UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC.,
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,
Petitioner

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORPORATION
Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-01386
Patent 6,012,103

PATENT OWNER CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP. RESPONSE

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. INTRODUCTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,012,103 2

A. Universal Serial Bus (USB) Technology 2

III. STATEMENT OF RELIEF REQUESTED 6

IV. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION 6

A. How The Claims Are To Be Interpreted 6

1. "Electronically Simulating A Physical Disconnection And Reconnection Of The Peripheral Device" (Claims 14 & 24) 7

2. "Computer [Peripheral] Bus" (Claim 14 & 24) 10

3. "Means For Physically Connecting A Peripheral Device To A Computer System Through The Computer Peripheral Bus, Wherein The Peripheral Device Has A First Configuration" 11

4. "Means For Receiving A Second Set Of Configuration Information From A Computer System Over The Computer Peripheral Bus And Port" 12

5. "Means For Electronically Simulating A Physical Disconnection And Reconnection Of The Peripheral Device To Reconfigure The Peripheral Device To A Second Configuration Based On The Second Set Of Configuration Information" 12

B. Prosecution History Disavowal 15

C. Petitioner's Comments Concerning Traversal In The File Histories 18

V. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART 19

A. Mr. Knapen Is Not A POSITA 19

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

VI. CLAIMS 14-16, 18, AND 23-26 ARE NOT OBVIOUS OVER MICHELSON, PCCEXTEND AND DAVIS 20

A. Michelson Does Not Teach A "Second Configuration" 20

1. The Challenged Claims Require First and Second Configurations.....20

2. Michelson's CIS Data Stored In The EEPROM And FPGA Data Are Not First And Second Configurations.....21

B. Michelson Does Not Teach Selection Of A Second Set Of Configuration Information Based On An Identification Code Read From The Peripheral Device.....25

C. One Of Ordinary Skill In The Art Would Not Have Combined The PCMCIA References.....26

1. A POSITA Would Not Combine Michelson And PCCextend 26

a. Michelson Is Not A Testing Board.....27

b. The Manipulation Of Bus Signals With PCCextend Is Not Applicable To Michelson 28

c. Michelson's "Reset" Is Unrelated To PCCextend's "Reset".....31

d. Combining Michelson And PCCextend Would Result In An Inoperable System.....33

2. A POSITA Would Not Combine Michelson, PCCextend And Davis 35

D. The Combination Of Michelson, PCCextend And Davis Also Do Not Render Claim 24 Obvious Because They Do Not Disclose A "Means For Electronically Simulating A Physical Disconnection And Reconnection Of The Peripheral Device…".....38

E. The Combination Of PCMCIA References Cannot Invalidate Since Such Technology Was Disclaimed During Prosecution.....40

F. Claims 19, 20 And 27Are Not Obvious Over Michelson, PCCextend, Davis And The APA 40

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

1. The Petition And Declaration Lack A Rational Basis For Combining The PCMCIA References And USB Technology To Arrive At The Inventions Of Claims 19, 20 And 27 40

2. Petitioner Provides No Support For Its Assertion Of "Routine Engineering" 42

3. More Than "Routine Engineering" Is Required To Substitute A USB Bus For The PCMCIA Buses In The PCMCIA References 45

4. The Substitution Of A USB Bus For A PCMCIA Bus Would Not Yield A Predictable Variation 50

5. Petitioner's Reliance On Purported APA is improper 52

6. The APA Confirms A Low Level Of Skill In The Art 52

G. Objective Indicia Of Non-Obviousness 54

1. Industry Praise And Acceptance 54

2. Commercial Success 58

VII. CONCLUSION 60

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

Alberts v. Kappos, 917 F. Supp. 2d 94 (D.D.C. 2013) 53

Apple Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 725 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2013)..... 54, 56, 58

BAE Sys. Info. & Elec. Sys. Integration, Inc. v. Cheetah Omni, LLC, Case No. IPR2013-00175, (Paper 45, June 19, 2014), 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 4134, at *5, *6 7

Crocs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 598 F.3d 1294, 1310-11 (Fed. Cir. 2010) 58

Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd., 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988) 58

DeMarini Sports, Inc. v. Worth, Inc., 239 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2001) 18

Ekchian v. Home Depot, Inc., 104 F.3d 1299, 1304 (Fed. Cir. 1997).....15

Golight Inc. v. Wal-Mart Stores Inc., 355 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2004) 11

Graham v. John Deere, 383 U.S. 1 (1966)..... passim

In re Kahn, 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... 35, 40

Innovention Toys, LLC v. MGA Entm't, Inc., 637 F.3d 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2011) 53

Intri-Plex Techs., Inc., v. Saint-Gobain Performance Plastics Rencol Ltd., IPR2014-00309, at *9 (P.T.A.B., Mar. 23, 2014) 42

L-3 Commc'n Holdings, Inc. v. Power Survey, LLC, Case IPR2014-00832 (Patent 8,482,274 B2), Paper 9, Nov. 14, 2014 at *16-18 18

Laitram Corp. v. Rexnord, Inc., 939 F.2d 1533, 1538 (Fed. Cir. 1991).....14

Leo Pharm. Prods., Ltd. v. Rea, 726 F.3d 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013); *Institut Pasteur & Universite Pierre et Marie Curie v. Focarino*, 738 F.3d 1337, 1346 (Fed. Cir. 2013).....54

LinkedIn Corp. v. AvMarkets Inc., Case No. CBM2013-00025, (Paper 30, November 10, 2014), 2014 Pat. App. LEXIS 7747, at *10 7

DOCKET ALARM
Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS
Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS
Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS
Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.