

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,  
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.  
Petitioners

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.  
Patent Owner

---

Patent 6,012,103

---

PETITION  
to Institute an *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,103  
*under 37 C.F.R. § 42.100 et seq.*

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
PO Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450  
*Submitted Electronically via the Patent Review Processing System*

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES.....	VI
EXHIBIT LIST .....	VIII
I. § 42.22(A)(1)— A STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED .....	1
II. § 42.104(A) – GROUNDS FOR STANDING .....	1
III. MANDATORY NOTICES .....	2
IV. § 42.104(B) – IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGES .....	3
A. § 42.104(b)(1)-(2)—Claims, Statutory Grounds, and Prior Art .....	3
V. INTRODUCTION .....	4
A. § 42.104(b)(3)—How the Challenged Claims Are To Be Construed .....	6
VI. SUMMARY OF THE '103 PATENT AND ITS PROSECUTION HISTORY .....	10
A. Overview.....	10
B. The '103 Patent .....	10
C. Prosecution History.....	15
1. The '103 Patent .....	15
2. The '825 Patent .....	16

VII. § 42.104(B)(4) – HOW THE CONSTRUED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE .....	20
A. Ground #1: Claims 14, 18, 19, 20, 23, and 24-27 of the '103 Patent are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)(Pre-AIA) over APA and Yap .....	20
1. Admitted Prior Art.....	20
2. Claim 14.....	23
3. Claim 18.....	30
4. Claim 19.....	31
5. Claim 20.....	32
6. Claim 23.....	33
7. Claim 24.....	35
8. Claim 25.....	38
9. Claim 26.....	38
10. Claim 27.....	39
B. Ground #2: Claims 15 and 16 of the '103 Patent are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)(Pre-AIA) over the APA, Yap, and Michelson .....	40
1. Claim 15.....	40
2. Claim 16.....	42
C. Ground #3: Claims 14-16, 18 and 23-26 are Obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 (pre-AIA) over Michelson, PCCextend, and Davis.....	43

..

LG's Petition for IPR of U.S. Patent No. 6,012,103

1.	Claim 14.....	43
2.	Claim 15.....	50
3.	Claim 16.....	51
4.	Claim 18.....	51
5.	Claim 23.....	52
6.	Claim 24.....	52
7.	Claim 25.....	56
8.	Claim 26.....	56
D.	Ground 4: Claims 19, 20, and 27 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103, as being obvious over Michelson, PCCextend, Davis, and the APA .....	57
1.	Claim 19.....	57
2.	Claim 20.....	58
3.	Claim 27.....	60
VIII.	CONCLUSION.....	60

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES****Cases**

<i>In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr.</i> , 367 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2004).....	6
--	---

<i>Intri-Plex Technologies Inc. v. Saint-Gobain Perf. Plastics Rencol Ltd.</i> , Case No. IPR 2014-00309, 2014 WL 2623456 (P.T.A.B. June 10, 2014). .....	20
--	----

<i>Multiform Dessicants, Inc. v. Medzam, Ltd.</i> , 133 F.3d 1473 (Fed. Cir. 1998).....	7
---	---

<i>York Prods., Inc. v. Central Tractor Farm &amp; Family Ctr.</i> , 99 F.3d 1568 (Fed. Cir. 1996).....	7
--	---

**Statutes**

35 U.S.C. § 103(a) .....	1, 3, 4
--------------------------	---------

35 U.S.C. § 311 .....	1
-----------------------	---

**Rules**

37 C.F.R. § 42.100 .....	1
--------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....	6
-----------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.101 .....	1
--------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(a).....	1
----------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.101(b) .....	1, 2, 3
-----------------------------	---------

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a).....	1
----------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(1).....	3
-------------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3).....	6
-------------------------------	---

37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4).....	20
-------------------------------	----

37 C.F.R. § 42.22(a)(1).....	1
------------------------------	---

..:

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.