

# EXHIBIT 2002

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.  
Petitioner

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.  
Patent Owner

---

Patent 6,249,825

---

PETITION

to Institute an *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,249,825  
under 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 *et seq.*

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
*Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System*

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES ..... v

EXHIBIT LIST ..... vii

I. § 42.22(a)(1) — A STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED ..... 1

II. § 42.104(a) – GROUNDS FOR STANDING ..... 1

III. MANDATORY NOTICES ..... 2

IV. § 42.104(b) – IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES ..... 3

    A. § 42.104(b)(1) -(2)—Claims, Statutory Grounds, and Prior Art ..... 3

V. INTRODUCTION ..... 4

    A. § 42.104(b)(3)—How the Challenged Claims Are To Be Construed ..... 6

VI. SUMMARY OF THE '825 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY ..... 9

    A. Overview ..... 9

    B. The '825 Patent ..... 9

    C. Prosecution History ..... 14

        1. The '103 Patent ..... 14

        2. The '825 Patent ..... 16

        3. The '770 Patent ..... 17

VII. § 42.104(b)(4) – HOW THE CONSTRUED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE ..... 19

    A. Ground #1: Claims 1, 5, 7, 10, 11, and 15-17 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over APA and Yap ..... 19

        1. Admitted Prior Art ..... 19

        2. Claim 1 ..... 22

            a. “1. A system for reconfiguring a peripheral device having a first configuration connected by a computer bus and a port to a host computer, the system comprising:” ..... 22

b.	“a first circuit configured to download information for a second configuration from the host computer into the peripheral device over the computer bus; and”	23
c.	“a second circuit configured to electronically simulate a physical disconnection and reconnection of the peripheral device over said computer bus to reconfigure the peripheral device to said second configuration.”	25
3.	Claim 5	28
4.	Claim 7	29
5.	Claim 10	30
6.	Claim 11	31
7.	Claim 15	31
8.	Claim 16	32
9.	Claim 17	32
B.	Ground #2: Claims 2, 3, 12, and 13 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over APA, Yap, and Michelson	33
1.	Claim 2	33
2.	Claim 3	35
3.	Claim 12	36
4.	Claim 13	36
C.	Ground #3: Claims 1-3, 10, 11-13, and 17 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over Michelson, PCCextend, and Davis	36
1.	Claim 1	36
a.	“1. A system for reconfiguring a peripheral device having a first configuration connected by a computer bus and a port to a host computer, the system comprising:”	36
b.	“a first circuit configured to download information for a second configuration from the host computer into the peripheral device over the computer bus; and”	38

c.	“a second circuit configured to electronically simulate a physical disconnection and reconnection of the peripheral device over said computer bus to reconfigure the peripheral device to said second configuration.” .....	39
2.	Claim 2 .....	43
3.	Claim 3 .....	44
4.	Claim 10 .....	44
5.	Claim 11 .....	45
6.	Claim 12 .....	45
7.	Claim 13 .....	45
8.	Claim 17 .....	45
D.	Ground #4: Claims 5, 7, 15, and 16 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Michelson, PCCextend, Davis, and the APA .....	47
1.	Claim 5 .....	47
2.	Claim 7 .....	48
3.	Claim 15 .....	50
4.	Claim 16 .....	51
E.	Ground #5: Claims 18-20 are anticipated by Yap under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) .....	51
1.	Claim 18 .....	51
a.	“A system for simulating a disconnection and reconnection of a peripheral device connected by a computer bus and a port to a host computer, the system comprising:” .....	51
b.	“a first circuit configured to detect the peripheral device connected to the port; and” .....	52
c.	“a second circuit configured to electronically simulate a physical disconnection and reconnection of the peripheral device over said computer bus.” .....	52
2.	Claim 19 .....	54
3.	Claim 20 .....	54

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.