

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.

Petitioners

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.
Patent Owner

DECLARATION OF GEERT KNAPEN
in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 6,249,825

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD
Patent Trial and Appeal Board
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

EXHIBIT 1012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	QUALIFICATIONS	1
III.	MATERIALS CONSIDERED AND PREPARED	5
IV.	SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	5
V.	LEGAL PRINCIPLES USED IN ANALYSIS	7
A.	Patent Claims in General	8
B.	Prior Art	9
C.	Unpatentability – Anticipation	10
D.	Unpatentability -- Obviousness	11
VI.	BACKGROUND OF THE PATENT AND RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY	14
VII.	THE ‘825 PATENT	16
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	22
IX.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	24
A.	Patent Owner’s Admitted Prior Art (“APA”)	24
B.	U.S. Patent No. 6,073,193 to Yap (“Yap”)	28
C.	U.S. Patent No. 5,628,028 to Michelson (“Michelson”)	31
D.	PCCextend 100 User’s Manual (“PCCextend”)	33
E.	U.S. Patent No. 5,862,393 to Davis (“Davis”)	36
X.	UNPATENTABILITY ANALYSIS	41
A.	The Claims of the ‘825 Patent	41
B.	Claims 1, 5, 7, 10, 11, and 15-17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over APA in view of Yap	44

1.	Independent Claim 1	44
2.	Dependent Claim 5	52
3.	Dependent Claim 7	53
4.	Dependent Claim 10	55
5.	Independent Claim 11	57
6.	Dependent Claim 15	57
7.	Dependent Claim 16	58
8.	Dependent Claim 17	59
C.	Claims 2, 3, 12, and 13 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over APA in view of Yap and Michelson	60
1.	Dependent Claim 2	60
2.	Dependent Claim 3	63
3.	Dependent Claim 12	64
4.	Dependent Claim 13	64
D.	Claims 1-3, 10, 11-13, and 17 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Michelson in view of PCCextend and Davis	65
1.	Independent Claim 1	65
2.	Dependent Claim 2	73
3.	Dependent Claim 3	74
4.	Dependent Claim 10	74
5.	Independent Claim 11	75
6.	Dependent Claim 12	75
7.	Dependent Claim 13	76

8.	Dependent Claim 17	76
E.	Claims 5, 7, 15, and 16 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Michelson in view of PCCextend, Davis, and the APA	78
1.	Dependent Claim 5	78
2.	Dependent Claim 7	80
3.	Dependent Claim 15	82
4.	Dependent Claim 16	84
F.	Claims 18-20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Yap	85
1.	Independent Claim 18	85
2.	Dependent Claim 19	88
3.	Dependent Claim 20	88
G.	Claims 18 and 20 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by Davis	89
1.	Independent Claim 18	89
2.	Dependent Claim 20	92
H.	Claim 19 is unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over Davis in view of APA	93
1.	Dependent Claim 19	93

I, Geert Knapen, hereby declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I am currently a consultant at Design & Advice L.L.C.

2. I have been retained in this matter by Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C. (“Rothwell Figg”) to provide various opinions regarding U.S. Patent No. 6,249,825 (the “‘825 patent”). I am being compensated for my work in this matter. My compensation in no way depends upon the outcome of this proceeding.

3. I have been advised that Rothwell Figg represents LG Electronics, Inc. (“LGE”), LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc. (“LGE USA”), and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc. (“LGE Mobilecomm”) (collectively “LG”) in this matter. I have no financial interest in LGE, LGE USA, nor LGE Mobilecomm.

4. I have been advised that Cypress Semiconductor Corp. owns the ‘825 Patent. I have no financial interest in the ‘825 patent.

II. QUALIFICATIONS

5. I received a Master of Science in Electrical Engineering in 1981 from Vrije Universiteit Brussel (V.U.B.) (Brussels Free University) at the Department of Applied Sciences.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.