torque	22	Q So would a person of ordinary skill in the art
23	required to operate the vehicle?		consider the factors you identified in choosing between
24	A Yeah. You have torque coming from both the		AC and DC motors?
25	engine and the motor, so neither one is providing the	25	A Those are some of the factors they would

DOCKET A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.