

1	<p>1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE</p> <p>2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD</p> <p>3 Case IPR2014-01366</p> <p>4 Patent 6,108,704 Cl</p> <p>5 -----</p> <p>6 SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG</p> <p>7 ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG</p> <p>8 TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC CISCO</p> <p>9 SYSTEMS, INC., and AVAYA, INC.,</p> <p>10 Petitioner,</p> <p>11 v.</p> <p>12 STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP, INC.,</p> <p>13 Patent Owner.</p> <p>14 -----</p> <p>15 DEPOSITION OF STUART STUBBLEBINE, Ph.D., a</p> <p>16 witness called by and on behalf of the</p> <p>17 Petitioners, taken pursuant to 37 C.F.R. 42.53,</p> <p>18 before Daria L. Romano, RPR, CRR and Notary</p> <p>19 Public in and for the Commonwealth of</p> <p>20 Massachusetts, at Mintz Levin Cohn Ferris</p> <p>21 Glovsky and Popeo P.C., One Financial Center,</p> <p>22 Boston, Massachusetts, on Tuesday, September 1,</p> <p>23 2015, commencing at 9:04 a.m. to p.m.</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	3
2	<p>1 APPEARANCES:</p> <p>2</p> <p>3 DLA PIPER LLP (US)</p> <p>4 (by Brian K. Erickson, Esq.)</p> <p>5 401 Congress Avenue</p> <p>6 Suite 2500</p> <p>7 Austin, Texas 78701-3799</p> <p>8 (512) 457-7000</p> <p>9 brian.erickson@dlapiper.com</p> <p>10 for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,</p> <p>11 Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and</p> <p>12 Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC.</p> <p>13</p> <p>14 MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY</p> <p>15 AND POPEO P.C.</p> <p>16 (by Michael C. Newman, Esq.)</p> <p>17 One Financial Center</p> <p>18 Boston, Massachusetts 02111</p> <p>19 (617) 542-6000</p> <p>20 mcnewman@mintz.com</p> <p>21 for Straight Path IP Group, Inc.</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	4
	<p>1 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR, LLP</p> <p>2 (by Jason H. Liss, Esq.)</p> <p>3 60 State Street</p> <p>4 Boston, Massachusetts 02106</p> <p>5 (617) 526-6699</p> <p>6 jason.liss@wilmerhale.com</p> <p>7 for Cisco Systems, Inc. and AVAYA Inc.</p> <p>8</p> <p>08:21:02 9</p> <p>10</p> <p>11</p> <p>12</p> <p>13</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	
	<p>1 INDEX</p> <p>2 Deposition of: Page</p> <p>3 STUART STUBBLEBINE, Ph.D.</p> <p>4 By Mr. Erickson 5</p> <p>5 By Mr. Newman 86</p> <p>6</p> <p>7</p> <p>8</p> <p>9 EXHIBITS</p> <p>10 No. Page</p> <p>11 Exhibit 1001 Patent 6,108,704 7</p> <p>12 Exhibit 2023 Declaration of Dr. Stuart</p> <p>13 Stubblebine 6</p> <p>14</p> <p>15</p> <p>16 *Original exhibits returned to Mr. Erickson</p> <p>17</p> <p>18</p> <p>19</p> <p>20</p> <p>21</p> <p>22</p> <p>23</p> <p>24</p> <p>25</p>	

5

1 PROCEEDINGS

09:04:36 2 MR. ERICKSON: For the record, per

09:04:37 3 our prior agreement, we've agreed that this will

09:04:41 4 be one consolidated deposition for all three

09:04:44 5 IPRs. So the testimony given today can be used

09:04:46 6 in IPRs 2014-01366, 01367, and 01368.

09:04:56 7 And if we refer to the asserted

09:04:59 8 patents in plural, we're referring to the '704

09:05:03 9 patent, '121 patent, and the '469 patent.

09:05:10 10 Counsel, do you have anything to add

09:05:12 11 to that?

09:05:12 12 MR. NEWMAN: No, I affirm that

09:05:14 13 that's our agreement.

09:05:17 14

09:05:17 15 STUART STUBBLEBINE, Ph.D.

16

17 a witness having been satisfactorily identified

18 by the production of a driver's license, was

19 first duly sworn, was examined and testified as

20 follows:

21

22 DIRECT EXAMINATION

09:05:18 23 BY MR. ERICKSON:

09:05:18 24 Q. Good morning, Dr. Stubblebine.

09:05:20 25 A. Good morning.

6

09:05:22 1 Q. Since 2000, what percentage of -- let

09:05:27 2 me withdraw that.

09:05:28 3 Is there any reason why you can't give

09:05:30 4 your full and complete best testimony today?

09:05:32 5 A. No.

09:05:33 6 Q. Okay. Since 2000, approximately how

09:05:35 7 much of your income is derived from expert

09:05:38 8 consulting?

09:05:40 9 A. 2000. That's tough to gauge. Do you

09:05:59 10 have a copy of my declaration which has my CV?

09:06:10 11 MR. ERICKSON: Let's go ahead and

09:06:11 12 mark this.

09:06:11 13 (Exhibit 2023 marked

09:06:11 14 for identification)

09:06:11 15 BY MR. ERICKSON:

09:06:44 16 Q. For the record, what you've been

09:06:46 17 handed has been marked as deposition

09:06:48 18 Exhibit 2023. It's also the Exhibit 2023

09:06:53 19 submitted in the 1366 IPR which is your

09:06:57 20 declaration related to the '704 patent.

09:07:17 21 A. Are you referring to time or income?

09:07:19 22 Q. Income.

09:07:28 23 (Pause)

09:07:29 24 A. It's tough to guess. I've never made

09:07:32 25 such a calculation. It would be a guess if I

7

09:07:36 1 came up with a number.

09:07:37 2 Q. All right. And to your knowledge, is

09:07:42 3 this a complete list of all the cases in which

09:07:45 4 you've served as an expert witness since 2000?

09:07:51 5 A. This is a little bit out of date.

09:08:06 6 The RSA matter is completed, so is the

09:08:11 7 T-Mobile matter. And then there's an additional

09:08:18 8 matter with Nomatics where I've had multiple

09:08:30 9 declarations, or at least one.

09:08:34 10 I'm trying to think if there's

09:08:36 11 anything else. That's all I can think of. So

09:08:42 12 it's just more of the most current is what would

09:08:46 13 be out of date.

09:08:47 14 Q. Okay. I'm going to hand you a copy of

09:09:04 15 the '704 patent.

09:09:07 16 MR. ERICKSON: Can you mark that as

09:09:09 17 Exhibit 1001.

09:09:11 18 (Exhibit 1001 marked

09:09:28 19 for identification)

09:09:28 20 BY MR. ERICKSON:

09:09:29 21 Q. So most of our time today will be

09:09:32 22 spent on these two exhibits, so keep those two

09:09:36 23 handy.

09:09:38 24 And first I want to direct you to

09:09:41 25 paragraph 33 of your declaration on the '704

8

09:09:45 1 patent.

09:09:55 2 A. I've got a clean copy of the '704

09:09:59 3 where the pages are just a little bit larger.

09:10:01 4 Q. Okay.

09:10:02 5 A. I've just compared the two, and

09:10:04 6 they're the same.

09:10:05 7 Q. Okay. If that's easier for you to

09:10:07 8 read, that's fine.

09:10:08 9 A. The print is a little bit larger.

09:10:11 10 Q. Okay.

09:10:13 11 A. And the same thing with the '121.

09:10:16 12 Q. Okay.

09:10:16 13 A. I haven't compared it since you

09:10:19 14 haven't handed that to me.

09:10:22 15 Q. Okay.

09:10:24 16 A. Paragraph 33?

09:10:25 17 Q. Correct.

09:10:29 18 The first sentence is, "I agree with

09:10:33 19 Straight Path's interpretation of 'connected to

09:10:36 20 the computer network' and 'online' as meaning

09:10:40 21 available for communication."

09:10:42 22 Do you see that sentence?

09:10:43 23 A. Yes.

09:10:43 24 Q. So in your opinion, the meaning of

09:10:46 25 those two quoted phrases is available for

<p style="text-align: right;">9</p> <p>09:10:50 1 communication?</p> <p>09:10:51 2 A. In the context of the asserted patents</p> <p>09:10:59 3 using those phrases, yes.</p> <p>09:11:01 4 Q. Okay. Turn to the '704 patent, column</p> <p>09:11:05 5 five. If you go to line 20 of column five, you</p> <p>09:11:26 6 see it states, "When either of processing units</p> <p>09:11:30 7 12, 22 logs onto the Internet via a dialup</p> <p>09:11:37 8 connection, a respective unit is provided a</p> <p>09:11:39 9 dynamically allocated IP address by a connection</p> <p>09:11:46 10 service provider."</p> <p>09:11:47 11 Do you see that?</p> <p>09:11:48 12 A. Yes.</p> <p>09:11:51 13 Q. Okay. So when the processing unit</p> <p>09:11:56 14 first logs onto the Internet and prior to</p> <p>09:11:59 15 receiving its IP address, is that processing</p> <p>09:12:06 16 unit available for communication?</p> <p>09:12:12 17 A. Which particular claim are you</p> <p>09:12:29 18 referring to?</p> <p>09:12:29 19 Q. This is claim one.</p> <p>09:13:41 20 (Pause)</p> <p>09:13:44 21 A. The claim one with respect to</p> <p>09:14:23 22 connected to the computer network or online</p> <p>09:14:26 23 relates, for example, to the first limitation</p> <p>09:14:31 24 where it's computer usable medium having program</p> <p>09:14:34 25 code embodied in the medium, the program code</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">11</p> <p>09:17:03 1 point, for example, where the connection server</p> <p>09:17:07 2 stores the address in the database and so on.</p> <p>09:17:15 3 That's the point when the -- that's the point</p> <p>09:17:32 4 when the connection server recognizes that the</p> <p>09:17:38 5 first process is online.</p> <p>09:17:47 6 Provided, though, provided that when</p> <p>09:17:50 7 we talk about online in the context of '704, it</p> <p>09:17:55 8 goes hand in hand always with the ability to</p> <p>09:18:00 9 manage -- the ability to track and manage</p> <p>09:18:04 10 offline signals or messages that's described in</p> <p>09:18:07 11 column six where when user logs off or goes</p> <p>09:18:11 12 offline from the Internet 24, the connection</p> <p>09:18:15 13 server 26 updates the status of the user in the</p> <p>09:18:18 14 database.</p> <p>09:18:20 15 So the point is, yes, there's an</p> <p>09:18:22 16 online point there, but it goes hand in hand</p> <p>09:18:25 17 with managing the notion of offline.</p> <p>09:18:30 18 Q. Okay. I want to try to parse that out</p> <p>09:18:34 19 a little bit.</p> <p>09:18:35 20 When processing unit 12 logs on the</p> <p>09:18:49 21 Internet but before it receives its dynamically</p> <p>09:18:54 22 allocated IP address, is the processing unit</p> <p>09:18:58 23 connected to the network?</p> <p>09:19:01 24 A. We have to look at connected to the</p> <p>09:19:04 25 network in the context of the claims. And so I</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">10</p> <p>09:14:43 1 comprising, and then now the part that I want to</p> <p>09:14:46 2 discuss, program code for transmitting to the</p> <p>09:14:49 3 server, a network protocol address received by</p> <p>09:14:55 4 the first process following connection to the</p> <p>09:14:59 5 computer network.</p> <p>09:15:01 6 So what's required here with respect</p> <p>09:15:05 7 to connected to the computer network and my</p> <p>09:15:11 8 agreement with the interpretation meaning</p> <p>09:15:14 9 available for communication here is you have --</p> <p>09:15:18 10 you would require the first process to have</p> <p>09:15:23 11 received the protocol address and following</p> <p>09:15:31 12 connection to the computer network, and then</p> <p>09:15:36 13 that code is transmitted to the server.</p> <p>09:15:40 14 So we see that -- like in lines 27, we</p> <p>09:16:04 15 see the first processing unit which has a</p> <p>09:16:09 16 process, and we see the transmission of E mail</p> <p>09:16:14 17 address and associated allocated IP address to</p> <p>09:16:17 18 the connection server on 26 going down through</p> <p>09:16:23 19 line I guess around 28 of column five.</p> <p>09:16:27 20 And so this is the point where that</p> <p>09:16:32 21 maps to the first claim limitation of -- that</p> <p>09:16:37 22 first aspect, the claim limitation which we were</p> <p>09:16:40 23 just talking about with respect to -- basically</p> <p>09:16:47 24 the way I interpret this is exactly what it</p> <p>09:16:53 25 says. And so it's at that point -- that's the</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">12</p> <p>09:19:08 1 interpreted or basically agreed with Straight</p> <p>09:19:12 2 Path's interpretation of connect to the network,</p> <p>09:19:15 3 and online means available for communication.</p> <p>09:19:19 4 And I took that in the context of the</p> <p>09:19:22 5 claim where the activity which I just described</p> <p>09:19:25 6 took place, and that's basically where that</p> <p>09:19:32 7 activity takes place.</p> <p>09:19:40 8 Q. In claim one, is the first process</p> <p>09:19:44 9 connected to the network prior to receiving the</p> <p>09:19:48 10 protocol address?</p> <p>09:20:14 11 (Pause)</p> <p>09:20:16 12 A. Claim one requires that the program</p> <p>09:21:00 13 code transmits to the server or network protocol</p> <p>09:21:04 14 address received by the first process following</p> <p>09:21:09 15 connection to the network.</p> <p>09:21:14 16 Can you repeat your question, please?</p> <p>09:21:15 17 Q. Yes. In claim one, is the first</p> <p>09:21:19 18 process connected to the computer network before</p> <p>09:21:22 19 it receives a network protocol address?</p> <p>09:21:26 20 A. I'm not aware of an embodiment where</p> <p>09:21:48 21 that's the situation.</p> <p>09:21:56 22 Q. Is it required by the claim?</p> <p>09:22:07 23 A. The first process has to have received</p> <p>09:22:22 24 the network protocol address following</p> <p>09:22:30 25 connection to the computer network.</p>

13

09:22:36 1 Q. And you're not aware of any embodiment
 09:22:43 2 that satisfies that limitation?
 09:22:48 3 A. I think you misinterpreted what I
 09:22:53 4 said. I mean, there are embodiments in the
 09:23:01 5 specification that satisfy these limitations.
 09:23:04 6 Q. Is there an embodiment disclosed in
 09:23:21 7 the '704 patent where the first process is
 09:23:26 8 connected to the computer network before it
 09:23:30 9 receives its network protocol address?
 09:23:39 10 (Pause)
 09:24:02 11 A. I'm not aware of an embodiment.
 09:24:07 12 Q. Going back to claim one.
 09:24:26 13 Claim one requires that the first
 09:24:28 14 process be connected to the computer network
 09:24:35 15 before it receives its network protocol address,
 09:24:39 16 correct?
 09:24:40 17 A. I'm sorry, it what?
 09:24:57 18 Q. Claim one requires that the first
 09:24:59 19 process be connected to the computer network
 09:25:02 20 before it receives its network protocol address,
 09:25:05 21 correct?
 09:25:46 22 (Pause)
 09:25:48 23 A. It says basically that there's code
 09:26:07 24 that -- there's program code for transmitting to
 09:26:10 25 the server a network protocol address received

14

09:26:13 1 by the first process following connection to the
 09:26:17 2 computer network.
 09:26:24 3 Q. Does that limitation require in your
 09:26:29 4 opinion that the first process be connected to
 09:26:31 5 the computer network before it receives its
 09:26:33 6 network protocol address?
 09:26:56 7 (Pause)
 09:27:02 8 A. It requires that following connection
 09:27:53 9 to the computer network, the program code
 09:27:57 10 transmits to the server network protocol address
 09:28:00 11 received by the first process.
 09:28:03 12 So at some point following connection
 09:28:05 13 to the computer network, there's a transmission
 09:28:08 14 of the protocol address received by the first
 09:28:11 15 process.
 09:28:23 16 Q. All right. So I understand it's your
 09:28:25 17 opinion that the transmission occurs after
 09:28:30 18 connection to the computer network, but my
 09:28:34 19 question is slightly different. My question is
 09:28:37 20 does claim one require that the first process be
 09:28:41 21 connected to the computer network before it
 09:28:43 22 receives its network protocol address?
 09:28:47 23 A. I just simply see the requirement that
 09:29:03 24 following -- following connection to the
 09:29:08 25 computer network -- yeah, basically the

15

09:29:12 1 requirement I see is the limitation explicitly
 09:29:16 2 of what's there, that there's a transmission of
 09:29:27 3 the network protocol address received by the
 09:29:30 4 first process following connection to the
 09:29:34 5 computer network.
 09:29:35 6 Q. This clause we've been repeating has
 09:29:45 7 three verbs, transmitting, received and
 09:29:49 8 following -- sorry, transmitting, received,
 09:29:55 9 following connection, at least.
 09:29:57 10 And I understand your testimony,
 09:29:59 11 please correct me if I'm wrong, I understand
 09:30:01 12 your testimony that in your opinion, the
 09:30:02 13 transmitting has to occur after the first
 09:30:07 14 process is connected to the computer network; is
 09:30:11 15 that correct?
 09:30:11 16 A. I'm sorry, can you repeat that? Just
 09:30:56 17 repeat what you said.
 09:31:00 18 Q. In your opinion, the transmitting has
 09:31:04 19 to occur following -- I'm sorry. Let me
 09:31:08 20 rephrase that.
 09:31:09 21 The transmitting occurs after the
 09:31:14 22 first process is connected to the computer
 09:31:17 23 network, correct?
 09:31:18 24 A. I discuss this whole issue in
 09:32:20 25 paragraph 35 of my report where I say, "The

16

09:32:24 1 claims require a network protocol address
 09:32:29 2 received 'following connection to the computer
 09:32:33 3 network.' If a computer is not considered
 09:32:35 4 'connected to the network' until it is
 09:32:37 5 'registered with a server,' then the computer
 09:32:40 6 would need to receive its network protocol
 09:32:44 7 address after it registered with the server.
 09:32:47 8 But in order to register with a server, the
 09:32:49 9 computer must already have its network protocol
 09:32:55 10 address. Thus," I go on to say, "if the term
 09:32:59 11 'connected to the computer network' / 'online'
 09:33:02 12 is construed to mean 'registered with a server'
 09:33:05 13 then either," I go on to talk, "neither WINS nor
 09:33:12 14 NetBIOS disclose the claim element 'a network
 09:33:17 15 protocol address received by the first process
 09:33:18 16 following connection to the computer network'
 09:33:20 17 because neither reference teaches 'a network
 09:33:23 18 protocol address received by the first process
 09:33:28 19 following registration with the server.'"
 09:33:51 20 Q. Are you finished? I don't want to cut
 09:33:54 21 you off.
 09:33:54 22 A. Just give me one second.
 09:33:57 23 Q. Okay.
 09:33:58 24 (Pause)
 09:33:59 25 A. Okay.

17

09:34:34 1 Q. So the second sentence in paragraph 35
 09:34:40 2 which states in your declaration "The claims
 09:34:43 3 require a network protocol address received
 09:34:49 4 'following connection to the computer network.'"
 09:34:56 5 Is it your opinion that claim one
 09:35:00 6 requires that the first process be connected to
 09:35:04 7 the computer network before it receives the
 09:35:06 8 network protocol address?
 09:35:20 9 (Pause)
 09:37:01 10 **A. The aspect of whether -- the aspect of**
 09:37:17 11 **connected to the computer network relates to**
 09:37:22 12 **this connection server managing -- basically**
 09:37:39 13 **being able to -- basically being able to provide**
 09:37:46 14 **a network protocol address of the second process**
 09:37:53 15 **from the server when the second process is**
 09:37:55 16 **connected to the computer network. And so from**
 09:38:03 17 **the context of the first process or any**
 09:38:09 18 **process -- basically, at least with respect to**
 09:38:14 19 **the first process.**
 09:38:15 20 **The second process would had to have**
 09:38:22 21 **provided -- basically the network protocol**
 09:38:32 22 **address of the second process would had to have**
 09:38:39 23 **been provided when the second process is**
 09:38:41 24 **connected to the computer network.**
 09:38:50 25 Q. My question right now is just focused

18

09:38:52 1 on the first process and the order, if any,
 09:38:56 2 that's required by claim one between the first
 09:39:01 3 process becoming connected to the computer
 09:39:03 4 network and the first process receiving its
 09:39:09 5 network protocol address.
 09:39:10 6 And so I just want to know your
 09:39:15 7 opinion on whether the claims require that the
 09:39:19 8 first process be connected to the computer
 09:39:24 9 network before receiving the network protocol
 09:39:27 10 address?
 09:39:27 11 **A. I guess my point is that this notion**
 09:39:31 12 **of connected to the computer network and online**
 09:39:43 13 **with respect to being available for**
 09:39:44 14 **communication, you can look at this from the**
 09:39:49 15 **perspective of the entity.**
 09:39:55 16 **If the server hasn't received the**
 09:40:01 17 **network protocol address, for example, of a**
 09:40:04 18 **process such as the second process, then you**
 09:40:12 19 **have a scenario that at least from the**
 09:40:15 20 **perspective of the server, you can have an**
 09:40:26 21 **aspect where it appears that the first process**
 09:40:32 22 **is not online from the perspective of the**
 09:40:38 23 **server.**
 09:40:41 24 **So I think with respect to your**
 09:40:43 25 **question, kind of looking at the perspectives of**

19

09:40:49 1 **the entities in the system is relevant when you**
 09:40:53 2 **look at the different uses of online and**
 09:40:56 3 **connected to the network in this claim.**
 09:41:06 4 Q. Do you have an opinion as to whether
 09:41:11 5 claim one requires that the first process be
 09:41:15 6 connected to the computer network before it
 09:41:17 7 receives its network protocol address?
 09:41:28 8 **A. The claim -- I don't believe I**
 09:41:31 9 **expressed an opinion like that in my**
 09:41:35 10 **declaration. I didn't express -- basically what**
 09:41:38 11 **I expressed was I think what I read you and**
 09:41:40 12 **wrote in paragraphs 33, 34, that section and**
 09:41:46 13 **then the following section and possibly**
 09:41:48 14 **elsewhere, and I'm looking at just simply what's**
 09:41:52 15 **required of the claim limitations.**
 09:42:03 16 **And I'm also adding that you really**
 09:42:04 17 **need to look at -- when you look at these terms,**
 09:42:07 18 **you need to look at the perspective of the**
 09:42:10 19 **entities -- the limitations and the entities**
 09:42:13 20 **involved.**
 09:42:22 21 Q. The limitation that states a network
 09:42:30 22 protocol address received by the first process
 09:42:32 23 following connection to the computer network,
 09:42:35 24 which perspective is applicable to that
 09:42:40 25 limitation?

20

09:42:41 1 MR. NEWMAN: Objection.
 09:43:25 2 (Pause)
 09:44:51 3 **A. There's a couple of aspects going on**
 09:44:56 4 **here in that you have an embodiment; for**
 09:45:01 5 **example, in column five where a dynamically**
 09:45:09 6 **allocated IP address is provided by a connection**
 09:45:16 7 **service provider. And the first processing unit**
 09:45:27 8 **in column five, line 27 automatically transmits**
 09:45:35 9 **its associated E mail address and it's**
 09:45:37 10 **dynamically allocated IP address to the**
 09:45:39 11 **connection server at 26.**
 09:45:46 12 **The connection server then stores the**
 09:45:48 13 **address and database and time stamps the stored**
 09:45:51 14 **address using a timer thus establishing -- the**
 09:45:55 15 **first user operating the first processing unit**
 09:45:57 16 **is thus 12, so I'm just reading from the patent,**
 09:46:01 17 **is thus established in the database 34 as an**
 09:46:05 18 **active online party available for communication**
 09:46:08 19 **using disclosed point-to-point communications.**
 09:46:12 20 **And so from the perspective of -- from**
 09:46:23 21 **the perspective of the server, at this point**
 09:46:36 22 **when you've been established as an active online**
 09:46:52 23 **party available for communication using the**
 09:46:55 24 **disclosed point-to-point Internet protocol, at**
 09:47:01 25 **that point also in conjunction with managing**

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.