

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FORD MOTOR COMPANY
Petitioner,

v.

PAICE LLC & ABELL FOUNDATION, INC.
Patent Owners.

U.S. Patent No. 8,214,097 to Severinsky *et al.*
IPR Case No. IPR2014-01415

**DECLARATION OF DR. JEFFREY L. STEIN IN SUPPORT OF
PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

Table of Contents

Updated Exhibit List6

I. Introduction.....9

 A. Paice’s argument focuses on issues that are beyond the scope of this IPR9

 B. Disputed claims11

II. Ground 1: Claims 1-2, 5-6, 8–12, 15-16, 18–22, 25-26 and 28-29 are obvious over Severinsky ’970 in view of Anderson12

 A. Independent Claims 1, 11 and 2112

 1. Anderson discloses “using the electric motor to provide additional torque when the rate of increase of engine output torque is limited”16

 2. Severinsky ’970 teaches starting and stopping the engine based on road load or torque required to operate the vehicle21

 3. [1.3, 11.3 and 21.5] employing said controller to control the engine such that a rate of increase of output torque of the engine is limited to less than said inherent maximum rate of increase of output torque, and26

 a. Anderson’s hybrid strategy that only allows slow transients refers to variables subject to control26

 b. Anderson’s hybrid strategy that only allows slow transients refers to slow engine torque transients.....33

 B. Rationale to Combine Severinsky ’970 and Anderson36

 1. Anderson does not teach away from using an engine control strategy employing slow transients in a parallel hybrid system43

 a. Anderson’s control strategies apply to parallel HEVs.....43

b.	Anderson’s hybrid strategy that allows only slow transients would work with a parallel hybrid because engine transients occur frequently and are unpredictable in a parallel hybrid	45
c.	Anderson’s statement that a parallel hybrid vehicle “always uses” the following mode does not teach away from employing slow transients in all parallel hybrid engine control strategies.....	48
d.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that Severinsky ’970’s HEV mode selection strategy includes modes that fall somewhere between Anderson’s extreme thermostat and follower modes	49
e.	Anderson provides a roadmap for modifying Severinsky ’970’s control strategy to employ Anderson’s engine control strategy of only allowing slow transients	52
2.	Anderson’s statement that “slow transients can be a serious problem during a transition from a hard acceleration to a hard braking” does not teach away from slow engine transients.....	55
3.	Severinsky ’970 does not teach away from operating at the stoichiometric ratio	57
III.	Ground 2: Claims 3, 13 and 23 are obvious over Severinsky ’970 in view of Anderson and further in view of Yamaguchi.....	59
A.	Rationale to combine Yamaguchi with Severinsky ’970 and Anderson	60
1.	Severinsky ’970’s disclosure of operating the engine at lower temperatures is unnecessary when controlling the engine to operate at the stoichiometric air-fuel ratio	60
2.	A person of ordinary skill in the art would have understood that Severinsky ’970’s disclosure of operating	

the engine at lower temperatures to reduce NOx emissions does not apply to starting conditions61

IV. Ground 3: Claims 4, 14 and 24 are obvious over Severinsky '970 in view of Anderson, Yamaguchi and further in view of Takaoka62

A. Takaoka's "entire range" includes starting conditions63

B. Paice's argument reads "cold-start" into the claims67

C. Rationale to combine Takaoka with Severinsky '970, Anderson and Yamaguchi.....68

V. Ground 4: Claims 30 and 34 are obvious over Severinsky '970 in view of Takaoka69

A. Independent claim 3069

1. [30.7] wherein said controller controls said engine such that a rate of increase of output torque of said engine is limited to less than said inherent maximum rate of increase of output torque, and.....69

a. Takaoka's control strategy for reducing engine load fluctuation is not referring to the design of an engine.....69

b. Paice's characterized Takaoka as teaching a control strategy during the prosecution of the '347 Patent72

2. [30.8] wherein the controller is operable to limit the rate of change of torque produced by the engine such that combustion of fuel within the engine occurs at a substantially stoichiometric ratio.73

a. Takaoka's control strategy for reducing engine load is simply another way of saying that the rate of change of engine torque is controlled to maintain combustion at a stoichiometric ratio73

B. Rationale to combine - Modifying Severinsky '970 control strategies to include Takaoka's engine control strategies to maximize fuel economy and reduce emissions74

1. Severinsky '970 does not teach away from operating at the stoichiometric ratio74

2. Severinsky '970 does not teach away from Takaoka's "underpowered" engine77

VI. Conclusion79

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.