

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

CYPRESS SEMICONDUCTOR CORP.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Cases<sup>1</sup>

IPR2014-01386 (Patent 6,012,103)  
IPR2014-01396 (Patent 6,249,825 B1)  
IPR2014-01405 (Patent 6,493,770 B1)

---

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, DONNA M. PRAISS, and  
PATRICK M. BOUCHER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PRAISS, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* —  
Steven Lieberman  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses issues that are identical in all three cases. We exercise our discretion to issue one Decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2014-01386 (Patent 6,012,103)  
IPR2014-01396 (Patent 6,249,825 B1)  
IPR2014-01405 (Patent 6,493,770 B1)

Petitioner, LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Electronics Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc., filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Steven Lieberman. Paper 12 (“Motion” or “Mot.”).<sup>2</sup> Petitioner also filed an affidavit of Steven Lieberman. Paper 13. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to the Motion.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), we may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, we require the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for us to recognize counsel *pro hac vice*, and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in the proceeding.

Upon review of Petitioner’s Motions and supporting evidence, we determine that Petitioner has demonstrated that Steven Lieberman has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in the above-identified proceedings. We also recognize that there is a need for Petitioner to have Mr. Lieberman involved in these proceedings.

Accordingly, Petitioner has established that there is good cause for admitting Steven Lieberman.

It is

ORDERED that Petitioner’s Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Steven Lieberman is granted; Mr. Lieberman is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in the above-identified proceedings;

---

<sup>2</sup> Petitioner filed identical Motions and supporting affidavit in each of the captioned proceedings. For brevity, we refer here to the papers in IPR2014-01386.

IPR2014-01386 (Patent 6,012,103)  
IPR2014-01396 (Patent 6,249,825 B1)  
IPR2014-01405 (Patent 6,493,770 B1)

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for the proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Steven Lieberman is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, and to be subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et. seq.*

IPR2014-01386 (Patent 6,012,103)  
IPR2014-01396 (Patent 6,249,825 B1)  
IPR2014-01405 (Patent 6,493,770 B1)

For Petitioner:

Jason Shapiro  
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, PC  
[jshapiro@rfem.com](mailto:jshapiro@rfem.com)

Soumya P. Panda  
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, PC  
[spanda@rfem.com](mailto:spanda@rfem.com)

For Patent Owner:

Robert R. Laurenzi  
Kaye Scholer LLP  
[robert.laurenzi@kayescholer.com](mailto:robert.laurenzi@kayescholer.com)

Jeffrey A. Miller  
Kaye Scholer LLP  
[jmillerPTAB@kayescholer.com](mailto:jmillerPTAB@kayescholer.com)