

No. 2015-1212

---

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT

---

STRAIGH PATH IP GROUP, INC.,

*Appellant,*

v.

SIPNET EU S.R.O.,

*Appellee.*

---

*Appeal from the United States patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and  
Appeal Board, No. IPR2013-00246.*

---

BRIEF OF AMICI CURIAE IN SUPPORT OF APPELLEE SIPNET EU S.R.O.  
URGING AFFIRMANCE OF THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD'S  
DECISION IN IPR2013-00246

---

Mark D. Fowler  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
2000 University Avenue  
East Palo Alto, CA 94303  
(650) 833-2000

Brian K. Erickson  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
401 Congress Ave., Suite 2500  
Austin, TX 78701  
(512) 457-7000

Aaron Fountain  
DLA PIPER LLP (US)  
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 2800  
Houston, TX 77002-5005  
(713) 425-8400

*Attorneys for Amici Curiae*  
Samsung Electronics Co., LTD.,  
Samsung Electronics America, Inc.,  
Samsung Telecommunications America,  
LLC

May 4, 2015

---

**Certificate of Interest**

Counsel for Amici Curiae Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC certifies the following to the best of his knowledge:

1. The full name of every party or amicus represented by me is:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.,  
Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and  
Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC.

2. The names of the real party in interest (if the party named in the caption is not the real party in interest) represented by me is:

N/A

3. All parent corporations and any publicly held companies that own 10 percent or more of the stock of the party or amici curiae represented by me are:

For Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.: None

For Samsung Electronics America, Inc.: Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.

For Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC: Samsung Electronics America, Inc.

4. The names of all law firms and the partners or associates that appeared for the party or amicus now represented by me in the trial court or agency or are expected to appear in this court are:

DLA Piper LLP (US): Aaron G. Fountain, Brian K. Erickson, and Mark D. Fowler

Dated: May 4, 2015

/s/ Brian Erickson  
Brian Erickson

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. STATEMENT OF INTEREST ..... 1

II. SUMMARY OF ARGUMENT.....2

III. ARGUMENT ..... 5

    A. Affirming the Board’s Decision Under Phillips Would Best Serve  
    the Public Interest..... 5

    B. NetBIOS and WINS Both Expressly Disclose the Claimed “Process” ..... 6

        1. NetBIOS and WINS’s Disclosures of Processing Units  
        Expressly Disclose the Claimed “Processes” ..... 7

        2. NetBIOS and WINS’s Disclosure of NetBIOS Applications  
        Expressly Disclose the Claimed “Processes” ..... 10

        3. The Board Did Not Commit Reversible Error By Not  
        Explicitly Construing “Process” ..... 14

    C. The Board’s Construction of “Connected to the Computer Network”  
    Should Be Affirmed Under Phillips ..... 16

        1. The Claim Language Does Not Support Straight Path’s  
        Temporal Requirement..... 17

        2. Straight Path’s “Temporal Requirement” Directly Contradicts  
        the Teachings and Language of the Specification ..... 18

        3. The Prosecution History, Wherein Straight Path’s Proposed  
        Construction Was Expressly Rejected, Supports The Board’s  
        Construction Under Phillips ..... 24

IV. CONCLUSION ..... 26

## TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

## CASES

<i>Adams Respiratory Therapeutics, Inc. v. Perrigo Co.</i> 616 F.3d 1283 (Fed. Cir. 2010) .....	19
<i>Bancorp. Servcs. L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assur Co.</i> 687 F.3d 1266 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....	3
<i>Elkay Mfg. v. Ebco Mfg.</i> 192 F.3d 973 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	8, 24
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> 383 U.S. 1 (1966) .....	8
<i>In re Cuozzo Speed Techs., LLC</i> 778 F.3d 1271 (Fed. Cir. 2015) .....	5
<i>Johnson Worldwide Assocs., Inc. v. Zebco Corp.</i> 175 F.3d 985 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	17
<i>Markman v. Westview Instruments, Inc.</i> 52 F.3d 967 (Fed. Cir. 1995) <i>aff'd</i> 517 U.S. 370 (1996) .....	8
<i>Ormco Corp. v. Align Tech., Inc.</i> 498 F.3d 1307 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	3, 7, 14
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....	passim
<i>Phonometrics, Inc. v. Choice Hotels Int'l, Inc.</i> 21 F. App'x 910 (Fed. Cir. 2001) .....	6
<i>Praxair, Inc. v. ATMI, Inc.</i> 543 F.3d 1306 (Fed. Cir. 2008) .....	3
<i>Seachange Int'l, Inc. v. C-Cor Inc.</i> 413 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	9
<i>Senju Pharm. Co. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> 746 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	11
<i>Straight Path IP Group, Inc. v. Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.</i> C.A. No. 6:13-cv-00606 (E.D. Tex.) (filed Aug. 23, 2013) .....	1

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**  
**(Continued)**

*Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.*  
713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....11

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.