|  | MSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP  |  |  |   | 1-  |
|--|--|--|--|---|---|
| 1  | Page UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE   | 1  |  | INDEX   | Page  |
| 2  | BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD   | 2  | DEPOSITION OF  |   | PAGE  |
| 3  |  | 3  | HENRY HOUH, Pl   |   |   |
| 4  | Case IPR2014-01366; U.S. Patent No. 6,108,704  | 4  | EXAMINATION BY   |   | 6   |
| 5  | Case IPR2014-01367; U.S. Patent No. 6,009,469  | 5  | EXAMINATION BY   |   | 284   |
| 6  | Case IPR2014-01368; U.S. Patent No. 6,131,121  | 6  | DAMINITATION D   | TRUE ENTERBOIN  | X   |
| 7  |  | 7  |  | EXHIBITS  | ^   |
| 8  | Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,   | 8  | NO.  |   | PAGE  |
| 9  | Samsung Electronics America, Inc.  | 9  | Exhibit 1004   | Declaration of Henry Houh in  | 6   |
| 10   | & Samsung Telecommunications   | 10   |  | the '704 IPR  |   |
| 11   | America, LLC,  | 11   | Exhibit 1012   | Document titled "Microsoft  | 22  |
| 12   | Petitioners,   | 12   |  | Windows NT Server"  |   |
| 13   | v.   | 13   | Exhibit 1010   | Prior Markman Ruling  | 33  |
| 14   | Straight Path IP Group, Inc.,  | 14   | Exhibit 1014   | NetBIOS Reference   | 41  |
| 15   | Patent Owner.  | 15   | Exhibit 2017   | Document titled Modifying   | 141   |
| 16   | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | 16   |  | WINS server defaults  |   |
| 17   | DEPOSITION OF  |  | Exhibit 2013   | '704 patent   | 187   |
| 18   | HENRY HOUH, PH.D.  | 18   | Exhibit 2013   | '121 patent   | 187   |
| 19   | Tuesday, May 26, 2015 at 9:00 a.m.   | 19   | Exhibit 1001   | '469 patent   | 187   |
| 20   | DLA PIPER LLP  | 20   | Exhibit 2016   | -   | 191   |
| 21   |  | 21   | EXHIDIC 2010   | 4-3 Joint Claim Construction  | 191   |
|  | 33 Arch Street, 26th Floor   |  |  |   |   |
| 22   | Boston, Massachusetts 02110  | 22   |  | and Prehearing Statement"   |   |
| 23   |  | 23   |  |   |   |
| 24   | Reporter: Lori-Ann London, RPR   | 24   | *Original exh:   | bits attached to original tran  | script  |
|  | Page   | 2  |  |   | Page  |
| 1  | APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL   | 1  | P R  | OCEEDINGS   |   |
| 2  | On Behalf of Samsung   | 2  |  |   |   |
| 3  | By: Brian K. Erickson, Esquire   | 3  | HE   | NRY HOUH, Ph.D.,  |   |
| 4  | DLA PIPER LLP  | 4  | a witness call   | ed for examination by Straig  | ht Path,  |
| 5  | 401 Congress Avenue, Suite 2500  | 5  | having been  | satisfactorily identified by the  |   |
| 6  | Austin, Texas 78701-3799   | 6  | production of  | his Massachusetts driver's li   | cense,  |
| 7  | 512.457.7059 brian.erickson@dlapiper.com   | 7  | and duly swo   | rn by the Notary Public, was  | examine   |
| 8  |  | 8  | and testified a  | as follows:   |   |
| 9  |  |  |  |   |   |
|  | On Behalf of Straight Path:  | 9  |  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introdu  | ice   |
| LO   | On Behalf of Straight Path:<br>By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire  |  |  |   | ice   |
|  | -  |  | MR.<br>ourselves.  |   |   |
| 1  | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire   | 10<br>11   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introdu  | traight   |
| L1<br>L2   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire   | 10<br>11<br>12   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Mic<br>Path, Bostor   | NEWMAN: Counsel, introdunael Newman, on behalf of S   | traight<br>ne is  |
| L1<br>L2<br>L3   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC   | 10<br>11<br>12   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Bostor<br>Nicholas Arn  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced analysis of Source of Mintz Levin. With rainington, and also in-house co  | traight<br>ne is<br>ounsel fo   |
| L1<br>L2<br>L3<br>L4   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center   | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Bostor<br>Nicholas Arn  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introdunael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r  | traight<br>ne is<br>ounsel fo   |
| L1<br>L2<br>L3<br>L4   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Mich<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.   | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced analysis of Source of Mintz Levin. With rainington, and also in-house co  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ding by  |
| L1<br>L2<br>L3<br>L4<br>L5<br>L6   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced<br>mael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>n, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor   | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ling by<br>n, with   |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17                                     | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, re   | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced analysis of Southeast Newman, on behalf of Southeast of Mintz Levin. With rangton, and also in-house conduct of Vandana Koelsch, is attended by Vandana Koelsch, is attended by New York of Southeast | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ling by<br>n, with   |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626<br>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18                               | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Bostor<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, re<br>Samsung.   | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduce<br>nael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ding by<br>n, with<br>petitione  |
| L1<br>L2<br>L3<br>L4<br>L5<br>L6<br>L7<br>L8   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626<br>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com<br>On Behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. and Avaya, Inc.:   | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19                         | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, ro<br>Samsung.<br>MR.  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduce<br>mael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>hington, and also in-house co<br>y, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and<br>LISS: Jason Liss, WilmerHa   | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ling by<br>n, with<br>petitione<br>ale,  |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                               | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626<br>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com<br>On Behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. and Avaya, Inc.:<br>By: Jason Liss, Esquire  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, ro<br>Samsung.<br>MR.  | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduce<br>nael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ling by<br>n, with<br>petitione<br>ale,  |
| 111<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21                        | <ul> <li>By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire</li> <li>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire</li> <li>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC</li> <li>One Financial Center</li> <li>Boston, Massachusetts 02111</li> <li>617.348.1626</li> <li>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com</li> </ul> On Behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. and Avaya, Inc.: <ul> <li>By: Jason Liss, Esquire</li> <li>WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP</li> </ul>                 | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21             | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, n<br>Samsung.<br>MR.<br>representing<br>petitioners.         | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced<br>nael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and<br>LISS: Jason Liss, WilmerHa<br>Cisco Systems, Inc. and Ava  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel fo<br>ding by<br>n, with<br>petitione<br>ale,<br>aya, Inc.,                            |
| 11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                   | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626<br>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com<br>On Behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. and Avaya, Inc.:<br>By: Jason Liss, Esquire<br>WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP<br>60 State Street  | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22       | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, re<br>Samsung.<br>MR.<br>representing<br>petitioners.<br>MR. | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduce<br>mael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and<br>LISS: Jason Liss, WilmerHa<br>Cisco Systems, Inc. and Ava<br>NEWMAN: Just for the reco  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel for<br>ding by<br>n, with<br>petitione<br>ale,<br>aya, Inc.,<br>ord, the               |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24 | By: Michael C. Newman, Esquire<br>Nicholas W. Armington, Esquire<br>MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC<br>One Financial Center<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02111<br>617.348.1626<br>mcnewman@mintz.com nwarmington@mintz.com<br>On Behalf of Cisco Systems, Inc. and Avaya, Inc.:<br>By: Jason Liss, Esquire<br>WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND DORR LLP<br>60 State Street<br>Boston, Massachusetts 02109<br>617.526.6699 jason.liss@wilmerhale.com | 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22<br>23 | MR.<br>ourselves.<br>Micl<br>Path, Boston<br>Nicholas Arn<br>Straight Path<br>telephone.<br>MR.<br>DLA Piper, ro<br>Samsung.<br>MR.<br>representing<br>petitioners.<br>MR. | NEWMAN: Counsel, introduced<br>nael Newman, on behalf of S<br>office of Mintz Levin. With r<br>nington, and also in-house co<br>, Vandana Koelsch, is attend<br>ERICKSON: Brian Ericksor<br>epresenting the witness and<br>LISS: Jason Liss, WilmerHa<br>Cisco Systems, Inc. and Ava  | traight<br>ne is<br>bunsel for<br>ling by<br>n, with<br>petitioner<br>ale,<br>aya, Inc.,<br>ord, the<br>t to this |

| SAMSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP   | 5–8   |
|---|---|
| SAMSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP         Page 5         1          2       01367, and 01368.         3          5          5          6          6          6          6          6          6          7          6          7       The pattent, md tiscussing the         7       The parties have also agreed to         10       attempt not be successful.         11       single day but have reserved a second day should         12       that attempt not be successful.         13       Anything to add, Counsel?         14  | <ul> <li>5–8</li> <li>Page 7</li> <li>1 declaration of Henry Houh in the '704 IPR, correct?</li> <li>2 A Yes. It's oh, this yes, this is</li> <li>3 declaration of that I wrote, and it's signed on</li> <li>4 page 56.</li> <li>5 Q It's a 67-page document. That's about</li> <li>6 right. There if you count the first couple of</li> <li>7 pages, it might only be 66.</li> <li>8 It's marked from page 1 to page 67.</li> <li>9 That's just for the record.</li> <li>10 A Oh, I see. Yes, 67 pages.</li> <li>11 Q Did you draft this declaration?</li> <li>12 MR. ERICKSON: I'm going to caution</li> <li>13 the witness, I mean, the the you can answer</li> <li>14 that with yes or no, but, you know, the the</li> <li>15 drafting process involved in the declaration is</li> <li>16 beyond the scope of discovery and protectable work</li> <li>17 product.</li> <li>18 So you can answer that that</li> <li>19 prefatory question yes or no, but I'm going to</li> <li>20 instruct you depending on the next question,</li> <li>21 it's likely I'll instruct you not to answer.</li> <li>22 But go ahead.</li> </ul> |
| 23 MR. LISS: And if I may add one   | 23 A There are portions that I I drafted,   |
| 24 thing, the those motions have not been granted,  | 24 yes.   |
| Page 6<br>1 so whereas Michael described an agreement by which<br>2 this deposition would apply to those, I'm not sure<br>3 what the effect would be if those motions are not<br>4 granted.<br>5 (Off record.)<br>6 EXAMINATION<br>7 BY MR. NEWMAN:<br>8 Q Good morning, Dr. Houh.<br>9 A Good morning, Mr. Newman.<br>10 Q Could you please state your full name for<br>11 the record?<br>12 A My name is Henry Houh, H-O-U-H is how<br>13 Houh's spelled.<br>14 Q And what's your date of birth?<br>15 A November 29th, 1967.<br>16 Q And where do you currently reside?<br>17 A I live in Lexington, Mass.<br>18 (Document exhibited to witness.)<br>19 (Off record.)<br>20 Q So I just handed you what is marked as<br>21 Samsung Exhibit 1004. Do you recognize this | Page 8 1 Q Are the statements in this declaration 2 true, to the best of your knowledge? 3 A Yes. 4 Q You mentioned that you drafted at least 5 portions of this. What portions did you draft? 6 MR. ERICKSON: I instruct the witness 7 not not to answer. It's beyond the scope of 8 discovery and protected under Federal Rule of Civil 9 Procedure 26. 10 MR. NEWMAN: To the extent that the 11 parties agree that that rule will apply to Straight 12 Path as well, I'll forego further questioning on 13 that matter. 14 MR. ERICKSON: That's fine. 15 BY MR. NEWMAN: 16 Q What's your current occupation? 17 A I do some technical consulting, and I 18 also run a children's STEM education center. It's 19 called "Einstein's Workshop." 20 Q Is that in Lexington? 21 A It's actually in Burlington, Mass.   |
| 21 Samsung Exhibit 1004. Do you recognize this 22 document?   | <ul> <li>A It's actually in Burlington, Mass.</li> <li>Q How long have you been doing that for?</li> </ul>  |
| 23 A Yes, I yes, I do.  | 23 A It depends how you count, but I started  |
| 24 Q And for the record, this is your   | 24 doing work with kids' robotics teams about five or   |
|   | 1   |

| SAMSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP  | 9–12   |
|--|--|
| Page 9   | Page 11  |
| 1 six years ago, and then I incorporated and and   | 1 Q What IPRs have you been involved in,   |
| 2 got a facility about three years ago.  | 2 besides this one?  |
| 3 Q That's interesting.  | 3 A There have been a number of them. I  |
| 4 The what, do the kids come in and  | 4 can't remember all of them. I certainly can't tell   |
| 5 learn about scientific concepts, that type of  | 5 you the numbers offhand.   |
| 6 thing?   | 6 Q Are they disclosed in your in your CV  |
| 7 A We have science classes, robotics  | 7 here? And your CV begins at page 60 of   |
| 8 classes, computer programming classes, 3D modeling   | 8 Exhibit 1004.  |
| 9 classes, all sorts of classes for kids of all ages.  | 9 A I don't see them on here. I usually have   |
| 10 Q Of all ages?  | 10 a separate thing that I list things like that. But  |
| 11 A Yes, preschool all the way through  | 11 it only says "trials and depositions," but I guess  |
| 12 adults, actually.   | 12 it's incomplete.  |
| 13 Q And is that your sole profession right  | 13 Q Let me see if we can fill it out.   |
| 14 now?  | 14 There there's been Apple versus Evolutionary  |
| 15 A Well, I do that as well as technical  | 15 Intelligence, correct?  |
| 16 consulting.   | 16 A That sounds right, yes.   |
| 17 Q And your technical consulting is done   | 17 Q And you represented the petitioner in   |
| 18 through the Houh Consulting?  | 18 that case?  |
| 19 A That's right.   | 19 A Yes, that's right.  |
| 20 Q And you've been doing that since 2009,  | 20 Q And there were a number of cases, right,  |
| 21 right?  | 21 68 in the '82 investigations or   |
| 22 A Thereabouts. I also did it before I   | 22 A I don't remember how many, but that   |
| 23 incorporated as a business; but, yes, I was doing   | 23 sounds right.   |
| 24 it maybe maybe a little bit earlier than that.  | 24 Q And there was Microsoft versus BE   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Page 10  | Page 12  |
| 1 I was employed by BBN where I was I started to   | 1 Technology, correct?   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>4 boy, BBN?</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>petitioner that I represented.</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>Q Have you always represented the</li> </ol>  |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q A number of times, right?</li> </ol>  | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q A number of times, right?</li> <li>A number of times, yes.</li> </ol>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> </ol>   |
| <ul> <li>1 I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>2 do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>4 boy, BBN?</li> <li>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>6 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>8 don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>9 it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>10 because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>11 well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>12 BBN.</li> <li>13 Q That's a great name.</li> <li>14 So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>15 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>16 Q A number of times, right?</li> <li>17 A A number of times, yes.</li> <li>18 Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>19 IPR before?</li> </ul>  | <ul> <li>1 Technology, correct?</li> <li>2 A That's correct.</li> <li>3 Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>5 A Yes.</li> <li>6 Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>8 A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>9 it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>10 Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>11 petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>12 Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>13 A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>14 petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>15 Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>16 petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>17 A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>18 I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> </ul>  |
| <ul> <li>1 I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>2 do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>4 boy, BBN?</li> <li>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>6 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>8 don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>9 it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>10 because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>11 well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>12 BBN.</li> <li>13 Q That's a great name.</li> <li>14 So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>15 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>16 Q A number of times, right?</li> <li>17 A A number of times, yes.</li> <li>18 Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>19 IPR before?</li> <li>20 A Yes, I have.</li> </ul>   | <ol> <li>Technology, correct?</li> <li>A That's correct.</li> <li>Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>again?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> <li>a half for IPRs.</li> <li>Q And so also there was Cisco versus AIP</li> </ol>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>IPR before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q So you'll understand that once you've</li> </ol>   | <ul> <li>1 Technology, correct?</li> <li>2 A That's correct.</li> <li>3 Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>5 A Yes.</li> <li>6 Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>8 A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>9 it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>10 Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>11 petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>12 Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>13 A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>14 petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>15 Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>16 petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>17 A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>18 I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> <li>19 a half for IPRs.</li> <li>20 Q And so also there was Cisco versus AIP</li> <li>21 Acquisitions, correct?</li> </ul>   |
| <ol> <li>I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>boy, BBN?</li> <li>THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>BBN.</li> <li>Q That's a great name.</li> <li>So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>IPR before?</li> <li>A Yes, I have.</li> <li>Q So you'll understand that once you've</li> <li>begun your testimony, you're not to consult with</li> </ol>   | <ul> <li>1 Technology, correct?</li> <li>2 A That's correct.</li> <li>3 Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>5 A Yes.</li> <li>6 Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>8 A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>9 it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>10 Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>11 petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>12 Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>13 A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>14 petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>15 Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>16 petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>17 A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>18 I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> <li>19 a half for IPRs.</li> <li>20 Q And so also there was Cisco versus AIP</li> <li>21 Acquisitions, correct?</li> </ul>   |
| <ul> <li>1 I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>2 do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>4 boy, BBN?</li> <li>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>6 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>8 don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>9 it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>10 because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>11 well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>12 BBN.</li> <li>13 Q That's a great name.</li> <li>14 So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>15 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>16 Q A number of times, right?</li> <li>17 A A number of times, yes.</li> <li>18 Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>19 IPR before?</li> <li>20 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>21 Q So you'll understand that once you've</li> <li>22 begun your testimony, you're not to consult with</li> <li>23 counsel with respect to that testimony, correct?</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>1 Technology, correct?</li> <li>2 A That's correct.</li> <li>3 Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>5 A Yes.</li> <li>6 Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>8 A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>9 it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>10 Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>11 petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>12 Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>13 A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>14 petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>15 Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>16 petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>17 A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>18 I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> <li>19 a half for IPRs.</li> <li>20 Q And so also there was Cisco versus AIP</li> <li>21 Acquisitions, correct?</li> <li>22 A Yes, that's right.</li> <li>23 Q And Verizon and AT&amp;T versus Solocron</li> </ul> |
| <ul> <li>1 I was employed by BBN where I was I started to</li> <li>2 do some of this work, consulting work.</li> <li>3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Is that B, as in</li> <li>4 boy, BBN?</li> <li>5 THE WITNESS: Yes. BBN.</li> <li>6 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.</li> <li>7 THE WITNESS: And that actually I</li> <li>8 don't think it stands for anything now, but it</li> <li>9 it was used to stand for Bolt, Beranek &amp; Newman,</li> <li>10 because there's a different BBN in this area as</li> <li>11 well. It's a school. It's it's not the school,</li> <li>12 BBN.</li> <li>13 Q That's a great name.</li> <li>14 So have you been deposed before?</li> <li>15 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>16 Q A number of times, right?</li> <li>17 A A number of times, yes.</li> <li>18 Q Have you been deposed with respect to an</li> <li>19 IPR before?</li> <li>20 A Yes, I have.</li> <li>21 Q So you'll understand that once you've</li> <li>22 begun your testimony, you're not to consult with</li> <li>23 counsel with respect to that testimony, correct?</li> </ul> | <ul> <li>1 Technology, correct?</li> <li>2 A That's correct.</li> <li>3 Q And that was on behalf of petitioner</li> <li>4 again?</li> <li>5 A Yes.</li> <li>6 Q And then there was Microsoft versus</li> <li>7 Telecommunication Systems, correct?</li> <li>8 A I don't remember that one, but probably</li> <li>9 it's correct, since you've got the list.</li> <li>10 Q All right. And you represented the</li> <li>11 petitioner again? You would not have represented</li> <li>12 Telecommunication Systems?</li> <li>13 A That's right, it would have been the</li> <li>14 petitioner that I represented.</li> <li>15 Q Have you always represented the</li> <li>16 petitioner when representing a client for an IPR?</li> <li>17 A So far up till now, that's true. I think</li> <li>18 I've only been doing this for a year or a year and</li> <li>19 a half for IPRs.</li> <li>20 Q And so also there was Cisco versus AIP</li> <li>21 Acquisitions, correct?</li> </ul>   |

DOCKET

| SAMSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP                      | 13–16  |
|--|--|
| Page 13  | Page 15  |
| 1 A That sounds right.                                 | 1 A That's right.                                      |
| 2 Q Any others that you can think of that I'm          | 2 Q What year was that?                                |
| 3 missing?   | 3 A I believe it might have been 1994 or late          |
| 4 A I think there's one called Microsoft               | 4 '93. I I don't remember exactly the date.            |
| 5 versus Biscotti.                                     | 5 Q But this was pretty exciting stuff back            |
| 6 Q Anything else?                                     | 6 then, right? This was new technology?                |
| 7 A That's all that comes to mind at the               | 7 A It was pretty exciting. It was using the           |
| 8 moment.  | 8 Internet, which had existed for many years before    |
| 9 Q Thank you.   | 9 that, but the web made it a lot easier to access     |
| 10 So let's turn to the background                     | 10 data on the Internet.                               |
| 11 section of your declaration, which begins on what   | 11 Q How is that?                                      |
| 12 is marked as Exhibit 1000 zero 1004, page 3.        | 12 A It provided a graphical user interface to         |
| 13 When I refer to page numbers throughout the day,    | 13 information on the web, and it and there was a      |
| 14 I'll be referring to that page number at the bottom | 14 markup language which allowed people to easily link |
| 15 right-hand corner as opposed to the larger centered | 15 to other resources and provide graphical images.    |
| 16 page number   | 16 Q So in your declaration at paragraph 9 and         |
| 17 A Okay.   | 17 forward to paragraph 14, all of the technology      |
| 18 Q just for convenience.                             | 18 described there took place after 1995, correct?     |
| 19 In in 1995, the Internet as we                      | 19 A That's that's well, paragraph 13                  |
| 20 know it was relatively new, correct?                | 20 says I was the author of several publications.      |
| 21 A That depends what you mean by the                 | 21 Some of those would have occurred before '95.       |
| 22 Internet, but it was pretty well established by     | 22 Q And I just wanted to point something out.         |
| 23 1995.   | 23 In paragraph 9, you reference a patent that a       |
| 24 Q There were not a lot of web servers, were         | 24 company that you founded received?                  |
| Page 14  | Page 16  |
| 1 there, in '95?                                       | 1 A Yes.   |
| 2 A Yeah, I think I think the Internet                 | 2 Q I notice that you have that patent listed          |
| 3 encompasses more than just web servers. But in       | 3 in a number of other declarations for other IPRs,    |
| 4 '95, there were a fair number, and I was at at       | 4 and there's a typo, so I just want to point it out   |
| 5 that time tracking the number, but there certainly   | 5 for you.   |
| 6 weren't as many as today.                            | 6 A Oh, is there?                                      |
| 7 Q You started building web servers in 1993,          | 7 Q I think it's patent 6967963.                       |
| 8 correct?   | 8 A Oh, so there's a typo. I apologize. I              |
| 9 A That sounds about right.                           | 9 never caught that. I guess it's a transposition      |
| 10 Q In in the servers that you built for              | 10 error. Thank you. It's it's listed correctly        |
| 11 MIT is that where you were building them?           | 11 in my CV, apparently.                               |
| 12 A Yes, I was at MIT when I started working          | 12 Q Right. I just wanted to point it out.             |
| 13 on on setting up web servers.                       | 13 You know, because I know it's been propagated.      |
| 14 Q And in 1993 the web servers that you              | 14 A Yes, I sometimes I use the same text              |
| 15 work were working on, you considered those to be    | 15 and   |
| 16 among the first hundred or so web servers in        | 16 Q Absolutely. That makes all the sense in           |
| 17 existence, right?                                   | 17 the world.  |
| 18 A The the I believe they were, if not               | 18 Do you remember the first time you                  |
| 19 the first hundred, several hundred web servers set  | 19 used Windows NT Server let me back up.              |
| 20 up.   | 20 Have you ever used Windows NT Server?               |
| 21 Q And in that time frame, you believe that          | 21 A I believe I did, yes.                             |
| 22 you went on to provide what is likely one of the    | 22 Q Do you remember the first time you used           |
| 23 first live Internet video initiated from a website, | 23 it?   |
| 24 correct?  | A I don't I don't recall the exact date.               |

| SAMSUNG vs STRAIGHT PATH IP GROUP  | 17–20   |
|--|---|
| Page 17  | Page 19   |
| 1 Probably sometime in the mid '90s.   | 1 THE STENOGRAPHER: NetBIOS?  |
| 2 Q And do you remember the last time you  | 2 THE WITNESS: Right. It's  |
| 3 used it?   | 3 THE STENOGRAPHER: Okay.   |
| 4 A Not more than not less than 15   | 4 MR. NEWMAN: Capital N-E-T, capital  |
| 5 years ago, because I remember doing it for a class   | 5 B-I-O-S.  |
| 6 I was helping with at MIT doing some work on an NT   | 6 THE STENOGRAPHER: All together?   |
| 7 Server. I don't remember exact date.   | 7 MR. NEWMAN: Let me help you out.  |
| 8 Q Have you ever used an NT Workstation?  | 8 BY MR. NEWMAN:  |
| 9 A Yes.   | 9 Q What does NetBIOS stand for?  |
| 10 Q Do you remember the first time you used   | 10 A I think it's I think it believe  |
| 11 an NT Workstation?  | 11 stands for network, you know, basic input/output   |
| 12 A Probably was about the same time as the   | 12 system, something like that.   |
| 13 NT Server.  | 13 Q And have you heard the acronym NBNS?   |
| 14 Q What's the difference between the Windows   | 14 A I believe so, yes.   |
| 15 NT Server and the Windows NT Workstation?   | 15 Q What does that acronym mean?   |
| 16 A My understanding is that the NT Server  | 16 A I believe it's something like the NetBIOS  |
| 17 had a lot more features for providing additional  | 17 name server or something.  |
| 18 services.   | 18 Q And the acronym WINS, what does that   |
| 19 Q What do you mean by "services"?   | 19 mean?  |
| 20 A Things like a web server. Number of   | 20 A I think that stands for the Windows  |
| 21 active connections that that could be made with   | 21 Internet or name service or something like that.   |
| 22 such a service I think was higher in in NT  | 22 I yeah, I I mean, I may have got the details   |
| 23 Server versus NT.   | 23 wrong, but I think I generally understand what   |
|  |   |
| 124 U NT Server allowed you to administer the  |   |
| 24 Q NT Server allowed you to administer the   | 24 you're you're talking about when you use these   |
| Page 18  | Page 20   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.  |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?  |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.  |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.<br>7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,<br>8 or   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?  |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.<br>7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,<br>8 or<br>9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.<br>7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,<br>8 or<br>9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's<br>10 capital W-I-N-S.  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you  |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used 13 a system configured to support NetBIOS?   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used 13 a system configured to support NetBIOS? 14 A I believe so, yes.   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft  |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used 13 a system configured to support NetBIOS? 14 A I believe so, yes. 15 Q And when did you first do that?  | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft<br>15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the  |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used 13 a system configured to support NetBIOS? 14 A I believe so, yes. 15 Q And when did you first do that? 16 A Probably again, probably around the   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft<br>15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the<br>16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.<br>7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,<br>8 or<br>9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's<br>10 capital W-I-N-S.<br>11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.<br>12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used<br>13 a system configured to support NetBIOS?<br>14 A I believe so, yes.<br>15 Q And when did you first do that?<br>16 A Probably again, probably around the<br>17 early to mid '90s. I don't remember the exact   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft<br>15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the<br>16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable<br>17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the   |
| Page 18<br>1 WINS system, correct?<br>2 A That sounds that sounds right.<br>3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right?<br>4 A I think it could participate, but I<br>5 don't I don't I don't know if it could<br>6 administer.<br>7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS,<br>8 or<br>9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's<br>10 capital W-I-N-S.<br>11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you.<br>12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used<br>13 a system configured to support NetBIOS?<br>14 A I believe so, yes.<br>15 Q And when did you first do that?<br>16 A Probably again, probably around the<br>17 early to mid '90s. I don't remember the exact<br>18 date.                                     | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft<br>15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the<br>16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable<br>17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the<br>18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? A That sounds that sounds right. Q And NT Workstation did not, right? A I think it could participate, but I don't I don't I don't know if it could administer. T THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, or MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's Capital W-I-N-S. THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. Q Do you remember the have you ever used a system configured to support NetBIOS? A I believe so, yes. D Q And when did you first do that? A I believe so, I don't remember the exact A I date. Q Prior to your use of Windows NT Server?   | Page 20<br>1 acronyms.<br>2 Q Thanks.<br>3 And WINS is an implementation of<br>4 NetBIOS, correct?<br>5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has<br>6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS.<br>7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses<br>8 NetBIOS"?<br>9 A It's an implementation, but it has more<br>10 features such as administration and and, you<br>11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than<br>12 the name of a standard or whatever.<br>13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this<br>14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft<br>15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the<br>16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable<br>17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the<br>18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be<br>19 treated as a single anticipatory reference.   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? 2 A That sounds that sounds right. 3 Q And NT Workstation did not, right? 4 A I think it could participate, but I 5 don't I don't I don't know if it could 6 administer. 7 THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, 8 or 9 MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's 10 capital W-I-N-S. 11 THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. 12 Q Do you remember the have you ever used 13 a system configured to support NetBIOS? 14 A I believe so, yes. 15 Q And when did you first do that? 16 A Probably again, probably around the 17 early to mid '90s. I don't remember the exact 18 date. 19 Q Prior to your use of Windows NT Server? 20 A I think so probably, but I don't recall | Page 20 1 acronyms. Q Thanks. And WINS is an implementation of 4 NetBIOS, correct? A I believe so, yes. I believe it has 6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS. 7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses 8 NetBIOS"? 9 A It's an implementation, but it has more 10 features such as administration and and, you 11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than 12 the name of a standard or whatever. 13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this 14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft 15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the 16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable 17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the 18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be 19 treated as a single anticipatory reference. 20 Do you agree that WINS, Windows NT  |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? A That sounds that sounds right. Q And NT Workstation did not, right? A I think it could participate, but I don't I don't I don't know if it could administer. T THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, or MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's Capital W-I-N-S. THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. Q Do you remember the have you ever used a system configured to support NetBIOS? A I believe so, yes. D Q And when did you first do that? A I believe so, yes. D Q Prior to your use of Windows NT Server? A I think so probably, but I don't recall 21 exactly.   | Page 20 1 acronyms. 2 Q Thanks. 3 And WINS is an implementation of 4 NetBIOS, correct? 5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has 6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS. 7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses 8 NetBIOS"? 9 A It's an implementation, but it has more 10 features such as administration and and, you 11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than 12 the name of a standard or whatever. 13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this 14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft 15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the 16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable 17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the 18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be 19 treated as a single anticipatory reference. 20 Do you agree that WINS, Windows NT 21 Server, and NetBIOS are a single reference?                                   |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? A That sounds that sounds right. Q And NT Workstation did not, right? A I think it could participate, but I d don't I don't I don't know if it could administer. T THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, or MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's Capital W-I-N-S. THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. Q Do you remember the have you ever used a system configured to support NetBIOS? A I believe so, yes. D Q And when did you first do that? A I believe so, yes. D Q Prior to your use of Windows NT Server? Q A I think so probably, but I don't recall 2 exactly. Q Windows NT Server was not your first time  | Page 20 1 acronyms. 2 Q Thanks. 3 And WINS is an implementation of 4 NetBIOS, correct? 5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has 6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS. 7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses 8 NetBIOS"? 9 A It's an implementation, but it has more 10 features such as administration and and, you 11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than 12 the name of a standard or whatever. 13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this 14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft 15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the 16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable 17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the 18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be 19 treated as a single anticipatory reference. 20 Do you agree that WINS, Windows NT 21 Server, and NetBIOS are a single reference? 22 MR. ERICKSON: Objection to the |
| Page 18 1 WINS system, correct? A That sounds that sounds right. Q And NT Workstation did not, right? A I think it could participate, but I don't I don't I don't know if it could administer. T THE STENOGRAPHER: What's that, WINS, or MR. NEWMAN: It starts WINS. It's Capital W-I-N-S. THE STENOGRAPHER: Thank you. Q Do you remember the have you ever used a system configured to support NetBIOS? A I believe so, yes. D Q And when did you first do that? A I believe so, yes. P Q Prior to your use of Windows NT Server? A I think so probably, but I don't recall 21 exactly.   | Page 20 1 acronyms. 2 Q Thanks. 3 And WINS is an implementation of 4 NetBIOS, correct? 5 A I believe so, yes. I believe it has 6 more, but but it encompasses NetBIOS. 7 Q And what do you mean by "it encompasses 8 NetBIOS"? 9 A It's an implementation, but it has more 10 features such as administration and and, you 11 know, the it's a service that runs rather than 12 the name of a standard or whatever. 13 Q In paragraph 91 of Exhibit 1004, this 14 footnote 5 in which you say, Because Microsoft 15 Manual is based on NetBIOS, compatible with the 16 NetBIOS protocol specifications, and interoperable 17 with the NetBIOS-compliant implementations, the 18 Microsoft Manual and RFCs 1001 and 1002 should be 19 treated as a single anticipatory reference. 20 Do you agree that WINS, Windows NT 21 Server, and NetBIOS are a single reference?                                   |

# DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.